Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 1

No. 24-1267

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

ST. MARY CATHOLIC PARISH IN LITTLETON; ST. BERNADETTE CATHOLIC PARISH IN LAKEWOOD; DANIEL SHELEY; LISA SHELEY; THE ARCHDIOCESE OF DENVER,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

LISA ROY, in her official capacity as Executive Director of the Colorado Department of Early Childhood; DAWN ODEAN, in her official capacity as Director of Colorado's Universal Preschool Program, Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Colorado Case No. 1:23-cv-2079-JLK – Hon. John L. Kane

APPENDIX VOLUME IV

Eric C. Rassbach Mark L. Rienzi Joseph C. Davis Nicholas R. Reaves Jordan T. Varberg Amanda G. Dixon The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W., Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 955-0095 nreaves@becketlaw.org

Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellants

Appendix Table of Contents

VOLUME I	
Docket	1.App.0001
First Amended Complaint (ECF 30)	1.App.0018
Defs.' Motion to Dismiss (ECF 38)	1.App.0055
Declaration of Dawn Odean (ECF 38-1)	1.App.0077
Response in Opposition to Defs.' Motion to Dismiss (ECF 42)	1.App.0084
Reply in Support of Defs.' Motion to Dismiss (ECF 47)	1.App.0115
Supplemental Declaration of Dawn Odean (ECF 47-1)	1.App.0135
Surreply to Defs.' Motion to Dismiss (ECF 51)	1.App.0138
Supplemental Declaration of Elias Moo (ECF 51-1)	1.App.0145
Joint Status Report (ECF 62)	1.App.0147
Order on Joint Status Report (ECF 65)	1.App.0164
Defs.' Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss (ECF 72)	1.App.0174
Exhibit 1: Elias Moo Deposition Excerpts (ECF 72-1)	1.App.0191
Exhibit 2: Tracy Seul Deposition Excerpts (ECF 72-2)	1.App.0207
Exhibit 3: Avery Coats Deposition Excerpts (ECF 72-3)	1.App.0222
Exhibit 4: Pls.' Supplemental Answers to Defs.' First Set of Interrogatories (ECF 72-4)	1.App.0233
Pls.' Supplemental Response to Defs.' Motion to Dismiss (ECF 75)	1.App.0247
Exhibit 1: Elias Moo Deposition Excerpts (ECF 75-1)	1.App.0263
Exhibit 2: Avery Coats Deposition Excerpts (ECF 75-2)	1.App.0281

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 3

Exhibit 3: Tracy Seul Deposition Excerpts (ECF 75-3)........... 1.App.0287

VOLUME II	
Exhibit 4: St. Mary Preschool's 2020-23 CCCAP Agreement and Amendments (ECF 75-4)	293
Minute Order Substituting Amended Order on MTD, MSJ, and motion to exclude experts (ECF 98) 2.App.03	309
Amended Order on MTD, MSJ, and motion to exclude experts (ECF 99)	311
Answer (ECF 107)	343
Pls.' Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (ECF 108)	373
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for Entry of Judgment (ECF 116)	445
Final Judgment (ECF 117)	546
Notice of Appeal (ECF 120)	549
Transcripts	
Status Conference Transcript (ECF 37)	552
VOLUME III	
Trial Transcript: Day 1 (ECF 103)	577
VOLUME IV	
Trial Transcript: Day 2 (ECF 104)	757
VOLUME V	
Trial Transcript: Day 3 (ECF 106)	949

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 4

Trial Exhibits Plaintiffs' Exhibits Exhibit 1: Archdiocese of Denver Catholic Schools Exhibit 2: Catholic School Community Beliefs and Exhibit 3: Mission and Charter of Catholic Schools Exhibit 4: Archdiocese's Code of Conduct (ECF 32-5)............ 5.App.1009 Exhibit 5: Guidance for Issues Concerning the Human Person and Sexual Identity (ECF 32-6) 5.App.1044 Exhibit 6: Archdiocese's Full-Time Teacher and Formator Contract Terms and Conditions (ECF 32-7) 5.App.1062 Exhibit 7: The Splendor of the Human Person (ECF 32-8)..... 5.App.1067 Exhibit 9: Archdiocese of Denver Curriculum Exhibit 10: Archdiocesan Directive on UPK Colorado Exhibit 11: Coalition Letter to Gov. Polis (ECF 32-12)....... 5.App.1162 Exhibit 12: Dr. Lisa Roy Letter to Coalition (ECF 32-13)...... 5.App.1164 Exhibit 13: Universal Preschool Provider Agreement **VOLUME VI** Exhibit 14: Wellspring Student & Family

Exhibit 15: Wellspring Preschool Handbook Addendum (2023-2024)	6.App.1262
Exhibit 16: Wellspring Student & Family Handbook (2023-2024)	6.App.1293
Exhibit 17: St. Mary's Parent / Student Handbook	6.App.1349
Exhibit 18: St. Mary's Preschool Handbook	6.App.1418
Exhibit 19: Colorado Department of Early Childhood's webpage entitled "Browse participating UPK Colorado providers"	6.App.1441
Exhibit 20: UPK Colorado provider listings	6.App.1442
Exhibit 21: Proposed Universal Preschool Program Rules	6.App.1449
Exhibit 22: Colorado Department of Early Childhood's webpage entitled "Submit an application for UPK Colorado for the 2023-2024 school year"	6.App.1460
Exhibit 23: Article: "Polis: Catholic schools that want universal pre-K must not discriminate"	6.App.1461
VOLUME VII	
Exhibit 24: UPK Colorado Provider Guide	7.App.1463
Exhibit 25: Colorado Department of Early Childhood's webpage entitled "Universal Preschool Colorado"	7.App.1523
Exhibit 26: Designations of Deposition Testimony of Dawn Odean by Plaintiffs	7.App.1525
Exhibit 27: Designations of Deposition Testimony of Lisa Roy by Plaintiffs	7.App.1605
Exhibit 28: Defendants' Answers to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories	7.App.1631
Exhibit 29: Defendants' Answers to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Admissions	7.App.1642

Exhibit 30: Colorado Department of Early Childhood UPK Exception Requests Data (excerpted from Excel original)	7 App 1648
Exhibit 31: Colorado Department of Early Childhood Individualized Exception Request Form	
Exhibit 32: Colorado Department of Early Childhood Approved Decline Process for Exceptions	
Exhibit 33: January 30, 2023 LCO Office Hours Transcript	7.App.1705
Exhibit 34: Colorado Department of Early Childhood UPK Exception Requests Response Data (excerpted from Excel original)	7.App.1729
VOLUME VIII	
Exhibit 35: 2022-2023 DPP Provider Agreement Form	8.App.1735
Exhibit 36: 2022-2023 CCCAP Provider Agreement Form	8.App.1768
Exhibit 37: 2020 St. Mary's CCCAP Provider Agreement	8.App.1776
Exhibit 38: Update on Guidance for Participation in UPK Slideshow	8.App.1796
Ex. 39: Declaration of Dawn Odean	8.App.1807
Defendants' Exhibits	
Ex 45: Dr. Abbie E. Goldberg Expert Report	8.App.1814
Ex 71: Draft UPK Colorado Program Rules and Regulations	8.App.1827

Page: 7 181 Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO 3 Civil Action No. 23-cv-2079-JLK 4 ST. MARY CATHOLIC PARISH IN LITTLETON, et al., 5 Plaintiffs, 6 vs. 7 LISA ROY, et al., 8 Defendants. 9 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT 11 Bench Trial, Vol. 2 12 13 Proceedings before the HONORABLE JOHN L. KANE, Judge, United States District Court for the District of Colorado, commencing on the 3rd day of January, 2024, in Courtroom A802, 14 United States Courthouse, Denver, Colorado. 15 16 APPEARANCES For the Plaintiffs: 17 NICHOLAS R. REAVES, JOSEPH C. DAVIS, AMANDA G. DIXON, ERIC C. RASSBACH, and JORDAN T. VARBERG, The Becket Fund for Religious 18 Liberty, 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 400, Washington, DC 20006 19 20 For the Defendants: 21 VIRGINIA R. CARRENO, NICOLE S. RUST, and J. GREGORY WHITEHAIR, Colorado Attorney General's Office, 1300 Broadway, Denver, CO 22 80203 and 23 JANNA K. FISCHER and HELEN L. NORTON, Colorado Department of Law, 1300 Broadway, Denver, CO 80203 24 Reported by KEVIN P. CARLIN, RMR, CRR, 901 19th Street, Room 25 A259, Denver, CO 80294, (303)335-2358

Proceedings reported by mechanical stenography; transcription produced via computer. 4.App.0757

Page: 8 182 Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 23-cv-2079-JLK Bench Trial 01-03-2024 1 INDEX DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES 2 PAGE 3 DAWN ODEAN 4 Cross Examination By Mr. Davis Redirect Examination By Ms. Carreno 5 ABBIE GOLDBERG Direct Examination By Ms. Rust 6 . .282 Cross Examination By Mr. Reaves300 7 Redirect Examination By Ms. Rust AMY TISHELMAN 8 9 10 Reporter's Certificate 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Kevin P. Carlin, RMR, CRR

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 9 183

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Proceedings commenced at 9:04 a.m.) 3 THE COURT: Your next witness, please? 4 MS. CARRENO: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. We are 5 going to call Ms. Dawn Odean. (The Witness is Sworn) 6 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated. State your full name for the record, and spell your last name. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Dawn Odean, O-D-E-A-N. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. CARRENO 12 Good morning, Ms. Odean. Can you please tell us what your 13 current job title is. 14 I currently serve as the director of the Universal 15 Preschool for the Colorado Department of Early Childhood. 16 And when did you start in that position? 17 I started August 15th, 2022. 18 How did you get the job of director of the Universal Preschool Program? 19 It was posted on the state employment website, and I 20 21 applied and was interviewed and hired for the position. 22 Why were you interested in that position? 23 I have been working locally on early childhood administration, overseeing multiple preschool sites, working

Kevin P. Carlin, RMR, CRR

with community partners to really build a strong local community

24

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 around early childhood in support of young children and 1 2 families, and had been as a provider at the time engaged in the 3 work of the Early Childhood Leadership Commission, Colorado Children's Campaign around Prop EE, House Bill 1295, and was 4 5 just excited about that truly epic opportunity for children and families in Colorado, and really wanted to be a part of it. 6 7 How did you get that position? So, as I said, I applied online, and then went through a 8 9 series of interviews to get the position. 10 And in your role as UPK director, what does that entail? 11 It entails the startup and implementation of Universal 12 Preschool statewide. 13 And what type of things do you do as the UPK director? 14 A lot of our work initially in year one was ensuring that 15 we had families aware of the opportunity, that we had a unified 16 application system in place for them to find providers and apply 17 and get connected to providers, as well as ensuring that we had 18 providers register so that there was opportunities for families to bring their children to preschool around the state. Another 19 big component in year one was operationalizing the system for 20 21 payment of providers based on the families enrolled. 22 Have you collaborated with any other state partners or 23 agencies in your role? Yes. We have done a lot of work talking to folks locally 24

and around the United States about what's worked, what hasn't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

district managed.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 worked, what would work best for Colorado. That's a big part of our effort, and really how the department was founded. So, certainly recognize that collective voice, and truly years of early childhood leaders bringing us to this point in Colorado, and wanted to continue that and ensure that we had collective voice as we move forward and were responsive to the needs in Colorado. But also seeing other opportunities that might have been available around the United States that worked that we could implement or things that worked locally that we might be able to leverage as well. Were there any differences in Colorado's system compared to the other states that the department spoke with? There are many. And I would say Colorado was really bold in making decisions around having a mixed delivery system, and that was one of the biggest differences in implementation. So, many states offered Universal Preschool previous to Colorado in a model that's similar to K-12, for example, where it's school

And Colorado was really thoughtful in ensuring that families had a choice and that they were able to make informed decisions and find that just-right provider that we've talked about that best met their family's needs and so that they could have different opportunities for young children that they may not have previously had.

Q And Ms. Cooke spoke yesterday about local coordinating

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 organizations, or OCLs -- LCOs. Can you describe the work that 1 2 you've done with local coordinating organizations? 3 We have 32 local coordinating organizations around the state, and they are critical in our efforts to ensure that local 4 5 communities have an understanding of our programs and our department. The first year working with LCOs, the priority has 6 7 been navigating Universal Preschool, so helping families locally understand what the opportunities might be and get connected and 8 9 engaged, and as well as providers. 10 And so their local relationships and local voice have 11 really been critical with the new state department for me 12 particularly being new in the role, not having local 13 relationships that -- to the depth that they have around the 14 state has been really important for us to kind of have those 15 trusted partners and really be able to be responsive to the 16 startup of the program. 17 Besides working with other state agencies and states and 18 LCOs, have you worked with stakeholders or providers in the startup of the Universal Preschool Program? 19 Yes, I have. We have. That's a priority for us. So, it's 20 part of our daily work, working with families, working with 21 22 providers, certainly in conversations with our direct customers, 23 if you will, on a daily basis, but also have really worked hard

even under the compressed timeline to ensure that we're engaging

and hearing, really listening and being responsive so that we

24

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

can continuously improve our efforts, and have worked to be very intentional and thoughtful about being inclusive to

- 3 stakeholders, to providers in this new model of mixed delivery
- 4 and ensure that families have the opportunities that are set
- 5 forth for them through our program.
- 6 Q Before working in your role as UPK director, what was your employment position?
- 8 A I was the executive director of early learning for Jeff.
- 9 Co. Public Schools.
- 10 Q And what did you do in that position?
- 11 A In that position, I oversaw the preschool programming for
- 12 Jefferson County Public Schools. At the time we had about 93
- 13 classrooms serve 2,500 to 3,000 students each year, threes and
- 14 fours. Supported each school leadership, training, professional
- 15 learning and development, really worked to align the transition
- 16 into preschool with our early childhood providers in the
- 17 | community and other resources for families, as well as their
- 18 transition into kindergarten and through third grade. So, at
- 19 the district level, we were really working toward longterm
- 20 outcomes that we could gain by providing quality programming for
- 21 preschool in the district.
- 22 Q How long were you in that position?
- 23 A I started in that position in 2016 and worked there until I
- 24 attained this position in 2022.
- 25 Q And before that position, what were you doing?

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 A I was an elementary school principal. Prior to that, I was
- 2 an instructional coach. And prior to that, I was an elementary
- 3 teacher.
- 4 Q And I want to direct your attention to the screen to
- 5 Exhibit 49. Ms. Odean, do you recognize this document?
- 6 A Yes. That's my current resumé.
- 7 Q And is that resumé a true and accurate representation of
- 8 your qualifications?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And who prepared that resumé?
- 11 A I did.
- 12 Q And is that resumé up to date?
- 13 A It is.
- 14 MS. CARRENO: And, Your Honor, I would like to proffer
- 15 Exhibit 49.
- 16 THE COURT: All right.
- 17 Q. (By Ms. Carreno) Now, Ms. Odean, I want to turn to some
- 18 more of the background on the Universal Preschool Program and
- 19 the system. And you heard Ms. Cooke describe yesterday the
- 20 process for the creation of the new department and the Universal
- 21 Preschool system. She also talked a little bit about the
- 22 Colorado Preschool Program. And so can you describe what if any
- 23 experience you had with the Universal Preschool -- sorry. What
- 24 If any experience you had with the Colorado Preschool Program?
- 25 A In my role in Jeff. Co. Public Schools, we coordinated with

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 the Colorado Department of Education for the Colorado Preschool 1 2 Program to serve students in Jefferson County. The Colorado 3 Preschool Program was the previously state-funded preschool opportunity in Colorado, which was school-district-managed. So, 4 5 it wasn't mixed delivery, unless the school district chose to contract with outside community partners. 6 7 And it was targeted. So, different than universal in that it was for children with specific risk factors. And so we 8 9 implemented that -- I think that was in place probably for 30 10 years. So, that was implemented well before I was in the role, but certainly continued that effort to ensure that families had 11 12 access to funding for preschool prior to universal. 13 Now, when you say it was targeted at certain risk factors, 14 what were those risk factors? 15 A So, risk factors included families living in poverty, 16 included children with a native language other than English, developmental delays, foster care. That's what I can remember 17 18 off the top of my head. 19 And how many children did the Colorado Preschool Program serve? 20 21 Statewide, I believe 18,000 was the last count. Right 22 around 18,000. 23 And so if Colorado already had the Colorado Preschool 24 Program, what was the need for the Universal Preschool Program?

I think what we saw with the Colorado Preschool Program was

25

A

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 16, 90

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

it did what it was intended and really supported children in 2 their growth and development. And so the universal opportunity 3 was so that more children could have access to that leading up to their kindergarten year.

- And was the Colorado Preschool Program income-based?
- That was one of the risk factors. 6

1

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 So, why universal rather than a targeted program?

calls out what some priorities -- the same children.

So, universal allows for all children in the year before they're eligible for kindergarten to have 10 to 15 hours of free preschool access. And that's important so that all of those children can have access to that high-quality environment and get to the learning outcomes that we know come from a high-quality program. But it also allows for families to have opportunity and options, all families. And uniquely in the Colorado statute that gives us the foundation for the program, it certainly recognizes what CPP was able to accomplish and

So, we're thinking about children with disabilities, children who are low-income and so forth on the risk factors to ensure that the work of the Colorado Preschool Program wasn't diminished through going to a universal model, but to continue to be thoughtful about ensuring those outcomes continue for children who haven't had that opportunity historically.

And how did the department go about ensuring that those families that benefited from CPP weren't harmed by the universal

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

system?

A Well, again, we really wanted to understand providers' experiences with families and with children and where they've gotten to those positive outcomes and what would be helpful. We certainly built the application system to ensure that we understood who was applying and what might be helpful for them to find the best provider for that particular family, but also knew that, for example, with school districts and their federal mandates around IDA, that there would be considerations we needed to make to ensure that it was a fluid process for families, and that it didn't compromise the expectations of school districts to serve.

Q And can you talk a little bit about what the qualifying factors are.

A Sure. So, the qualifying factors are put in place in rule based on what the expectation was in statute for. If there is funding remaining after the initial ten hours are served and students with IEPs are served, that qualifying factors could allow for families to receive additional hours for free.

Q And what were the specific qualifying factors that were established in rule?

A So, the qualifying factors established in rule were what was called out in statute, which are low-income families, families with children with disabilities, or an individualized education program. Dual language or multi-language families and

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 their children, and we also added homelessness through rule. 1 2 That was from the stakeholder input and feedback, as well as 3 foster or kinship care. And yesterday you heard Michael Cooke's testimony about the 4 5 quality standards, and I want to talk about how the department went about implementing those quality standards into the system 6 7 and in practice. So, if I could direct your attention back to the screen, to section 26.5-205. In looking at subsection 8 9 (1) (a) of the quality standards statute, I want to ask you about 10 what some of these terms mean and how the department has 11 implemented them into the UPK program. So, let's start with 12 within section (1)(a), the statute refers to national and 13 community-informed best practices. Can you please tell the 14 Court what that means and how that was implemented into practice 15 in the UPK system. 16 Best practices are associated with how we get to the best 17 child outcomes in the preschool setting in early childhood in 18 general, and then specifically for us in the statute for Universal Preschool. And we know that locally we have done a 19 lot of work around quality. So, the Office of Early Childhood, 20 previous to the new department, built the Colorado Shines 21 program that really was thoughtful about what are those best 22 23 practices, and what are we looking for, and how can we support 24 high quality across programs?

And then individual providers have different frameworks

that they've utilized as well. So, if you think about Head Start, they have a framework that is the foundation of the work that they build on, primarily focused on trauma-informed care and best practices to support families and children in that environment.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

There's -- school districts have educator effectiveness and performance programs, unified improvement plans where they're really being thoughtful about strategies and outcomes and how they get to the best child outcomes they can in a safe and healthy environment.

Nationally, there certainly are different frameworks that have been developed by different organizations as well.

And so the statute really called for us to consider those frameworks locally and nationally to ensure that we were being really thoughtful about what's worked and what might work in Colorado, and where do we have some challenges or gaps that we can through this new program and the new department collectively kind of raise the bar in support of providers and educators and young children.

- Q And, Ms. Odean, can I just encourage you to maybe speak up a little bit more, or get closer to the microphone just to make sure that we can hear you.
- 23 A Is that better?
- 24 Q I think so, yes.
- 25 A Okay.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

Q And so also looking at that same subsection (1)(a), can you tell me about the term "cognitive development" and how that was

3 implemented in practice within the UPK system? 4 Yes. So, what you see here is really an acknowledgment of 5 how important whole child development is, cognitive development being one of those areas that are critical to a child's 6 7 development. And that's typically associated with academics or thinking. So, it might be early literacy, early communication, 8 9 early numeracy components of cognitive development. So, the 10 statute is asking us through our quality standards to be thoughtful about ensuring that we're including that, as well as 11

Q What is whole child development?

the whole child.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A So, whole child, you will see here, that main domains are covered in ensuring that children have access to the cognitive development, but also inclusive of physical development, as well as social-emotional development.
- Q And then moving on to healthy environments, what are healthy environments, and how were those incorporated into the UPK system?
- A Healthy environments are really critical to ensure that, one, we're being supportive of the child and their family in that they have access to resources around their child's health, but the environment component is really contemplating being thoughtful about the actual environment that a child is in.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

So, we know we have licensing child care regulations for health and safety, but this kind of goes beyond that in a way that ensures children in the classroom are in a space where they can learn and grow. So, it's physical safety, yes, but also that they're in an environment that's welcoming, that they feel a part of, and maximizes through best practices that development.

Q And then the last term within the section I want to ask you about is social and emotional learning. Can you describe how the department has implemented that in its quality standards?

A So, the social-emotional learning component is required for

us in statute to consider in our quality standards specifically to be thoughtful about how children engage in their community, how they engage with other children, how they engage with adults, how they interact with the environment. And, again, it's all with that focus on a supportive nurturing environment for their growth and development.

Q And then I want to ask you about Roman numeral IV, but before we get to Roman numeral IV, (1)(a) states that in developing, reviewing, revising, and adopting the quality standards, the department shall consider four things. Before we get to number four, do you remember what the first three factors are that the department must determine in developing the quality standards?

A So, the statute asks us to ensure that all children have

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- access to learning, and it calls out the nondiscrimination
- 2 provision.
- 3 Q And, Ms. Odean, is there anything that might refresh your
- 4 recollection for those three standards that come before Roman
- 5 | numeral IV?
- 6 A If you have that specific portion of statute.
- 7 Q And is this the portion of statute that you were referring
- 8 to?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And just let me know when you've had a chance to review
- 11 that.
- 12 A Okay. So, it also includes that assurance that we
- 13 discussed about nationally accepted standards are considered,
- 14 that licensing requirements are considered, and that the
- 15 availability of preschool services for eligible children are
- 16 considered.
- 17 Q Okay. Now, going back to Roman numeral IV, how has the
- 18 department taken efforts to ensure both availability of
- 19 preschool services and maintain the quality of preschool
- 20 providers?
- 21 A So, the availability really is through our approach in
- 22 mixed delivery, to be as inclusive as possible, so that families
- 23 have choice in where they might enroll their child. So, to
- 24 ensure availability, we've worked really hard to hear from
- 25 providers around the state to encourage them to participate, to

be a thought partner and work through why or why they may not participate so that we have opportunities for families to have that access.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

The quality component comes in once the children are in program and in the classroom where we're really being thoughtful about, again, continuing that equitable access to learning in a safe and healthy environment.

Q And what -- why do those two factors go hand in hand?

A Well, they really go hand in hand. We certainly know that this opportunity of Universal Preschool provides access that many families haven't had yet. And so really the statute has told us to ensure that families have choice through this mixed-delivery model, and that families have confidence that those are safe and healthy environments, and that they're in place not only for supervision, but so that their child can grow and begin a positive journey for learning.

Q And so I want to move on to subsection two of 26.5-4-205, and ask you about the antidiscrimination provision that's at issue in this case. Why was this provision, subsection (2)(b), included in the quality standards component of the statute?

A My understanding of why it was included in the statute is to ensure that children who historically have been discriminated against aren't. So, going through as a provider through the participating in the bill writing, it was my understanding that it was critical for this to be in place to ensure that by

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 creating a universal program, we weren't inadvertently putting 2 children in a place where they weren't able to continue the 3 gains that were made through the previous program, but also be thoughtful about not putting children in an unsafe or unhealthy 4 5 environment as well. So, to be really intentional about children having that 6 7 environment so that they can grow to their maximum ability, and that we're collectively working toward that as a community in 8 9 the state of Colorado. 10 And does this provision apply to both children and 11 families? 12 A It does. 13 And why is that? 14 Part of a child feeling safe and being safe and healthy is 15 also that their family feels safe and healthy and that they feel 16 a part of their family. And we certainly want them and their 17 family to feel a part of the community and the learning 18 environment that they're in. And you've said "safe environment" a bunch of times. What 19 is a safe environment? 20 I think the way I understand it is -- and the way that 21 22 we're interpreting it for the program is that when a child is in 23 the preschool setting, they feel safe so that they aren't 24 worried about being unsafe. They feel welcome so that they

aren't concerned about being unwelcome. And in that way, they

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 can access learning engagement with their peers, engagement with 2 their educators in a way that maximizes their growth and 3 development. 4 And so is safety about more than just licensing 5 requirements? 6 It is. In a really thoughtful way that that was called out 7 in the law. And health as well? 8 9 Health as well. So, we definitely want of course to ensure 10 physical health and development and safety, but when we think 11 about health, we're also considering that social-emotional 12 learning component, behavioral health, certainly, and at this 13 young age really want to maximize that health as well, as part 14 of the whole child. 15 Q And what is your understanding of why this 16 antidiscrimination requirement was included within the quality 17 standards section of the statute? 18 My understanding is that we absolutely understand access is important. So, we want children around the state to be able to 19 access programming that best fits their family's needs and want 20 21 to be supportive of that access. But the access doesn't stop at 22 enrollment. It really also is once children are in the setting, 23 to ensure that they have equitable access to learning and 24 growth, and that our department is supporting educators and 25 providers with resources and tools to be able to do that.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

Q I want to turn now to the proposed quality standards rules. And as you know, Michael Cooke testified yesterday that she couldn't testify about these rules or the next stages of the implementation of the system, since her position was time limited. So, I'm turning now to -- or directing your attention to what has been marked as Exhibit 71, and apologize that I think yesterday we may have marked this as 68, because we didn't have our exhibit binders that had held some spots for the deposition transcripts, but we are marking this exhibit as 71.

A Yes. This is the draft rule for quality standards.

Q And how would you describe the quality standards rules?

A So, the quality standards rules -- I think I will back up a little. In statute, there wasn't a specific timeline or date tied to the implementation and development of the quality standards rules. While it was very clear that we consider what has worked in Colorado and what has worked nationally, that we go through an authentic process with integrity to ensure that we're being thoughtful about what we know and how we

And, Ms. Odean, do you recognize this document?

So, when I started in August, we had a pretty compressed timeline to start up for the statewide program for providers to register and families to enroll. That enrollment started in January. So, the primary focus at that time was around access and really being thoughtful about ensuring that

collectively raise the bar, if you will.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

the anticipated number of families would be able to find a provider and actually get registered and sign up and be able to be in program. So, we had a very focused effort during that timeline.

And because we wanted to be very intentional and thoughtful and go through a full rule process, not an emergency rule process, so that we had stakeholder input and feedback, and then also knowing that a lot of work toward quality has been done in Colorado that we would consider drafting the rules not immediately, but with that integrity in the process for rule-making. And so that's the process that we're currently in. Q And so how did the department go about drafting these rules or undergoing that process you just described? Sure. So, we have worked with a contractor to do research and review of the standards locally, of the standards, I believe 27 different frameworks, do a crosswalk of those, really talk to providers, have webinars, focus groups, working groups, around what of this are you currently doing? What has worked? What hasn't? What would you recommend? How -- getting input, being responsive to that input, and drafting the rule.

There were certainly specifics called out for us in statute that we knew we had to incorporate and did, and then also have really worked hard to have that collective voice to ensure that this is an effort across the state together, certainly with the support of the department.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- Q And you said that these are draft rules?
- 2 A They are.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 3 Q What does that mean?
 - A So, when we go through the rule process, we first introduce concepts, had survey providers as well, and had information from them that we could share out around the state with stakeholders, and then drafted the rule. The rule in draft has a time period where stakeholders can continue to give input. We're still in the input-receiving part of the rule process.
 - And then the rule goes through a county subcommittee, a rules advisory, the rule -- the rules advisory council has time to review and give input from themselves and from their stakeholders, and then the rule can then be promulgated by the executive director.
- 15 Q And who makes up the rules advisory council?
- A The rules advisory council is a diverse group of stakeholders from around the state.
- 18 Q And then they will also weigh in on these rules?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Where in the process are these rules?
- A So, these rules have gone through the initial drafting with stakeholder input and feedback, and will go to the county
- 23 subcommittee next, of the rules advisory council this month.
- Q And then when does the department anticipate that these rules will be adopted?

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 A The rules could be promulgated, I believe yet this month.
- 2 And then the way that they're written and the way that the
- 3 department is approaching them is a phased approach. And so
- 4 really working providers, we've heard that, like a one-time
- 5 deadline for change isn't supportive of the actual practice and
- 6 outcomes coming. So, we've developed a phased approach to
- 7 ensure that we're working side by side with providers to support
- 8 their growth and incorporate child outcomes as we move forward
- 9 with quality together.
- 10 Q And the version of the rules that you're looking at now,
- 11 when were these finalized, or when were these updated?
- 12 A I think the last revisions were made probably Monday of
- 13 | this week.
- 14 Q Okay. Thank you. Now, I want to direct your attention to
- 15 the top of page eight of the rule packet, under section 4.111,
- 16 capital letter A, which talks about the quality standards for
- 17 learning approaches. What are learning approaches?
- 18 A Learning approaches are how the educator engages in the
- 19 classroom to support the learning and development of the
- 20 children in the room.
- 21 Q And how did the department come up with the language for
- 22 this section of the learning approaches rule?
- 23 A Again, this was through review of what was expected in
- 24 statute, as well as stakeholder input. So, you will see that
- 25 | it's -- we're aligning with the Colorado early learning and

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 development guidelines, as well as the Colorado academic 2 standards. And then being thoughtful about all of the 3 expectations for us, using assessment findings for instructional decision-making that are culturally and developmentally and 4 5 linguistically appropriate, and inclusive of and appropriate practices for diverse needs of each individual learner. 6 7 Ms. Odean, I just want to encourage you to speak up again, if possible. 8 9 Sorry. I keep looking over at the document. 10 No problem. And under requirement number four that you 11 just mentioned, is inclusive of and appropriate for the diverse 12 needs of each individual learner, can you tell us more about that? 13 Yes. That's -- what we know works best in early childhood 14 15 and likely for all is being responsive to knowing the children 16 and being responsive to their needs so that you can take 17 instructionally the just-right next step or approach to help 18 them continue to grow and develop. And then moving down a little further on the page to 19 subsection capital B.1, lower case b, the section talks about 20 21 the measurement of providers' environmental quality, and section 22 B.1.b references the quality of the overall learning 23 environment, including student and staff supportive services. 24 Can you tell us what the quality of the overall learning 25 environment means?

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

A The quality of the overall learning environment is really speaking to the engagement between children and other children in the classroom, children and their educator, and children and the actual physical environment. And we know that if those are all positive, the child can grow and develop more efficiently and effectively.

Q And what are student supportive services?

A Student supportive services refer to alignment of a child's needs with the services that are provided for that child. And again, that starts with a healthy, safe environment that's welcoming for the child, where they feel comfortable and nurtured to grow and learn, and it also has that whole child understanding.

So, supportive of the educator knowing the child, what we're referring to as all of the aspects of the whole child.

And so being thoughtful about where each child is in their development, certainly can be a range, and being thoughtful about those just-right next approaches, activities, and so forth in the classroom.

Q And then turning to page ten of the same document, under section 4.113, capital A, one, lower case c, the section is under family and community engagement, and it states that providers must create and make available publicly and for the department the policies and procedures which ensure seeking input from participating families on priorities, interests, home

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 routines, and cultural and social practices. What does this 2 provision mean? 3 So, this provision really honors work that most of our providers around the state participate in, but really calls out 4 5 specific indicators to ensure that families and children are understanding what the expectation of that particular provider 6 7 might be, approaches that are utilized, and make the best choice for them and their child. 8 9 And it helps to continue the conversation. So, as 10 children learn and grow and participate in preschool, that the 11 conversations with families around that child's development are 12 in alignment with these components. 13 And now the antidiscrimination statutory language that we spoke about, is that included in these quality standards rules 14 15 as well? 16 A It is. 17 And I want to direct your attention to page six, same 18 document, under 4.109.B. 19 A Okay. And this is what you were referring to? 20 Q 21 A Yes. 22 Are there any exceptions or exemptions from that 23 requirement, subsection B, which is also the statutory 24 requirement, where a provider does not need to follow this

25

requirement?

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 A There aren't.
- 2 Q And why is that?
- 3 A That is in statute, and so that's why it's repeated here.
- 4 It wouldn't be changed through rule, because it's in the law.
- 5 And so it is incumbent upon us at the department for the program
- 6 and for participating providers to follow that.
- 7 Q And so you heard some references yesterday to a temporary
- 8 waiver in statute, and I want to direct your attention to that
- 9 provision now. And I'm referring to subsection (1) (b), Roman
- 10 | numeral II, of the statute. Are you familiar with this
- 11 provision?
- 12 A I am. This is the provision that aligns with what I was
- 13 referring to as far as the phased process of implementation of
- 14 the quality standards. So, we certainly recognize that the
- 15 practices and approaches may take time to develop, and we want
- 16 to support providers in that development.
- 17 Q And in looking at that provision, subsection (1)(b), Roman
- 18 | numeral II, it says, if necessary to ensure the availability of
- 19 a mixed delivery system. What does that mean?
- 20 A So, that's really honoring the uniqueness that each
- 21 provider might bring. So, mixed delivery is in-home family
- 22 | child care settings, is small centers, large centers, Head Start
- 23 programs, school-district-based programs, faith-based programs,
- 24 and so really being thoughtful that with that uniqueness and the
- 25 variety of settings that the approach to quality may have been

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 different than some of the frameworks that we've reviewed or the 2 work that we're doing to ensure quality across all settings. 3 So, under what circumstances would the department be allowed to offer a temporary waiver of some of the quality 4 5 standards? An example might be where in-home family child care 6 7 provider may not have done formative assessment around documenting child observations to inform their practices. It 8 9 might be an approach that they utilized, but it might look 10 different than it looked, for example, in a school district 11 setting. And so while we're working with educators and 12 providers to make informed decisions on whole child development, 13 we certainly would support that process and that growth for that 14 educator and that provider. 15 Q And within that same subsection of statute, what does a 16 limited time while working toward compliance with the quality 17 standards mean? 18 It depends on what the work is, potentially. So, there 19 might be opportunities, for example, for us to provide a tiered 20 approach for different providers. For example, you might have educators coming in who are new to the profession in what is 21 22 typically called an induction setting, where you're giving more

time who still continue to want to be the best that they can for

support early, and then over time -- you might have masterful

educators who have been working in the field for a very long

23

24

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 children and grow and change, and that differentiated support might look a little different based on those different educator types.

And so we are really working across our department with our workforce team, with coaching, with our local coordinating organizations to consider what resources might be available and how we can leverage those in this effort.

- Q And then also within that same section, it says that except that a preschool provider must meet all quality standards relating to health and safety. What quality standards would relate to health and safety? What does that mean?
- A So, those are the things that we've been talking about this morning in regards to health and safety, where certainly only licensed providers are participating in assurances of that minimum safety, physical safety, but also that health and safety as it refers to the learning environment as well. Those are certainly a minimum bar, but very important as well.
- Q Has the department allowed any preschool provider to participate in the UPK program without following all the quality standards at this point?
- A At this point, we are in development of the quality standards. So, we're really being thoughtful about providers' work that they've done so far. We're engaging with providers on what that work is and what that looks like so we can help support the implementation of the quality standards as they're

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 implemented.
- 2 Q So, has the department allowed any preschool providers to
- 3 exercise this temporary waiver in the statute?
- 4 A We haven't had a waiver request at this point.
- 5 Q And under this provision, could a provider that wasn't
- 6 complying with the antidiscrimination quality standard
- 7 participate in UPK on a temporary basis?
- 8 A The waiver doesn't exempt a provider from what's in the
- 9 law, so that wouldn't be a waiver associated with this process.
- 10 Q And is the nondiscrimination language -- is the
- 11 nondiscrimination statute a health and safety requirement?
- 12 A It is.
- 13 Q I want to turn now to talking about some of the logistics
- 14 of Universal Preschool. How many children have been able to
- 15 take advantage of UPK in year one?
- 16 A We're at almost 40,000 children around the state.
- 17 Q And do you have any idea what percentage of four-year-olds
- 18 | that would make up?
- 19 A That's about 60 percent of eligible four-year-olds.
- 20 Q And what was the department hoping in the first year of
- 21 implementation of UPK?
- 22 A We were anticipating 50 percent. Certainly what we've seen
- 23 around the United States is a much lower uptake in year one, but
- 24 | because of the success of the Colorado Preschool Program
- 25 previously, and because of the quality providers around the

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

1 state, we anticipated that Colorado might have a higher uptake 2 than 50 percent, and have exceeded that.

Q And how many more children has UPK been able to serve compared to the Colorado Preschool Program?

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A So, the Colorado Preschool Program, I believe in its last years, was at about 18,000.
- Q And Ms. Cooke went through the basics of how a family signs
 up and selects Universal Preschool providers, but I wanted to
 back up on something we didn't talk with Ms. Cooke about. Can a
 family meet with a provider before making their selections for

their top five preschool providers?

- A They absolutely can. And we encourage that, and our local coordinating organizations encourage that as well. The system, the application system was never intended to replace the interactions between the family and the provider, but to facilitate that enrollment and to allow for families, especially who were seeking formal care for the first time, or a change, that they had a place, a one-stop shop, if you will, that they could go to to find information in a way that they hadn't been able to before. But certainly have providers even in their profiles have listed opportunities for tours or for introductions, phone calls, and so forth, and the system absolutely doesn't replace that relationship.
- Q And you heard testimony from the plaintiff preschools,
 St. Mary's and St. Bernadette's Preschools yesterday about their

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 process of encouraging families to take tours of the preschool 1 2 and having conversations with the families. Is that permissible 3 within the UPK program? It is. 4 A 5 And you also heard Ms. Cooke talk about the deferred acceptance algorithm, or the DAA. Are there any factors that 6 7 that algorithm can't account for? 8 What we found was that it doesn't account for mixed 9 delivery. So, it's a standardized supported lottery algorithm, 10 if you will, in an information technology landscape. But it 11 wasn't built specifically for our unique program in Colorado. Q And so how did the department address that? 12 13 So, we heard from our LCOs, from providers, and from 14 families very early on as we began the development of this 15 system. We had different user experience -- user experience 16 testing scenarios. And then through our outreach with our LCOs, 17 really heard from families that there were some things that 18 weren't -- didn't feel like they were being contemplated, and that were a priority, and that we know lead to positive child 19 outcomes, and that included continuity of care. 20 21 So, really wanted to recognize that these quality providers around the state have been working with a family over 22 23 the years. If you think about an in-home child family care, 24 they might have had that child since they were an infant and

would have them until they go on to kindergarten.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

And we know that continuity of care yields high outcomes for children, and we wanted to honor that. We didn't want this algorithm to not consider that. So, that was one of the weights that we applied to the algorithm.

We also applied siblings at location and employee's child. And so both of those are really being thoughtful about that community, honoring that safe and healthy community, and the continuation of that for the child and the family.

Q Why is continuity of care important?

overall on the family.

- A Continuity of care limits the transitions for a child. And so there's certainly a lot of research around that opportunity for continuity of care to provide positive outcomes for growth for the child and supportive environment for that community and the family.
- Q And you also mentioned certain relationships that the department would want to honor. Why are those relationships important, or what examples of relationships can you give us?

 A So, the sibling priority or weight was to ensure, again, that continuity of the community for the family, but also to support the family in thriving, if you will. So, we don't want them to have to go to -- so, if you have a child who is

 Universal Preschool age, and then you have an infant that you might be able to go to the same location and not have to go to multiple locations within a day, which we know has an impact

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

The employee's child is a priority, because we certainly know from our department's workforce team and from our providers very clearly that many of our educators have young children who are eligible to participate and may not be able to work if their child can't participate at the program that they're working in.

Q Would it be possible to have both a universal and a mixed delivery preschool program if every provider had to accept every child matched to that provider?

A It would be really hard to do that. It would be -- when we look at what other states have done, where they've prioritized school districts as the lead provider or as the sole provider, that's where universal -- at each location might work. Mixed delivery, because of the variety of programming that providers offer and the variety of settings that they offer, completely universal at every setting, it would be really difficult and would be difficult to have providers be able to participate.

And so in the consideration of mixed delivery, the department has worked very intentionally about being inclusive of a variety of providers to ensure that they can participate, and really with the aim that families have that choice.

- Q And so it wouldn't be possible for a mixed delivery system if every provider had to accept every child?
- A I don't know how. That would be really hard.
- 25 Q Ms. Cooke talked yesterday about the faith-based working

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 group. Were there any other working groups that met to discuss 2 the different needs of different types of providers and 3 communities? 4 Could you say that again? 5 Sure. Were there any other types of groups that met to discuss the different types of needs of communities and 6 7 providers besides the faith-based work group? 8 Yes. As I said earlier, we on the program team certainly 9 met with providers daily, a variety of providers who are 10 participating or those that were considering it. We had 11 different working groups specifically with school districts, with Head Starts, with in-home family child care, with corporate 12 13 providers. We really wanted to hear from folks and continue 14 that collective voice as we developed. We were moving really 15 quickly to start up, and so it was important that we were 16 engaging regularly, and now that we are working toward year two 17 to really formalize stakeholder input and feedback as we 18 continue to move forward. 19 And when you say working toward formalizing, what do you 20 mean by that? 21 When the bill was being written, the ECLC had very formal 22 working groups where they were established and scheduled on a 23 regular basis for stakeholders to engage and be involved in and 24 around the state. In our compressed timeline, following that

same calendar was a challenge, and so we offered town halls. We

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

offered small groups with our LCOs. We provided our LCOs with support to do local information gathering and provide that to us.

We certainly had, again, through the work, were having a lot of conversations and being responsive to what we heard, and then we began to create different working groups around specific tasks, like enrollment, for example. So, certainly recognized that at many providers, it wasn't one person who did it all, although we do have providers where one person does it all, and certainly wanted their input and feedback, but also wanted to understand that there might be an early childhood director. There might be an office manager. Those folks might have very different impacts from the change of a new program, and we wanted to be supportive of the roles that help uplift the program.

- Q And just -- I know that early childhood has a lot of acronyms, so I just want to make sure, what does the ECLC stand for?
- A It's the Early Childhood Leadership Council.
- 20 Q And then the LCO?

- 21 A Local coordinating organization.
 - Q Great. And Ms. Cooke also yesterday talked about the preferences which were implemented in year one prior to the rule-making. Are those preferences now being incorporated into the proposed rules we started talking about earlier?

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

They are. Again, what we heard was the algorithm wasn't 1 A 2 developed to consider mixed delivery in a variety of settings 3 that might be provided through preschool. And we had to create a way for that to be a part of the process. And so those 4 5 preferences were developed based on what we heard from providers in that engagement that would be helpful, one, to inform the 6 7 family and support the family in making the best decision, but also helping providers to be able to meet their programmatic 8

- Q So, I want to go back to the proposed rules, which are now Exhibit 71, and direct your attention to page seven, under section 4.110. And is this what you were just referring to?
- 13 A It is.

policies.

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And so under the top -- the bottom of page six, before we get to page seven, the rules say that an eligible preschool provider may utilize the following programmatic preferences during the matching process. I want to start with the preference number two. And can you please tell me what are cooperative preschool providers, or co-ops, as I believe they were referred to yesterday?
- A They are preschool providers that have an expectation of the family's involvement through volunteering in the preschool setting.
- Q Why were co-ops, or cooperative preschools, included as a preference?

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

A Those providers had expressed that they wouldn't be able to
participate if they didn't have that commitment from families.

So, we really worked through these preferences to kind of be expansive of mixed delivery and inclusive of the uniqueness of

5 what the providers and the communities they've established

6 bring.

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And does this cooperative preference give cooperative providers an exception from the antidiscrimination provision?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q And I want to turn to the next preference, number three.
- 11 What are school districts maintaining enrollment consistent with
- 12 their established boundaries?
 - A So, each school district has geographic boundaries where they're required to serve K-12, the children that live within those boundaries. And this actually has a tie to continuity of care as well. So, we heard from school districts that for the preschool component, they wanted to honor those boundaries as well, and many districts don't have the capacity to serve children beyond their boundaries. And so this preference was put in place so that they could honor both continuity of care

for their families and ensure that they could prioritize that

- 23 Q Why was this preference included in the proposed rules?
- 24 A So, this was included so that school districts could

geographic expectation that they have for K-12.

25 prioritize those families and ensure that continuity of care.

- 1 Q Does this preference allow school districts an exemption or
- 2 an exception from the antidiscrimination provision?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Turning to the next one, participating preschool providers
- 5 reserving placements for a student with an individualized
- 6 education program to ensure conformity with obligations incurred
- 7 pursuant to law. What does that preference mean?
- 8 A That preference ensures that school districts and their
- 9 contracted partners can meet their federal mandate under IDA.
- 10 And that might include ratios in the classroom, aligned
- 11 resources and services, the development -- evaluation and
- 12 development process for an IEP with the family and so forth.
- 13 Q And what is an IEP?
- 14 A It's an individualized education program.
- 15 Q And what does that mean?
- 16 A So, that supports specific aligned services for a child
- 17 with a disability.
- 18 Q Why was this preference included in the proposed rules?
- 19 A It was included because it was called out in statute as a
- 20 priority, and also in our work with our partner state agency
- 21 | Colorado Department of Education and school district providers
- 22 we heard right away that it was critical for them to be able to
- 23 participate and be able to meet the mandate.
- 24 Q And this preference only applies to school districts?
- 25 A This specifically applies to school districts and their

- 1 contracted partners.
- 2 Q Does this preference allow school districts or their
- 3 contracted partners an exception from the antidiscrimination
- 4 provision?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Why doesn't the department interpret the antidiscrimination
- 7 provision from prohibiting providers from prioritizing kids with
- 8 disabilities?
- 9 A Children with disabilities were specifically called out as
- 10 a priority in the law that developed the department and the
- 11 program as a priority. I believe because historically, they
- 12 haven't had equitable access or aligned care that meets their
- 13 needs. And so programmatically, we wanted to ensure that those
- 14 children who participated previously, that we didn't miss a
- 15 beat, if you will, as we moved into the new program, but that we
- 16 had assurances that those children would continue to be served.
- 17 Q And when you say continue to be served or were being served
- 18 previously, you mean through the Colorado Preschool Program?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Turning to the next preference, number five, what are Head
- 21 Start programs?
- 22 A Head Start programs are federally funded programs that
- 23 prioritize comprehensive supports for young children and their
- 24 families who are living in poverty, are low-income, or have
- 25 children with disabilities.

- 1 Q And what is your understanding of Head Start eligibility
 2 requirements?
- A As a Head Start grantee, there are federal expectations of
- 4 who is enrolled and the priorities of the children that are
- 5 enrolled, in alignment with their expectations around
- 6 low-income, poverty, and children with disabilities.
- 7 Q And are there any other eligibility requirements for Head
- 8 Start besides just income level of a family?
- 9 A I believe that they also look at other assistance that
- 10 families might be receiving as an indicator of qualification,
- 11 but I haven't been a Head Start grantee, so I'm not an expert.
- 12 Q Why did Head Start providers receive a preference in the
- 13 proposed rules?
- 14 A They were also called out, again, because it's a program
- 15 that has shown positive outcomes for children and families over
- 16 the years. And certainly was intentionally written into the law
- 17 that they were prioritized as participants and that the children
- 18 they serve were prioritized as participants.
- 19 Q Are Head Start providers able to utilize any type of an
- 20 exception or an exemption from the nondiscrimination
- 21 requirements of statute in the proposed rules?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Why doesn't the department understand prioritizing
- 24 low-income children and families to violate the
- 25 antidiscrimination provision?

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- A The antidiscrimination provision prioritizes families who have historically been discriminated against. And so the department has been called out to ensure that they're protected moving forward, and that they have assurances around everything
- Q And were there any concerns that this population wouldn't continue to benefit from preschool under the new system as it did under the prior system?

that the program can provide.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A I believe that's why it was written into the law to have assurances that even though the program moved to universal, that there was still a priority for these specific children and their families.
 - Q Turning to number six, why -- well, can you describe what preference number six is?
 - A Preference number six gives priority or preference for providers who have employees whose children may attend, again, to ensure that we have a sustainable workforce. But there are also providers who are employee-based, or employer-based providers who give priority to their employees' children in the programs that they provide.
- Q And why did these types of providers receive a preference in the proposed rules?
 - A It really was what we heard from them that was the only way that they could participate. So, certainly workforce has been a challenge through the pandemic and previous to the pandemic, and

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

so we know in early childhood that as we value early childhood and we value early learning, we want to ensure that our educators are able to continue to educate, but also have the same services for their children, and that they may not be able to if it isn't in the same setting.

And for employer-based providers, which I think
probably will become more and more popular, but we certainly see
growth in that around the state where employers understand that
their employees can participate in the workforce if they have
access to a quality environment for their children, and they
want to be able to provide that so that their employees can -
Q Is this a preference that can be utilized by any type of
preschool provider?

A It is.

- Q Turning to number seven, can you describe what this preference is.
- A So, this is the preference for continuity of care. And this is what we discussed earlier, where it's -- we understand that through research, that continuity of care is a strong indicator of child success, and we typically see children who have fewer transitions are able to grow and develop in a healthy manner.
- Q And can any type of preschool provider take advantage of this preference?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Turning to preference number eight, can you describe what
- 2 that preference is.
- 3 A That's the preference for providers to consider the
- 4 enrollee child for preschool if they have siblings attending the
- 5 same program. So, it gives a preference to children whose
- 6 siblings are already attending there.
- 7 Q Why did this -- why was this called out as a preference in
- 8 | the proposed rules?
- 9 A This was something we heard very clearly from families was
- 10 important in their decision-making, and we also heard from
- 11 providers, again, to ensure that continuity of community with a
- 12 family, that the sibling priority was critical to participate.
- 13 Q And can any preschool provider utilize the sibling
- 14 preference?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Turning to number nine, can you describe what that
- 17 preference means.
- 18 A Number nine is a preference for providers who offer
- 19 preschool services in languages other than English. And we have
- 20 a variety of providers who do so. So, we have school district
- 21 providers who have specific programming for dual or multilingual
- 22 programs. Some of those have federal expectations around how
- 23 those children are served or the ratios that the children are
- 24 served. For example, if it's a bilingual classroom, there may
- 25 be a certain number of children expected that are native

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024

English-speaking and a certain number of children who are native Spanish-speaking.

We have community providers who offer in their communities preschool services in a range of languages that meet the needs of the families in that community.

- Q And does this preference provide an exception for providers that serve multilingual children, an exception or an exemption from the antidiscrimination provisions?
- 9 A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 10 Q I want to back all the way up to number one, which is the
 11 faith-based provider preference. And can you please describe
 12 what that preference means.
 - A That preference was put in place as we heard from faith-based providers considering to participate and being thoughtful about being inclusive of faith-based providers being able to, again, be inclusive to their community, and be able to participate and their families to be able to have access.
 - Q What is a faith-based provider?
 - A In Universal Preschool, when providers register, they can define themselves as faith-based. So, that might be a religious-based community that they're a part of. It might be a specific faith that they build their program around.
- Q Why did faith-based providers include a preference in the proposed rules?
 - A We included the preference in the proposed rules to ensure,

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 again, that we could be expansive in mixed delivery and 1 2 inclusive of a variety of provider types, including faith-based 3 providers. And the preference, again, wasn't a part of the 4 standard algorithm. And so like the other preferences, was 5 included to ensure that they were able to align to their 6 program. 7 And in looking at this preference, it says, faith-based providers granting preference to members of their congregation. 8 9 Is "congregation" defined in the proposed rules? 10 It is. 11 And if I could direct your attention to page two on the 12 same document. Is this what you're referring to? 13 A Yes. 14 Why did the department decide to define "congregation" in 15 the proposed rules? 16 In year one, with the word "congregation," there were a lot 17 of questions from providers about what that meant. And so we 18 certainly listened to stakeholder input to define that further to help support, again, that expansive inclusion of provider 19 20 types. 21 How did the department develop this proposed definition? 22 So, again, same as everything in the rule. Looked at what 23 other providers had done, what had worked, what hadn't, talked 24 to providers, and this is the current draft.

What types of providers did the department speak with?

- A Through the standards process, all provider types. And definitely had faith-based providers weigh in as well.
- 3 Q And when you say that the department believed that this
- 4 definition was a way to be inclusive of faith-based providers,
- 5 can you tell me more about that.
- 6 A Again, it's about that continuity of care for a community
- 7 and being intentional about honoring mixed delivery and those
- 8 different communities that families and providers engage in.
- 9 Q And so were there some concerns in year one that the
- 10 congregation preference wasn't broad enough?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And how did the department believe that this definition
- 13 addressed those concerns?
- 14 A We believe this definition expands on what was maybe
- 15 initially understood as the word "congregation."
- 16 Q And are providers allowed to define what their
- 17 congregations are?
- 18 A They are.
- 19 Q Can faith-based providers continue to provide feedback on
- 20 this proposed definition and the preferences in general?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Does this preference give faith-based providers an
- 23 exception from any of the antidiscrimination requirements?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Why not?

- A The preferences set forth by the program and in rule don't change the law. So, the law still has the antidiscrimination
- 3 provision that we follow.
- Q Why doesn't the department interpret this provision to allow faith-based providers to discriminate on the basis of
- 6 religious affiliation or religion?
- 7 A Again, it's the law to ensure that these children and their
- 8 families who historically have been discriminated against aren't
- 9 so that we can ensure that they have access to a safe and
- 10 healthy environment.
- 11 Q And so is the department's understanding of a congregation
- 12 broader than religion?
- 13 A It is. And I'm not -- I'm not a religious expert, so I
- 14 can't speak to each religion or their specific beliefs, but
- 15 definitely as the program director wanted to be inclusive of
- 16 | faith-based providers as they define themselves and as families
- 17 engage with them, and certainly in the services that children
- 18 receive.
- 19 Q You also heard Michael Cooke or Ms. Cooke yesterday talk
- 20 about where a provider could fill out a form if none of the
- 21 preferences that were part of the system applied to that
- 22 provider. Was that process put into the proposed rules as well?
- 23 A It was.
- 24 Q And if I could direct your attention back to page eight.
- 25 Sorry. Page seven, and preference number ten. Is this the

- 1 preference that you were referring to?
- 2 A It is.
- 3 Q What was the reason for this preference?
- 4 A We have almost 2,000 participating providers, and as a new
- 5 department and as a new director, don't know all of the
- 6 programmatic opportunities that each provider might have. And
- 7 so heard from providers as we developed the preferences
- 8 | initially in our first year of enrollment that we may not have
- 9 caught all of the preferences that would be helpful to honor a
- 10 mixed delivery system.
- 11 And so wanted to have an opportunity to be responsive
- 12 again to providers, to our stakeholders, where a provider could
- 13 | tell us, hey, you didn't consider us, but we have this
- 14 programmatic expectation. Could it fall within the preferences
- 15 that you provided, or is there a unique preference that might be
- 16 helpful for us to be able to participate?
- 17 Q And, again, was this an effort to be more inclusive of
- 18 different types of communities?
- 19 A Yes. Again, really to honor mixed delivery and family
- 20 choice and be inclusive of those communities.
- 21 Q And yesterday, Ms. Cooke was asked about a request from the
- 22 River Canyon School District. Do you remember that testimony?
- 23 A I do.
- 24 Q Are you familiar with River Canyon School District?
- 25 A I am.

- 1 Q I'm sorry. And it's River Canyon School, not school
- 2 district. Are you familiar with River Canyon School?
- 3 A I am.
- 4 Q What type of school is River Canyon?
- 5 A It's a Montessori program.
- 6 Q And what type of preference did that school request or what
- 7 type of community does River Canyon serve?
- 8 A They have as part of their policy or process similar to a
- 9 co-op, an expectation of volunteering in the program of families
- 10 that register their children.
- 11 Q And so is your understanding that the policies Mr. Reaves
- 12 asked about yesterday, they're similar to the policies of
- 13 cooperative preschool providers?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And what was the basis for the department granting River
- 16 Canyon a preference?
- 17 A Because it was aligned with the preference of a co-op, and
- 18 yeah. It met those expectations, and we certainly wanted them
- 19 to be able to participate.
- 20 Q And then scrolling down to page eight, I want to direct
- 21 your attention to subsection B at the top of page eight. What
- 22 does that provision mean?
- 23 A That provision means that the preferences don't allow for
- 24 discrimination as defined in our antidiscrimination provision.
- 25 Q Next I want to turn to Exhibit Number 13, which is the UPK

- 1 provider agreement that was talked about at length yesterday.
- 2 And Michael had -- or Ms. Cooke had talked about working with
- 3 you on the quality standards part of that agreement, but said
- 4 that the exhibits or the terms and contracts of that agreement
- 5 largely came out of a template. Would you agree with her
- 6 testimony on that?
- 7 A I do.
- 8 Q And can you tell me more about where those terms and
- 9 conditions, or Exhibit A of that contract came from?
- 10 A The terms and conditions came from a template written by
- 11 the Colorado Department of Human Services, where the office of
- 12 early childhood had previously been housed before the new
- 13 department. And they were a part of the transition support of
- 14 the new department.
- 15 Q And if I could direct your attention to page five of
- 16 Exhibit 13. Are those the exhibits that you and Ms. Cooke are
- 17 referring to as the ones that were drafted by the contracts and
- 18 procurements team?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Turning to page 27, which is contractual provision 18B, why
- 21 was this language included in the initial draft of the -- or the
- 22 | inaugural year of the program contract?
- 23 A It was a part of the contract terms and conditions
- 24 template.
- 25 Q And did the department ever intend to analyze providers'

- 1 employment decisions based on this provision?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Why not?
- 4 A We were completely focused on support and expectations of
- 5 the program, the child, and their family. In recruiting
- 6 providers, it certainly wasn't part of our understanding that we
- 7 | would have any authority on hiring practices beyond licensing
- 8 credentials, expectations for workforce.
- 9 Q And do you recall providing a disavowal in regard to this
- 10 litigation for faith-based providers?
- 11 A I do.
- 12 Q And what was the purpose -- what was the reason for
- 13 providing that disavowal?
- 14 A To give assurances that that wasn't action that would be
- 15 taken by the program or by the department.
- 16 Q This contractual provision 18B, is this going to be
- 17 included in year two of the provider agreement?
- 18 A It is not.
- 19 Q And why not?
- 20 A For that exact reason.
- 21 Q Will this provision apply to any providers?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q We can close out of this exhibit. You heard the testimony
- 24 | from St. Mary's and Wellspring's witnesses yesterday talk about
- 25 their religious curriculum. Does the department have any

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 intention of interfering with the curriculum of faith-based 2 providers? 3 No. 4 Q Why not? 5 Again, it's to honor mixed delivery and what each provider uniquely brings to the preschool setting for children and for 6 7 families. I want to direct your attention back to the same exhibit 8 9 we've just been talking about, Exhibit 71 of the proposed rules, 10 on page eight. And under subsection C, can you please read that 11 language. 12 A Nothing in these rules shall be construed to affect an 13 eligible preschool provider's right to engage in privately 14 funded, inherently-religious activity, or affect the 15 independence of eligible preschool providers, including any 16 rights protected by the Colorado and U.S. Constitutions and 17 applicable law. 18 Why is this language included in the proposed rules? 19 Again, to give assurances that we will be inclusive in mixed delivery. 20 21 Had the department heard any concerns from faith-based 22 providers about the prior version of the rules? 23 There were questions and concerns around if the day would 24 have to be delegated, if you will, by what was Universal

Preschool and what was programmatically specific to that

- 1 provider. And so we wanted to give assurances that that wasn't
- 2 the case.
- 3 Q And this language applies to all types of faith-based
- 4 providers?
- 5 A It does.
- 6 Q Are there any faith-based providers that are participating
- 7 in the Universal Preschool Program currently?
- 8 A We have 40 faith-based providers participating currently.
- 9 Q And what type -- do you know what types of faith-based
- 10 providers are participating?
- 11 A It's a variety. Many types, I would say.
- 12 Nondenominational Christian, Catholic Charities, the Efshar
- 13 Jewish Early Childhood community group has participants.
- 14 Q And was the department hoping that as many different types
- 15 of faith-based providers would participate in the UPK program?
- 16 A Yes. We really worked for expansive of mixed delivery and
- 17 have an inclusive environment for providers to participate in.
- 18 Q And did the department work with other types of providers
- 19 that decided not to participate in the UPK program?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And did that include Catholic providers?
- 22 A It did.
- 23 Q How many children have been matched to faith-based
- 24 providers in year one?
- 25 A I think we're currently at just over 900.

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 61

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Direct 01-03-2024 And you had mentioned Catholic Charities as participating 1 2 in the UPK program. Do you understand Catholic Charities to be 3 associated with the Archdiocese of Denver? 4 A I do. 5 And was there any attempt on the department's behalf to limit the participation of the Catholic Charities preschools 6 7 from participating in the preschool program? 8 A No. 9 Q Why not? 10 To ensure that as many providers could be accessed for 11 families as possible, and certainly new families were interested 12 in attending their programs. 13 MS. CARRENO: And if I could just have one moment to 14 confer with my colleagues, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 (Pause in the proceedings.) 17 MS. CARRENO: No further questions at this time, Your 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Let's take a ten-minute break, and we will come back and have cross. 20 21 (Recess at 10:42 a.m., until 10:57 a.m.) 22 THE COURT: Before we go with the cross, I have one 23 minor scheduling matter. That's tomorrow at 10:30, I have to 24 recess this case for about 20 minutes, because I have a criminal

matter that will be here at 10:30. And for reasons of courtesy

```
23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024
1
    to the counsel in that case, but also to protect your property,
2
    I suggest you clean your desk before that. It is after all a
 3
     criminal case. The presumption of innocence no longer applies.
    It's a revocation. Go ahead, please.
 4
5
              MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
                            CROSS EXAMINATION
 6
7
    BY MR. DAVIS
8
        Good morning, Ms. Odean.
9
        Good morning.
10
        Ms. Odean, is one of the goals of UPK to achieve a mixed
11
    delivery system of preschool?
12
    A
         It is.
13
         And that means a mix of different types of providers; is
14
    that right?
15
    A
         Yes.
16
        And that includes faith-based providers?
17
         Yes.
18
         Is the point of that so that families can find the provider
    that's just the right fit for them?
19
         It is.
20
    A
21
        Ms. Odean, I think you answered this earlier, but about how
22
    many providers are currently participating in UPK?
23
    A
         About 2,000.
24
        And those providers generally have agreed to the UPK
25
    provider agreement; right?
```

```
23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024
1
    A
         Yes.
2
    Q And new providers are still able to join right now; is that
 3
    right?
 4
         Correct.
    A
5
         Is there a fixed number of providers that's able to
    participate in UPK?
 6
7
         There isn't.
8
        Okay. So, when a new provider begins participating, it
9
    doesn't take the place of a provider that's already in the
10
    program, does it?
11
    A
         Correct.
12
         It would participate alongside the current providers?
13
    A
         Yes.
14
         Ms. Odean, Head Start providers can participate in UPK;
15
    isn't that right?
16
    A
        That is right.
17
         And Head Start providers prioritize low-income families?
18
         They do.
    A
19
         In fact, you understand that Head Start programs might have
    income qualifications for students to enroll; right?
20
         To define the qualifying factor? Is that what you're
21
22
    referring to?
23
    0
         That's correct. Yes.
24
    A
         Yes.
25
         And so families that are above a certain income threshold,
```

- 1 they may not have the opportunity to enroll at a Head Start
- 2 provider; is that right?
- 3 A It depends on the Head Start provider.
- 4 Q But they might have to meet additional qualifications?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And some UPK providers reserve seats for children with
- 7 disabilities; isn't that right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. And in fact some UPK providers only serve children
- 10 with certain disabilities; isn't that right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Faith-based providers are allowed to reserve seats for
- 13 members of their congregation; correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And we discussed earlier, or you were discussing with your
- 16 counsel earlier that the department has proposed a formal
- 17 definition of "congregation," hasn't it?
- 18 A It has.
- 19 Q If I could have you look at what's been marked as
- 20 Exhibit 71, which is the -- and it should be in your binder on
- 21 the third volume there. We could also put it on the screen, if
- 22 that would be easier. There we go. Great. It's on your screen
- 23 now, Ms. Odean.
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And this is the newly -- the new draft regulations for UPK

```
23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024
1
    that came out recently; right?
2
         It is.
 3
         Okay. So, if we could look at the middle of page two,
     that's the formal definition of "congregation"; correct? Sorry.
 4
5
    This is paragraph L.
 6
         Yes.
7
         Would you mind reading that definition aloud?
8
          "Congregation" means a religious-based convocation or
9
    multiple religious-based convocations of multiple individuals in
10
     a geographic area who share a common set of beliefs and who
    collectively engage in conduct with the direct nexus to the
11
    shared common set of beliefs.
12
13
        Thank you. So, under that definition, a congregation, that
14
     could be all Catholics in a particular area?
15
    A
         Yes.
16
        Or all Lutherans?
17
         Yes.
18
        And so the Catholic provider could reserve seats for
    Catholics?
19
20
    A
         Yes.
21
         Lutheran provider for Lutherans?
22
    A
         Yes.
         And if the Catholic provider limited its seats to members
23
24
    of its congregation, it wouldn't have to provide an opportunity
25
    to enroll to Lutherans; right?
```

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q You testified earlier that this preference was broader than
- 3 religion. Do you remember that?
- 4 A I do.
- 5 Q Okay. But if you look at the definition, it begins by
- 6 saying, "congregation" means a religious-based convocation or
- 7 multiple religious-based convocations, and then there are some
- 8 other requirements; isn't that right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. So, the congregation does have to be
- 11 religious-based?
- 12 A When I said that earlier, I was referring to it didn't have
- 13 to be a specific religious organization or a church-based, but
- 14 that it had faith-based or is related to religion.
- 15 Q Okay. Thank you for that, Ms. Odean. So, it does have to
- 16 be religious-based; right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Thank you. Just to back up a moment, we're looking at this
- 19 Exhibit 71. And I think you testified earlier that this was the
- 20 | current draft version of the department's UPK rules; right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q When did this draft version come out?
- 23 A Monday.
- 24 Q Monday. Had it ever been put in front of the Court before
- 25 | today?

- 1 A I believe, yes. Previous drafts were.
- 2 Q Right. Not this draft, though; right?
- 3 A Not this draft. Yeah.
- 4 Q Okay. So, it came out on Monday. That's New Year's Day;
- 5 | right?
- 6 A That's when I received the last draft, yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And then it was put in front of the Court for the
- 8 | first time today; right?
- 9 A Yeah. I don't remember if it was an exhibit yesterday, but
- 10 yeah.
- 11 Q Or, yeah. That's right. Excuse me. We put it into the
- 12 record yesterday. We're discussing it for the first time today?
- 13 A Yes. Correct.
- 14 Q Thank you. So, I mean, it's curious timing. It was
- 15 | finalized on New Year's Day, put in front of the Court for the
- 16 | first time in -- at the beginning of our trial in this case.
- 17 Why did the new draft come out on that timeframe?
- 18 A We're as a department in process of the draft and
- 19 incorporating stakeholder input. That's regular and ongoing for
- 20 our department team. We don't have an open period of time with
- 21 input where we wait, if you will. We're continuing to revise,
- 22 take in input and feedback, and adjust so that we can follow the
- 23 rule process and timeline.
- 24 Q Okay. You were aware that the trial was -- in this case
- 25 was this week; correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. It was finalized on Monday, on New Year's Day. Was
- 3 it provided to plaintiffs that day? Are you aware of that?
- 4 A I'm not aware of that.
- 5 Q Okay. Are these new draft rules -- are they publicly
- 6 available anywhere? Can somebody look them up online?
- 7 A We typically post the drafts through revisions on our
- 8 website. I don't know if these are posted yet. I haven't
- 9 | checked --
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A -- to ensure that, but that's typically the process that
- 12 occurs. As revisions are released, they're posted.
- 13 Q Okay. But if I told you that they weren't publicly
- 14 available, would that surprise you?
- 15 A I haven't checked.
- 16 Q Okay. Thank you for that, Ms. Odean. So, at least prior
- 17 to this draft coming out, there were nine different
- 18 preferences -- programmatic preferences that were recognized in
- 19 the rules; right?
- 20 A I believe that's correct, yes.
- 21 Q Okay. But then yesterday -- or, excuse me. When this new
- 22 draft came out, there was a tenth programmatic preference that
- 23 was added?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. Did you approve this new preference?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. If you turn to page seven, I think is where the
- 3 preferences are.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q And I'm looking at paragraph ten. That's the new
- 6 preference, just for the record; right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. And it says, providers may grant preference to an
- 9 eligible child based on, and then there's a few different things
- 10 here, but one of them is the child and/or family being a part of
- 11 a specific community, having specific competencies or interests,
- 12 or participating in a specific activity; is that right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. But to claim this preference, it looks like under
- 15 subsection A there, that the provider has to impose the same
- 16 requirement on all participating children or families; is that
- 17 | right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. So, a provider can require all of its children or
- 20 families to be part of a specific community or have specific
- 21 | competencies or interests?
- 22 A They can.
- 23 Q Okay. I just want to understand what that means,
- 24 Ms. Odean, if I could. Could a preschool be just for children
- 25 in foster care, for example?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Because that would be part -- that would be part of a
- 3 specific community?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. Could a provider under this preference say that all
- 6 of its children needed to be children of veterans or current
- 7 service members?
- 8 A Potentially, yes.
- 9 Q Yeah. Same reason; right? Part of a specific community?
- 10 Right?
- 11 A Sure.
- 12 Q Okay. Could it require all of its children or families to
- 13 be interested in music?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Could a school be just for gender-nonconforming
- 16 | children?
- 17 A Any preference was put into place to allow for mixed
- 18 delivery and different provider types to be able to participate
- 19 and be inclusive of the communities that they serve. It is not
- 20 put in place to change the law of the nondiscrimination
- 21 provision.
- 22 Q Yeah. And my question is just if you have a provider that
- 23 wants to be for gender-nonconforming children, would this
- 24 preference allow them to do that?
- 25 A It would.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024

MS. CARRENO: Objection as to speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: So, again, the preferences as they are now -- so, we had the exhibits of the form initially, which was our department response to hearing from providers who had different programmatic expectations that they felt they wouldn't be able to participate unless they were honored. Those preferences didn't negate the part of the law that ensures that children aren't discriminated against who historically have been.

- Q. (By Mr. Davis) Right.
- 12 A Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Okay. Just to clarify, so the school could be just for gender-nonconforming children, and that would not run afoul of the part of the law prohibiting discrimination?
 - MS. CARRENO: Objection to the extent it mischaracterizes her testimony.

THE COURT: You can bring that out on redirect.

THE WITNESS: As with any of these, it would be a conversation to determine whether that was appropriate or not. But, yeah. So, we would definitely look into it and follow through. As long as there wasn't discrimination that was aligned to the antidiscrimination provision, they would be accepted.

Q. (By Mr. Davis) Okay. Could a school under this

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024 preference prioritize children of color from historically 1 2 underserved areas? 3 Yes. 4 And then, again, the preference says schools may grant 5 preference to an eligible child based on the child and/or family being a part of a specific community. Does that include the 6 7 LGBTQ community? 8 Yes. 9 Q Ms. Odean, shifting gears here. UPK's nondiscrimination 10 requirement, what you've been calling its nondiscrimination 11 requirement, that's one of the quality standards that's set out 12 in the UPK statute; right? 13 A Yes. 14 And the department is allowed -- is it true that the 15 department is allowed to permit providers who don't meet quality 16 standards to participate under some circumstances? 17 Yes. But it doesn't allow them not to follow the law. 18 To clarify -- well, strike that. If a quality standard is not health and safety related, I think you agreed with your 19 counsel earlier that the department had discretion to make 20 21 exceptions for those quality standards on a temporary basis; 22 right? 23 A Correct. 24 Does the statute specify which of the quality standards are 25 health and safety related?

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024

A The statute defines health and safety as a priority in alignment with licensing and in alignment with the expectations of the law. I understand that as the director of the program to

ensure a safe and healthy environment of learning for children.

- Thank you for that, Ms. Odean. My question was does the statute tell the public or you which of the quality standards are health and safety related, or is that something that you
- 9 A It doesn't specifically define that, except for in
 10 consideration of national and local best practices, which that
- Q Okay. Has the department ever issued any guidance as to which of the quality standards it understands are health and safety related?
- A That's what's in process through the development of the standards. Then there will be guidance alongside that as well.
- 17 Q So, not yet; right?

would be a part of.

just are understanding yourself?

18 A Correct.

4

8

- 19 Q Okay. I think you testified earlier that you view the
 20 nondiscrimination requirement -- what you've been calling the
 21 nondiscrimination requirement as health and safety related; is
 22 that right?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. When did the department first determine that the nondiscrimination requirement was health and safety related?

- 1 A I don't know that there was a specific point in time where
- 2 that was a decision point. Having participated in, you know, as
- 3 a provider in the stakeholder -- in writing the bill, it was
- 4 always contemplated that that was a part of health and safety
- 5 from my engagement in that.
- 6 Q No specific point in time; right?
- 7 A Yeah.
- 8 Q Okay. Have you ever issued any guidance document to the
- 9 public saying the nondiscrimination requirement is a health and
- 10 safety related quality standard?
- 11 A No. Again, that's -- the quality standards are in process,
- 12 and certainly we'll continue to provide guidance as that
- 13 evolves.
- 14 Q Okay. The nondiscrimination requirement, it's not the only
- 15 minimum quality standard that's expressly set out in the
- 16 statute, is it?
- 17 A It isn't.
- 18 Q Yeah. There are others?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. Has the department determined that any of the other
- 21 | quality standards that are expressly set out in the statute are
- 22 health and safety related?
- 23 A We haven't written any specific guidance, but when you look
- 24 at other frameworks and have conversations with stakeholders,
- 25 certainly here the complexities of -- the overlap of health and

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024 1 safety with positive learning environments, positive learning 2 engagements with children and their classmates, children and 3 their educators. 4 So, has it determined that any of the other quality 5 standards expressly set out in the statute are not health and safety related? 6 7 We haven't specifically defined them that way, but certainly there are differences between cognitive and academic. 8 9 What we're really looking at with health and safety is the 10 overall environment. And they all contribute, but some 11 specifically to, again, access to the program, and then access 12 for the child in the learning environment. 13 So, you haven't made a specific determination that any of 14 the other quality standards set out in the statute are or are 15 not health and safety related except the nondiscrimination 16 requirement? 17 Correct. 18 How did the department arrive at its view that the nondiscrimination requirement was health and safety related? 19 Again, I think that was contemplated prior to the 20 department. I think that in my experience in engaging in the 21 22 bill writing, that was intentionally written in to ensure access 23 to programs, but also being really intentional and thoughtful 24 about children's access to learning once they're in that 25 classroom, and that they -- their social-emotional development

- 1 is dependent on their feeling safe and healthy and welcome in
- 2 the environment that they're in so that they can grow and
- 3 develop.
- 4 Q Yeah. It was contemplated?
- 5 A It was part of the conversation in the bill-writing
- 6 process.
- 7 Q Part of the conversation. Okay. Why didn't it get written
- 8 Into the statute that the nondiscrimination requirement was
- 9 health and safety related?
- 10 A Yeah. I don't know that, because I wasn't a bill writer,
- 11 but I participated as a stakeholder.
- 12 Q Okay. Ms. Odean, even before the UPK statute, I think you
- 13 may have agreed with your counsel, but I will just ask the
- 14 question anyway. Colorado child care centers were subject to
- 15 | health and safety requirements before UPK; right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And but then you -- and that's the licensing
- 18 requirements? Is that generally where those appear?
- 19 A Licensing and Colorado Shines.
- 20 Q Okay. But I think you also testified earlier that safety
- 21 is more than licensing requirements; is that right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And I think you testified something like in a really
- 24 | thoughtful way, that was called out in the law. Do you remember
- 25 saying that?

- 1 A I do.
- 2 Q Where specifically in the law is it called out that safety
- 3 goes beyond licensing requirements?
- 4 A Again, I can't point to a specific citation. I can review
- 5 the 500 pages where that's my understanding, but what I'd say is
- 6 there's the requirements of licensing for safety, and there's
- 7 the requirements of health and safety for the environment. And
- 8 that's my understanding, having been a provider, and as we
- 9 develop these quality standard rules to support providers.
- 10 Q You testified a bit earlier with your counsel about what
- 11 the statute means when it says this or that, but you weren't
- 12 part of the general assembly when the statute was passed, were
- 13 you?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q And you haven't talked to all the members of the general
- 16 assembly about what the statute means, have you?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Okay. So, when you were making those -- when you were
- 19 giving that testimony, you were testifying about your
- 20 understanding; right?
- 21 A Yes. And what is written.
- 22 Q Your understanding of what is written?
- 23 A Yeah.
- 24 Q But for providers, is it your understanding that's binding
- 25 on them, or is it what the statute says that is binding on

```
Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024
                                                        Page: 78<sub>252</sub>
             23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024
    providers?
1
2
         The law is binding for all of us.
 3
     0
         Yeah.
 4
    A
          Yeah.
5
    Q
         The law, but not your understanding; right?
         Well, and how we operationalize and implement the program.
 6
7
          Okay. But not your testimony here today. That's not
     0
     what's binding on all providers; right?
8
 9
          I'm not sure what you're asking.
10
          I can move on. So, I want to circle back to the health and
11
     safety regulations that predated UPK, some of them in the
12
     licensing requirements like we were just discussing. Does the
13
     department make those regulations available to providers?
14
          The licensing regulations?
15
         Health and safety requirements that predated UPK.
16
         The department programs who operate Colorado Shines and who
17
     operate licensing make those available to providers, yes.
18
          Does the department itself have a part of its website
     specifically about health and safety requirements?
19
          I'm not aware.
20
    A
21
         Not aware?
22
         One way or the other, yup.
23
        Okay. All right. Just to be clear, we've been talking --
```

or you talked with your counsel a lot about the

24

25

nondiscrimination provision in the UPK statute. I'd like you to

- 1 take a look at Exhibit 13, if you could, and just let me know
- 2 when you're there. It will be in your binder, I think in the
- 3 first volume. Take your time.
- 4 A Exhibit 13?
- 5 Q Yes.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q And if we could turn to the second page, fourth bullet
- 8 down. Is that what you and your counsel were referring to as
- 9 the nondiscrimination requirement?
- 10 A The fourth bullet says about a student withdrawing?
- 11 Q Excuse me. I'm sorry. If you look under the heading,
- 12 quality assurance, sort of midway down the page, and then the
- 13 fourth bullet down from that.
- 14 A Could you repeat the question? Sorry.
- 15 Q Yes. Is that the one beginning, requirement that each
- 16 preschool provider, is that what you and your counsel were
- 17 referring to as the nondiscrimination requirement?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Could you please read it out loud, Ms. Odean.
- 20 A Requirement that each preschool provider provide eligible
- 21 children an equal opportunity to enroll and receive preschool
- 22 services regardless of race, ethnicity, religious affiliation,
- 23 sexual orientation, gender identity, lack of housing, income
- 24 level, or disability, as such characteristics and circumstances
- 25 apply to the child or the child's family.

- 1 Q Does the word "discrimination" appear anywhere in that
- 2 provision?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Does it say instead that providers have to provide an equal
- 5 opportunity to enroll, regardless of, and then a list of
- 6 protected characteristics?
- 7 A It does say that.
- 8 Q So, similarly, I would like to take a look at the statute
- 9 again. If you could turn to Exhibit 67. This is in defendants'
- 10 exhibit binder. I'm sorry for all the binder flipping.
- 11 A I believe it's on the screen.
- 12 Q Great. Thank you. Is this 67? Give me one moment,
- 13 Ms. Odean. Scroll down a little further. There we go. Thank
- 14 you for your patience. If you look at subsection B there, is
- 15 that the part of the statute that you and your counsel were
- 16 referring to as the nondiscrimination requirement?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Okay. And do you see the term "discrimination" or
- 19 "nondiscrimination" or "antidiscrimination" in that provision?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q You testified earlier that this provision was designed to
- 22 protect groups that have been historically discriminated
- 23 against. Do you remember that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q If you look at the provision now, does it say that?

- 1 A It doesn't.
- 2 Q Are you aware that Catholics have historically been
- 3 discriminated against?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q You're not aware of it; right?
- 6 MS. CARRENO: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Not specifically.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Davis) Okay. Ms. Odean, you were discussing the
- 10 matching process earlier. And I think the general idea is that
- 11 providers are required to accept the matches unless one of the
- 12 programmatic preferences applies; is that right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. And then I just said the preferences, but the
- 15 department has several programmatic preferences that are
- 16 exceptions from that general matching requirement; right?
- 17 A We have preferences to the algorithm to ensure mixed
- 18 delivery, yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Thank you. Do you know how many providers have
- 20 claimed at least one of the preferences?
- 21 A I believe it's about a thousand.
- 22 Q About a thousand? If I told you that in your interrogatory
- 23 responses, you said the number was 1,091, at least at that time,
- 24 | would that sound about right?
- 25 A Okay. Yes.

- 1 Q Okay. And the department has also allowed providers to
- 2 request other preferences besides the ones that were recognized
- 3 as programmatic preferences; right?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q If we could look at Exhibit 31, and this will be back in
- 6 the plaintiffs' binder, or maybe on the screen momentarily. I
- 7 think it's on your screen now, Ms. Odean.
- 8 A Okay. Thank you.
- 9 Q Do you recognize this document?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Is this a document that allowed providers to request other
- 12 exceptions to the matching process besides the programmatic
- 13 preferences?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And if you look at the end of the document, we'll
- 16 scroll down, under exception requested, it gives examples of the
- 17 different kinds of preferences that someone might request using
- 18 | this form?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And one of these is my location only serves teen
- 21 moms enrolled at a neighboring high school; is that right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And one of them is my location only serves children with
- 24 specific disabilities; is that right?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Did the department in fact receive some requests for other
- 2 exceptions under this form?
- 3 A We did.
- 4 Q And it considered those requests on a case-by-case basis;
- 5 right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And it granted some of them; right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q If we could look at Exhibit 36. Is that the wrong exhibit?
- 10 34. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Odean?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Is this a spreadsheet showing the department's responses to
- 13 exceptions that were requested by way of that form we were
- 14 looking at?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did the department grant an exception requested under the
- 17 form allowing a provider to only accept fully-vaccinated
- 18 | children?
- 19 A I don't recall specifically.
- 20 Q Okay. But if on this spreadsheet, in the approved column
- 21 | it says yes, it would be your testimony that that exception was
- 22 granted?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. All right. If we could flip to Exhibit 23.
- 25 Ms. Odean, the parties have stipulated that this is an authentic

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024 copy of a news article that has some quotes from Governor Polis 1 2 in it. Do you see that that's what it is? And Mr. Reaves can 3 scroll through it. 4 A Yes. 5 And you see that the article appears to be about this lawsuit; is that right? 6 7 A Yes. Q Okay. Do you see where Governor Polis is quoted as 8 9 saying -- and it's right there on the first page, Nick. I think 10 our focus has always been kids first, of course no 11 discrimination, serve everybody if you want to take public funds. Do you see that? 12 13 A I do. 14 Do you agree with that statement? 15 A Certainly it's great to see preschoolers going into 16 program, and I would say I agree that we want to serve as many 17 eligible children and have an inclusive mixed-delivery model. 18 But I can't -- I'm not -- obviously I'm not the governor and can't speak to the intention or meaning of what he's saying. 19 Sure. I'm asking, here is what he's saying, and I'm asking 20 21 do you agree with it? 22 Yeah. But I don't know beyond the context of a quote taken 23 out of context what the meaning is. So, I can say that I do 24 agree that we're excited about children. We're excited to get 25 as many participating. We're excited to get as many providers

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024 participating as possible and get the funding out in service of 1 2 families, and certainly we will follow the law on the 3 antidiscrimination provision. 4 Thank you for that. Do you agree, serve everybody if you 5 want to take public funds? Do you agree with that idea? I would ask him what he meant by that specifically to 6 7 understand what he's referring to specifically there. If he really meant everybody, you would disagree; right? 8 9 I don't know that. I interpret it as this is a voluntary 10 program; right? So, Universal Preschool was set up as voluntary 11 for families and voluntary for providers. It's not mandated. 12 If we had chosen a mandated system in Colorado, it would likely 13 be similar to K-12, where you're required to attend first grade through -- or have a documented way that you're achieving that 14 15 education. 16 This is voluntary. So, I believe that's what he's 17 referring to. Again, I don't know, but I would say I do agree 18 that it should be voluntary. Families can choose to participate or not, and so can providers. And we want to provide as many 19 opportunities for both as possible. 20 21 Yeah. I see what you mean by "voluntary." He says, if you want to take public funds, but I want to focus on that first 22

A I believe if people choose to participate, they should have

part. He says, serve everybody if you want to take public

funds. Do you agree with that?

23

24

- 1 access, yes.
- 2 Q And if providers choose to participate, they should serve
- 3 everybody?
- 4 A In alignment with the law. Mm-hmm.
- 5 Q Okay. Thank you. Ms. Odean, your lawyers in this case
- 6 have compared this lawsuit to cases involving academies in the
- 7 1970s that were segregated based on race. Are you aware of
- 8 | that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Do you agree with that comparison?
- 11 A Any specific --
- 12 Q Yeah. Do you think that --
- MS. CARRENO: Objection, Your Honor, to the extent
- 14 that this calls for a legal conclusion from this witness.
- MR. DAVIS: I'm not asking for comparison to
- 16 precedent. I'm asking about the facts.
- 17 THE COURT: It's a cloudy area, but he's not asking
- 18 her for that reason, and it wouldn't be accepted as evidence of
- 19 that reason, but it would be accepted as evidence concerning the
- 20 behavior of the administrators in administering the act, as to
- 21 what they do. So, the objection is overruled.
- 22 MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 23 THE WITNESS: In that regard, I haven't gone through
- 24 our attorneys' comparison and compared it to our program and
- 25 made programmatic decisions at this time. I recognize that they

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024 utilized that as part of the planning for this trial, but not 1 2 specifically for the design of the program moving forward or how 3 we would integrate or consider those. (By Mr. Davis) Yeah. Yeah. Thank you for that. And my 4 5 question is really about the comparison between the schools. So, you heard St. Mary's and Wellspring testify yesterday about 6 7 their enrollment practices; right? 8 Yes. A 9 With respect to sexual orientation and gender identity? 10 Yes. 11 Do you think that those preschools, given those enrollment 12 practices, are similar to segregationist academies in the 1970s? 13 MS. CARRENO: Objection, Your Honor. To the extent that there's not foundation that she understands exactly what 14 15 Mr. Davis is referring to. 16 THE COURT: It goes to the credibility of the witness. 17 Overruled. 18 MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor. You can answer the 19 question. I will reask, if you like. 20 THE WITNESS: That would be great. 21 (By Mr. Davis) Sure. You heard the testimony yesterday 22 of St. Mary's and Wellspring about their enrollment practices; 23 right? 24 A I did.

Kevin P. Carlin, RMR, CRR

Specifically with respect to sexual orientation and gender

25

Q

- 1 identity?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Do you think that those preschools, given those enrollment
- 4 practices, are similar to segregationist academies in the 1970s?
- 5 A I don't believe I'm an expert on either. What I would say
- 6 from the testimony I heard yesterday is as a program, we need to
- 7 consider what we have in the law that we're implementing,
- 8 including the antidiscrimination provision, and ensure that if a
- 9 child is in a preschool setting of a participating provider,
- 10 that it's safe and healthy for them to be able to engage, and
- 11 that it isn't -- that safe and healthy isn't compromised by
- 12 discrimination.
- 13 Q So, the problem with St. Mary's and Wellspring is just the
- 14 law. It's the antidiscrimination provision that's your problem
- 15 | with their practices?
- 16 A I don't fully know all of their practices. I certainly
- 17 learned a lot yesterday, as you pointed out. But since that's
- 18 our focus in this conversation, that would be obviously
- 19 something that we would have to consider.
- 20 Q Yeah. If there were no antidiscrimination provision in the
- 21 law, you would be okay with them participating in UPK?
- 22 MS. CARRENO: Objection. Speculation.
- 23 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 24 THE WITNESS: It is in the law, and I believe it's
- 25 intentionally in the law to ensure that children have a safe and

- 1 healthy environment, and I do agree with that.
- 2 Q. (By Mr. Davis) Yeah. So, the law is the obstacle to
- 3 their participating; right?
- 4 A Is that a question?
- 5 Q Yes.
- 6 A If they're choosing to discriminate, it is.
- 7 Q Okay. In defending you in this case, your lawyers have
- 8 also cited studies about the impacts of bullying and
- 9 stigmatization on LGBTQ children. Are you aware of that?
- 10 A I am.
- 11 Q Do you agree that the policies that you heard about from
- 12 the plaintiffs yesterday, that those are akin to bullying and
- 13 stigmatization?
- 14 A Potentially.
- 15 Q Okay. Thank you. Ms. Odean, if we could look at
- 16 Exhibit 20. Me and my colleagues can get that pulled up. Do
- 17 you recognize this document, Ms. Odean?
- 18 A I do.
- 19 Q Does it -- well, what is it?
- 20 A So, when a family is in our application system, and they
- 21 choose and rank providers before they submit an application, it
- 22 shows what preferences those providers might have.
- 23 Q Okay. Why does it show those preferences?
- 24 A So that families understand and are making an informed
- 25 | choice about the provider that they've listed.

- 1 Q Okay. Thank you. Are licensed child care providers
- 2 subject to licensing requirements?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And does the State sometimes receive complaints about
- 5 licensed child care providers?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Does it keep records of those complaints?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Do schools associated with the Archdiocese of Denver hold
- 10 child care licenses?
- 11 A I can't speak to if they all do, but they certainly have
- 12 licensed providers.
- 13 Q Some do?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Has any of those schools ever been the subject of a
- 16 complaint from an LGBTQ-identifying family?
- 17 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 18 Q What about from any other person alleging LGBTQ
- 19 discrimination?
- 20 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 21 Q And you're saying not that I'm aware of, but to be clear,
- 22 you've looked through these complaint records for purposes of
- 23 | this case; right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And in those complaint records, there is no such complaint

- 1 of the kinds that I just described?
- 2 A Yes. I'm just not a licensing specialist or on the
- 3 licensing team.
- 4 Q Yeah. I understand. Thank you.
- 5 A Yeah.
- 6 Q And to be clear, the Archdiocese's license providers, you
- 7 said you weren't sure if they all had licenses, but St. Mary's
- 8 and Wellspring do; right?
- 9 A They do.
- 10 Q Do you recall how long Wellspring has had a child care
- 11 license?
- 12 A I don't.
- 13 Q St. Mary?
- 14 A I don't recall.
- 15 Q Okay. Ms. Odean, are you aware that there's a preschool in
- 16 Buena Vista called Darren Patterson Christian Academy?
- 17 A I am.
- 18 Q And that school is participating in UPK; right?
- 19 A They are.
- 20 Q So, the department is providing UPK funding on the same
- 21 basis as other providers?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Ms. Odean, you testified earlier that the provision of the
- 24 provider agreement that we've been calling paragraph or
- 25 provision 18B, that that was going to be removed from future

- 1 versions of the provider agreement; is that right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And I think you said that's because the department has no
- 4 authority to regulate the hiring practices of faith-based
- 5 providers?
- 6 A It's not our intention to regulate the hiring practices,
- 7 because there's laws in place that do. And we have workforce
- 8 credentialing components that are a part of licensing, and
- 9 that's our priority to ensure.
- 10 Q I do think you said the word "authority." Are you walking
- 11 | that back now, or --
- 12 A No, I'm not.
- 13 Q So, no authority to -- sorry. Excuse me. So, no authority
- 14 to regulate the hiring practices of faith-based providers.
- 15 A With the understanding that there are laws in place that do
- 16 regulate that, and that's not our agency or department's role.
- 17 Q Thank you. So, provision 18B is not only being removed
- 18 | from next year's agreement, but you wouldn't expect it to ever
- 19 be put back in; right?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q Can we flip back to Exhibit 71. I'm looking at subsection
- 22 C, and thank you for your patience, Ms. Odean.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q The provision starting, nothing in these rules shall be
- 25 | construed, and it goes on.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Would you mind reading that for the record.
- 3 A Nothing in these rules shall be construed to affect an
- 4 eligible preschool provider's right to engage in
- 5 privately-funded, inherently-religious activity or affect the
- 6 independence of eligible preschool providers, including any
- 7 | rights protected by the Colorado and U.S. Constitutions and
- 8 applicable law.
- 9 Q Thank you. When was this provision added to the draft
- 10 regulations?
- 11 A I don't recall the specific point in time when it was
- 12 added.
- 13 Q Okay. But before this version that was just finalized on
- 14 New Year's Day, it wasn't in the prior draft that was released
- 15 to the public; right?
- 16 A I don't think so.
- 17 Q Okay. So, this is the first time it's been put in front of
- 18 | the Court?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Were you involved in the decision to add this provision?
- 21 A I was.
- 22 Q Can you tell me where the language for this provision came
- 23 from?
- 24 A I can't remember where the specific language came from, but
- 25 I will tell you the intent was to ensure that providers -- let

- 1 me start over.
- 2 0 Sure.
- 3 A So, this was -- this was the intent to put this in with the
- 4 intent to ensure our providers knew that they could follow their
- 5 programmatic expectations and decisions, and with the assurances
- 6 that, regardless of the time of day, for example, they could be
- 7 following through with that particular program, and that we
- 8 | wouldn't be separating out what was universal preschool. And
- 9 really to be thoughtful, again, about the uniqueness that
- 10 providers bring, and be inclusive of mixed delivery and specific
- 11 models that might be in place for quality.
- 12 Q Got it. So, the intent wasn't to give an exception to the
- 13 nondiscrimination requirement; right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q Okay. So, you heard St. Mary's and Wellspring's witnesses
- 16 testify yesterday about their enrollment practices with respect
- 17 to sexual orientation and gender identity; right? And so this
- 18 exception wouldn't change the requirements of them one way or
- 19 the other with respect to those enrollment practices; is that
- 20 right?
- 21 A The rule doesn't change that provision of
- 22 antidiscrimination, because that's in the law. So, the rule,
- 23 the department can't or a provider handbook can't change the
- 24 law.
- 25 Q So, this provision in subsection C doesn't change whether

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 95

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024 St. Mary's and Wellspring's enrollment practices are allowed or 1 2 not allowed under the UPK program? 3 Correct. 4 Thank you. Ms. Odean, you testified a lot about how the 5 department is subject to the law, can't change the law. You're referring to the UPK statute; right? 6 7 A Yes. 8 Are you aware that the department is also subject to the 9 United States Constitution? 10 Yes. 11 Okay. So, is it your understanding that if the UPK statute 12 requires one thing, the U.S. Constitution requires another, the 13 department would have to follow the United States Constitution; 14 right? 15 MS. CARRENO: Objection. Legal conclusion. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 MR. DAVIS: So, you're not --18 THE COURT: That's what we're here to decide, ultimate 19 question. Whether the practice of the State, according to its 20 statute and its public referendum, meets the requirements of the 21 First amendment. 22 MR. DAVIS: Absolutely, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: It's not a question for a witness to 24 answer. It's for me to answer.

Kevin P. Carlin, RMR, CRR

MR. DAVIS: Fully agree, Your Honor.

```
23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024
1
              THE COURT: All right.
2
              MR. DAVIS: Can I try rephrasing the question one more
 3
     time, and if you sustain an objection, that's okay?
 4
            (By Mr. Davis) Ms. Odean, what I'm asking is not what the
5
     U.S. Constitution requires. I'm just saying if there were a
     difference in what the statute required and the Constitution
 6
7
    required, would the department have to follow the Constitution
    or the statute?
8
9
              MS. CARRENO: Same objection.
10
              THE COURT: That's the same question. Sustained.
11
              MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
12
    Q.
            (By Mr. Davis) Exhibit 71, these are the draft new rules.
13
    We've discussed it several times; right, Ms. Odean?
14
         Yes.
15
         These draft preferences, you view them as consistent with
16
    the UPK statute; right?
17
    A
         I do.
18
        Okay. Also, the definition of "congregation" in the draft?
19
         Yes.
              MR. DAVIS: If I could confer with my colleagues for a
20
21
    moment, Your Honor?
22
              THE COURT: Yes.
23
              MR. DAVIS: Just a few more questions.
24
    Q.
            (By Mr. Davis) Ms. Odean, I believe you were testifying
25
    earlier about one of the reasons the department wanted to allow
```

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024 for continuity of care preferences is because of the importance 1 2 of continuity of care for children; right? 3 Yes. 4 And their families? Do you think that access to a 5 religious education is also important for religious children and their families? 6 7 I don't want to speak on behalf of families, but I would say we have heard from providers and families that continuity of 8 9 care in that setting is important to them. 10 Okay. More important than access to religious education? 11 I don't -- I haven't had that specific conversation about 12 priorities that a specific family might have in that regard. 13 Yeah. I'm asking for your view, Ms. Odean. 14 Could you state it again, then? 15 Sure. Is continuity of care more important for families to 16 have access to than access to religious education? 17 I would say continuity of care is more globally applied. 18 That's something that is important regardless of setting. So, I would say that weighs pretty heavily, yeah. 19 Okay. More heavily than access to religious education? 20 21 Yeah. I don't -- in our program, it isn't about that 22 priority. It's about access to mixed delivery, and continuity 23 of care is expected in all of those settings, where religious 24 education isn't. So, our focus has been on operationalizing

what we've been charged with, which isn't religious education in

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Cross 01-03-2024

- 1 the setting or the priority of that.
- 2 Q You testified earlier that some of these preferences arose
- 3 because the department learned from providers that they wouldn't
- 4 be able to participate unless they got a preference like that;
- 5 | right?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q Okay. But in this lawsuit and then yesterday, you heard
- 8 St. Mary's and Wellspring Catholic Academy saying that they are
- 9 not able to participate unless they get an exception like the
- 10 one they requested; right?
- 11 A I heard that, yes.
- 12 Q Okay. But the department granted some preferences when
- 13 told by providers that they wouldn't be able to participate, but
- 14 it has not granted Wellspring and St. Mary's request; right?
- 15 A That's correct.

- 16 MR. DAVIS: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. Just a minute, please. I have to
- 18 look at something. One of the burdens of this office is having
- 19 to attend judges' meetings, the vitality of which is subject to
- 20 rational dispute, but attending is not subject to dispute. So,
- 21 I have to recess, and I don't want to say you have seven minutes
- 22 and then come back again for the rest of it. I think it makes
- 23 more sense that we recess until 12:15 [sic], and then you do the
- 24 cross examine -- or the redirect examination. Yes.
 - MR. WHITEHAIR: 1:15, Your Honor?

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 99

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Redirect 01-03-2024 THE COURT: 1:15. Can we do that? All right. We 1 2 will stand in recess until 1:15. 3 (Recess at 11:53 a.m., until 1:19 p.m.) 4 THE COURT: Ready to proceed? 5 MS. CARRENO: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MS. CARRENO 8 And welcome back, Ms. Odean. 9 A Thank you. 10 During your cross examination earlier today, you were asked 11 a number of questions about the rule-making process and the 12 proposed rules in this case; is that correct? 13 Yes. 14 Was the rule-making process for the quality standards 15 contemplated prior to this lawsuit? 16 A Yes. 17 And why was it contemplated prior to this lawsuit? 18 Because it was the expectation of the program to set quality standards in rule in statute. 19 And as a new department, is the rule-making for the quality 20 21 standards and other rules an ongoing process? 22 A Yes. 23 Does the department continue to refine and adjust its rules 24 after they're proposed? 25 A They can, yes.

- 1 Q And is that also true after rules are adopted?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And are these rules following the same rule-making process
- 4 | that all of the other Department of Early Childhood rules are
- 5 following and have been following?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And why is that?
- 8 A The rule process has been established. It's not a program
- 9 process. It's a department process to have the rules advisory
- 10 council and a rules promulgation process in place for the new
- 11 department.
- 12 Q You were also asked a number of questions about the
- 13 "congregation" definition and the faith-based provider
- 14 preference. So, talking about the congregation preference in
- 15 these rules, these are just proposed rules at this point;
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q And is it possible that the definition of "congregation"
- 19 | can change before it's adopted either later this month or
- 20 sometime next month?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q And can Catholic providers or any other faith-based
- 23 providers continue to make suggested changes to that definition?
- 24 A Yes, they can.
- 25 Q And is the department open to listening to that feedback

- 1 and those suggestions?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Why is that?
- 4 A Again, it's a part of that authentic rule process and to
- 5 honor that with integrity. It's inclusive of stakeholder input
- 6 and feedback throughout the process. And then also I would say
- 7 as the director of the program, it has been a priority to ensure
- 8 that collective voice that developed the program continue
- 9 through, and as you've heard it been said, that it's our aim as
- 10 a new state department not to be top-down, but rather alongside
- 11 our stakeholders and providers in order to serve.
- 12 Q And those stakeholders include faith-based providers?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q You were also asked about the purpose of the faith-based
- 15 provider preference. Is the purpose of that preference to allow
- 16 providers to discriminate on the basis of religion?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q What is the purpose?
- 19 A The purpose is to be inclusive of providers in mixed
- 20 delivery and the programs that they bring for families in that
- 21 community, and continuity of care.
- 22 Q And you were also asked about whether faith-based providers
- 23 get to define their own congregations. Why do faith-based
- 24 providers get to define the members of their community?
- 25 A That's something we wouldn't know at the state level. And

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Redirect 01-03-2024 so faith-based providers are considered faith-based providers 1 2 because they attest to being so, and that preference for 3 faith-based providers -- the word "congregation," for example, 4 was used to be as expansive as possible so that faith-based 5 providers could define their community in the way that they 6 have. 7 Is any faith-based provider allowed to discriminate on the basis of religion or religious affiliation? 8 9 A No. 10 Why does the department not consider the congregation 11 preference a workaround or a way to allow providers to 12 discriminate on the basis of religion? 13 It is about an inclusive community, and being able to 14 ensure that providers can continue to serve the communities that 15 they have served, and families can continue to participate. 16 If the department learned that a provider was utilizing the 17 congregation preference in a discriminatory way, would the 18 department investigate the use of the preference in that way? 19 A Yes. And why would the department do that? 20 0 21 To ensure that we're all following the expectations set 22 forth for us in the law. 23 0 Would that be true for all of the preferences? 24 A Yes. 25 Q What was the purpose of not just the congregation

- 1 preference, but all of the preferences?
- 2 A The purpose of the preferences came about because of the
- 3 system's use of the deferred acceptance algorithm, which was --
- 4 which is an algorithm for a lottery matching. That specific
- 5 algorithm didn't consider mixed delivery or early childhood
- 6 enrollment, for example, but is an accepted algorithm for a
- 7 lottery match.
- 8 And so the weights that are provided or the preferences
- 9 that are provided are to honor the unique program we have in
- 10 Colorado and the expectations around mixed delivery.
- 11 Q And can providers use any of the preferences in a way that
- 12 discriminates in violation of the statute?
- 13 A The preferences are an exception to the algorithm, not to
- 14 | the law.
- 15 Q And so I also want to direct your attention to some of the
- 16 questions and hypotheticals you were asked about earlier on
- 17 cross examination. Do you remember getting some hypothetical
- 18 questions about scenarios earlier today?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And do you remember being asked about students of color,
- 21 gender-nonconforming students?
- 22 A Yes. I remember.
- 23 Q Have you ever received any of those types of requests for a
- 24 preference on those bases before?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q And you were asked a number of hypotheticals and expected
- 2 to answer those hypotheticals on the fly this morning. If you
- 3 did encounter a situation like that, how would the department
- 4 typically address those types of requests?
- 5 A As a new request, as a new program, we would have a
- 6 conversation as a department with our leadership team, and
- 7 likely with our attorneys to determine what the next steps would
- 8 be.
- 9 Q And why would you do that?
- 10 A Because it is tied to the law, and because it's new.
- 11 Q You were asked about the possibility of a request from a
- 12 preschool that serves only African-American children. Has the
- 13 department ever received a request from a provider to turn away
- 14 white children?
- MR. DAVIS: Objection. Misstates the question, Your
- 16 Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: You may conduct a surcross on that point
- 18 If you wish.
- MR. DAVIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 21 Q. (By Ms. Carreno) Do you need me to repeat the question?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q You were asked about the possibility of a request from a
- 24 preschool that might serve only African-American children. Has
- 25 the department ever received a request from a provider to turn

- 1 away white children?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q And you were asked about the possibility of a request from
- 4 a preschool that serves only gender-nonconforming children. Has
- 5 the department ever received a request from a provider to turn
- 6 away cisgender children?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q You were asked about the possibility of a request from a
- 9 preschool that serves only LGBTQ children or LGBTQ families.
- 10 Has the department received a request from a provider to turn
- 11 away straight children or families?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q And had you ever thought about those possibilities prior to
- 14 this morning?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q And if you were asked any of those hypothetical scenarios
- 17 or questions, what would you do?
- 18 A Again, I would go to our senior leadership team and to our
- 19 attorneys to determine the appropriate process and next steps.
- 20 Q Is that how the department typically makes decisions around
- 21 | the preferences?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Would you consult with any other people within the
- 24 department before making that type of determination?
- 25 A I would.

23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Redirect 01-03-2024

- Q And if during going through that process of analyzing one
 of these requests the department learned that any of these
 hypothetical situations were in violation of the law, would the
- 5 A Not if they were in violation of the law.

department allow a provider to utilize those?

- Q And I want to turn back to questions around the preferences
 allowing providers to prioritize low-income children or children
 with disabilities. You had talked about on direct that the
 statute specifically directs the department to prioritize those
 children from low-income families or those children with
 - A Yes.

4

11

12

23

25

- 13 Q And can you describe -- can you describe what that means?
- 14 A My understanding is that means we as a program and as a
- 15 department have to ensure those children have access to a
- 16 high-quality setting for Universal Preschool, and specifically
- 17 that we don't diminish the access that they had in the previous
- 18 state-run program, but that we continue to serve and are able to
- 19 meet those children's needs specifically.

disabilities; is that correct?

- 20 Q And those children are specifically identified in statute?
- 21 A They are.
- 22 Q You were also asked about a school that only serves a
- AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

community of teen moms. Would the department investigate the

24 decision of a provider if it refused a teen dad access to that

preschool solely on the basis of his gender?

- "	281
	23-cv-2079-JLK DAWN ODEAN - Redirect 01-03-2024
1	A Would we investigate?
2	Q Yes. Would you investigate the decision to not serve a
3	person solely on the basis of his gender?
4	A If a father of a child in that program was denied access,
5	we would investigate.
6	MS. CARRENO: And, Your Honor, just one moment to
7	confer?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MS. CARRENO: Those are all the questions I have on
10	redirect, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Do you want to do a surcross
12	on that point?
13	MR. DAVIS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: You may stand down and relax.
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Next witness, please?
17	MS. RUST: Your Honor, our next witness is the witness
18	we asked to appear virtually. So, I don't know, we might need
19	about five minutes to make sure she is on.
20	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I can just dial her in now if
21	she's at the
22	MS. RUST: She should be on standby, yes.
23	THE COURT: She's on the screen now. Can you turn
24	down the volume a bit for me?
25	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It's fine now. And, actually,
	II .

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024 1 if she could just say something, just so I know. 2 MS. RUST: Sure. Dr. Goldberg, can you say something 3 so we can see if we can hear you, please? 4 THE WITNESS: Sure. How's this? Good? 5 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: One more time. 6 THE WITNESS: Can you hear me? 7 MS. RUST: That's great. Thank you. (The Witness is Sworn) 8 9 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full name for 10 the record, and spell your last name. 11 THE WITNESS: Abbie E. Goldberg, G-O-L-D-B-E-R-G. DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. RUST 13 14 Thank you, Dr. Goldberg. Dr. Goldberg, could you please 15 tell the Court what degrees you hold. 16 Sure. I have a bachelor's in psychology from Wesleyan 17 University. I hold a master's in clinical psychology from UMass 18 Amherst and a PhD in clinical psychology, UMass Amherst. 19 Do you practice clinical psychology? 20 I am primarily a research psychologist, so I do not -- I am not a licensed psychologist in the state of Massachusetts. 21 22 And what is your current employment? 23 My current employment is professor of clinical psychology 24 at Clark University. I am also the past director of clinical 25 training and the director of women and gender studies at Clark

- 1 University.
- 2 Q Do you teach any classes at Clark University?
- 3 A Yes. I teach ethics in clinical psychology to doctoral
- 4 students, which I've been doing for 15 years. I teach a course
- 5 in human sexuality. I teach courses in research methods,
- 6 psychology of sexual orientation, contemporary families, true
- 7 crime.
- 8 Q Dr. Goldberg, have you received grants for your research?
- 9 A I have. I've received several dozen grants, including to
- 10 MIH, International Institute of Health Grants, and a number of
- 11 grants from private foundations.
- 12 Q Do you have a current research project?
- 13 A I have several current research projects. One is a
- 14 | longitudinal study of adoptive families, which has been ongoing
- 15 since 2005. I have some other projects right now looking at the
- 16 legal context for LGBT families and adoptive families.
- 17 Q Have you written any peer-reviewed articles -- oh. You're
- 18 back.
- 19 A Do you want me to repeat that?
- 20 Q Yes, please.
- 21 A I have over 150 peer-reviewed articles.
- 22 Q And have you written any books?
- 23 A I am the author of four books and the editor of five books.
- 24 Q If you remember, could you tell us what your books are
- 25 | about, generally?

- 1 A Yeah. Mostly adoption and LGBT parent families.
- 2 Q And, Dr. Goldberg, is your work nationally recognized?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Is it internationally recognized?
- 5 A It is.
- 6 Q Have you ever given lectures on your expertise in LGBTQ
- 7 parenting?
- 8 A I have given over 100 lectures, over 60 of which have been
- 9 invited, including in other countries.
- 10 Q Has your professional work ever been cited before in a
- 11 | court case?
- 12 A Yes. Oh. I'm back; right?
- 13 Q Yup. You're back.
- 14 A Okay. Yeah. I don't know what's going on. Yes. It has
- 15 been cited in a number of different amicus briefs, including
- 16 Obergefell, Fulton, and several others.
- 17 Q And have you ever testified before as an expert?
- 18 A I've provided written testimony and affidavits for cases,
- 19 but all of those cases were resolved prior to trial, so I never
- 20 actually had to appear.
- 21 MS. RUST: Thank you. And, Your Honor, at this time I
- 22 | would tender a copy of Dr. Goldberg's CV to the Court, which is
- 23 Exhibit 44, for review later. Do you want me to pull it up for
- 24 you to see, Your Honor, or are you okay?
- 25 THE COURT: You don't have to pull it up. It's not

Page: 111₂₈₅ Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024

```
23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024
1
    necessary. I am going to review it with all the other
2
    documents.
 3
              MS. RUST: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to
 4
    make sure my understanding was correct.
5
            (By Ms. Rust) Dr. Goldberg, were you contacted by the
    Colorado Attorney General's Office to give expert testimony in
 6
7
    this case?
8
    A
         Yes.
9
    0
         When?
10
         I think it was November 21st.
11
         Are you being compensated for your testimony?
12
    A
         Yes.
    Q
13
         Were you asked to write an expert report?
14
         I was.
15
              MS. RUST: And, Your Honor, at this point I would
16
    tender Exhibit 45, which is Dr. Goldberg's expert report, to the
17
    Court.
18
              THE COURT: It's the same ruling that I gave
19
    previously.
20
              MS. RUST: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
    Q.
           (By Ms. Rust) Dr. Goldberg, did you review any materials
22
    in preparation of writing your expert report?
23
    A
         Yes.
24
    0
         What were they?
```

Kevin P. Carlin, RMR, CRR

A number of peer-reviewed articles, including those of my

25

A

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024 1 own and other colleagues and scholars, as well as a number of 2 white papers, which are usually prepared for the public, which 3 summarize data, but are not in peer-reviewed journals. 4 Is that typical in your line of work to review such materials? 5 6 Yes. 7 And is it also typical in your line of work to cite to other journal articles when writing a report? 8 9 A Yes. 10 Dr. Goldberg, you stated earlier that you've done research 11 studies on LGBTQ parenting and families; is that correct? 12 A Yes. 13 Can you tell us about your professional path that led to 14 this expertise? 15 Sure. I started my graduate work at UMass Amherst in the 16 late '90s doing research on heterosexual married couples who 17 were low-income and experiencing the birth of their first child. 18 In the context of doing that work, I realized that there was no research looking at the transition to parenthood for same-sex 19 couples. So, I did the first study, the first longitudinal 20 21 study of same-sex couples becoming parents, and so that formed 22 the basis of a lot of the work that has followed since. 23 In your work, have you found a -- let me rephrase that. In 24 your work, has your research found a common environment that 25 LGBTQ parents are found in?

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024

They're actually found in all environments. You know, 1 2 they're all over the country in every -- they've established 3 every county, every zip code. They do -- you know, they're in suburban, urban, rural environments. When you look within 4 5 same-sex couples in various geographic regions, there is a disproportionate number of LGBTQ parents parenting in rural 6 7 areas, meaning when you look at same-sex couples in rural areas, a greater percentage of them will be parents than, say, in urban 8

Q Okay. How about, has your research found that LGBTQ

parents compared to heterosexual parents in terms of

socioeconomic status?

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

areas.

A Yeah. So, it's not my specific research, but it's the research of many, you know, well-known demographers in the field. We're usually using national data to establish that LGBT parents are about twice as likely to live in poverty as compared to heterosexual cisgender parents. I think the number was 29 percent.

Q Do environments of poverty in rural environments constrain LGBT families when they are looking for early childhood education options?

A Yes. Parents who have less money have fewer options. They can't afford private preschools, so they're often limited in terms of what public or free options are available, which obviously constrains their options.

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024

- Q Has any of your research touched on this?
- 2 A Yes. So, I've looked at a pretty socioeconomically diverse
- 3 sample, and have found that those folks with less money do
- 4 report having fewer options, and also that they struggle with
- 5 finding accessible and affordable preschools.
- 6 Q Okay. And why was that finding important in your area of
- 7 expertise?

- 8 A I think basically just the fact that we know that children
- 9 benefit from, you know, good-quality early childhood education.
- 10 And if there is a group, for example, that is more likely to
- 11 live in poverty and more likely to have fewer options in terms
- 12 of preschools and accessible early childhood education, that
- 13 those families may be at risk for, you know, inadequate, you
- 14 know, outcomes in terms of children that have, you know,
- 15 ultimately no relationship to their own parenting, but their
- 16 | lack of access to the kind of environment that will support the
- 17 children and family.
- 18 Q Was this study of yours published in a known academic
- 19 journal?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Was the study subject to scrutiny of your peers?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And, Jen, could you pull up Exhibit 55, please.
- 24 Dr. Goldberg --
- 25 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Give me just a minute.

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024

MS. RUST: Sorry. Ms. Bernique, it's okay. I can do
this an alternate way that doesn't have to be on the computer
screen.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you want to put it on the Elmo? Hold on just a second. Do you have it on?

THE WITNESS: I have the title of it, so as long as you tell me the title, I don't know if that's acceptable.

MS. RUST: We can do it that way. That way we don't mess up our technology too much.

- Q. (By Ms. Rust) So, is the title of that journal article
 Preschool Selection Considerations and Experiences of School
- 12 Mistreatment Among Lesbian, Gay, and Heterosexual Adoptive
- 13 Parents?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 14 A Yes.
- MS. RUST: Okay. Your Honor, I would tender this as

 Exhibit 55 to Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. (By Ms. Rust) Dr. Goldberg, does living in a rural region
 put any additional constraints on an LGBT parent in preschool
- 20 experiences?
- A Well, folks who live in rural areas usually have far fewer options in terms of preschools, so there's fewer that are
- 23 actually in their immediate geographic area.
- Q Okay. How about if that family lives in a conservative area?

- A Yes. They're likely going to find -- face barriers in
- 2 terms of both where they feel comfortable sending their children
- 3 to school, as well as whether that school will necessarily
- 4 accept them.
- 5 Q And, Dr. Goldberg, have you done a study on this?
- 6 A I've done several studies that have addressed the
- 7 | sociopolitical context, and as well as the rurality or
- 8 urbanicity of the immediate environment and its effect on LGBT
- 9 families.
- 10 Q Well, you've done several studies, you said. So, could you
- 11 speak to us generally what your findings have been.
- 12 A So, some of the -- sort of collectively the research has
- 13 | found that LGBT families who reside in areas that are more
- 14 sociopolitically conservative, which is usually -- categorized
- 15 that way based on recent voting history, for example, the county
- 16 that they're living in, laws and so on, that they tend to face
- 17 more stigma in the school environment, sort of trickle-down
- 18 effects from the community. They also report greater
- 19 victimization of their children in schools. So, those are sort
- 20 of two, I think, key findings. Both parents and children are
- 21 reporting often worse outcomes.
- 22 Q Was there -- sorry. One second. Did any of your studies
- 23 have findings regarding how LGBT parents' interaction with the
- 24 school influenced their child's school performance?
- 25 A Not specifically academically, unless I'm forgetting a

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 117, 91 23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024 paper of mine. Mainly the findings really are around the 1 2 children's mental health and well-being. 3 Can you elaborate on those findings, please. 4 Yeah. So, parents who, again, report, you know, living in 5 areas that they deem less gay friendly or more conservative sociopolitically, and also in more rural regions, do report more 6 7 challenges in terms of school climate. So, a less supporting or 8 affirming school climate, and then in turn more mental health 9 problems in their children. 10 Okay. Dr. Goldberg, you mentioned victimization, that you 11 found more victimization of children of LGBT parents in one of your studies. Are there any unique victimizations of children 12 13 of LGBT parents? 14 Yeah. So, yes. They are. They're more likely to be 15 victimized in these less supportive environments, and 16 specifically more likely to be, for example, teased about their 17 own sexual orientation or gender identity or their parents' 18 sexual orientation and gender identity. Okay. Does that in turn affect a child's mental health? 19 Yes. It is fairly well established in the literature that

- 20
- 21 victimization is strongly correlated with in turn self-esteem,
- 22 depression, anxiety, and so on.
- Can it also affect a child's behavior? 23
- 24 Oh, yes. Certainly. And it has been linked to behavioral
- 25 challenges.

- 1 Q You also mentioned your studies regarding LGBT parents
- 2 feeling alienated by a school environment. What are some
- 3 consequences of that?
- 4 A So, when parents feel alienated both by teachers, the
- 5 general school climate, other parents, they generally are less
- 6 satisfied with the school. They may be less likely to, for
- 7 example, engage with the school in ways that would benefit the
- 8 school. For example, volunteering in a child's classroom or
- 9 school events and activities. Generally we know that strong
- 10 parent-school collaborations and partnerships are good for kids.
- 11 So, when parents feel alienated, this can have an indirect
- 12 effect on children's development as well.
- 13 Q Was there any part of your study that touched on LGBT
- 14 parents and their interaction with their peers in the school
- 15 | environment?
- 16 A Several studies look at parents' experiences and
- 17 perceptions of acceptance by other parents. And that research,
- 18 definitely when parents feel less accepted by other parents at
- 19 their children's school, they are less involved at their
- 20 children's school. They are less involved with their children's
- 21 school experience, and they have actually more negative
- 22 Interactions with teachers.
- 23 Q In your study of LGBT parents, did you find any examples of
- 24 | these parents feeling marginalized?
- 25 A Yes. Many examples, mostly categorized in terms of more

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024 1 explicit marginalization, having sort of explicitly negative 2 things said to them. And more implicit examples of 3 marginalization like curricular exclusion, paperwork not 4 encompassing their families, et cetera. 5 Did your study also look at what happens when an LGBT parent feels accepted in a school environment? 6 7 A Yes. 8 What were those findings? 9 So, when parents feel respected and appreciated as parents 10 in a school community, they tend to report more positive 11 relationships with the schools. They also tend to be more 12 engaged, volunteer, feel more connected to their children's 13 schools. 14 Okay. Did your research in this area include any findings 15 of how schools can include LGBTQ parents more effectively? 16 Yes. Parents usually report really valuing, again, the 17 kind of efforts to explicitly include them. Expanding, for 18 example, Mothers Day to Parents Day, having room for them to identify themselves on school paperwork, such as parent one, 19 parent two, parent three, having opportunities for their 20 21 children to complete assignments in a way that doesn't alienate or marginalize them for not having a father, for example. 22 23 And do these things in turn create a safe school 24 environment for children? 25 A They do.

- 1 Q How so?
- 2 A They allow children to feel that their family is respected,
- 3 included, appreciated, that they are a member of the school, a
- 4 complete member of the school community, and allows children to
- 5 have access to an equal education. It allows children to
- 6 participate fully in the life of the school, to not be
- 7 identified as different.
- 8 Q Dr. Goldberg, I did want to ask you, when we were answering
- 9 questions up to this point, do all of your opinions also cover
- 10 LGBTQ parents, or is it just LGBT parents?
- 11 A Which is -- what is the distinction? Which is the letter
- 12 that you are --
- 13 Q Yeah. That's a better question. I think just in our
- 14 | conversation, I've been saying LGBT, and you've been saying
- 15 LGBTQ. Do you understand there to be a difference between those
- 16 | two?
- 17 A Well, the Q is queer. And so for me, depending on
- 18 generational issues with the age of the parent, for example,
- 19 "queer" is an increasingly-used term, as is "pansexual," but
- 20 that's sort of encompassed in the queer. So, to me, it's a
- 21 generational issue, that older parents might not identify as
- 22 queer, but younger parents might.
- 23 Q Understood. Thank you. So, when you say LGBT, you're not
- 24 necessarily excluding the Q as we're talking?
- 25 A No.

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024

- Q Okay. Thank you.
- 2 A I sort of have an implicit asterisk or plus sign when I use
- 3 different terms. So, I would be clear, though, if I was
- 4 excluding a group, saying this applies to lesbian parents but
- 5 not gay parents or lesbian parents but not trans parents, for
- 6 example.

- 7 Q Thank you for allowing me that point of clarification,
- 8 Dr. Goldberg. Okay. We were just discussing some of your
- 9 additional research. So, instead of pulling them up again, I am
- 10 going to ask if one of these additional papers is entitled
- 11 Lesbian, Gay, and Heterosexual Adoptive Parents' Experiences in
- 12 Preschool Environments.
- 13 A Yes.
- MS. RUST: Okay. And then is the other one we were
- 15 discussing -- oh. I'm sorry, Your Honor. That's been
- 16 previously marked as Exhibit 57, and I will tender that one to
- 17 | the Court at this time.
- 18 Q. (By Ms. Rust) And then, Dr. Goldberg, was the other study
- 19 of yours we were just discussing Predicting Parents' School
- 20 Engagement Among Lesbian, Gay, and Heterosexual Adoptive Parents
- 21 of Kindergarteners?
- 22 A Yes.
- MS. RUST: Okay. And I believe -- sorry. That has
- 24 been previously marked as Exhibit 58, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: All right.

- Q. (By Ms. Rust) Okay. Dr. Goldberg, does the context in
- 2 which a child with LGBTQ or LGBT parents is raised matter?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Why?
- 5 A Well, children are impacted by the environments that they
- 6 spend the most amount of time in, and that would include the
- 7 family, and usually includes school.
- 8 Q Have -- I believe we talked about, you studied this;
- 9 | correct? The school environment?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Have you done any research on the internal environment of
- 12 schools and school communities and their effect on LGBTQ
- 13 parents?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. And, again, I believe we touched on this, but why is
- 16 an affirming school environment important to children of LGBTQ
- 17 parents?
- 18 A I think, again, to reiterate, children receive messages
- 19 from schools about whether their families and in turn they are
- 20 | valid and accepted. So, when a school curriculum, classroom,
- 21 the physical environment of schools, reflects and or at least
- 22 doesn't marginalize their family, that has an impact on their
- 23 sense of self.
- Q Okay. Did your research find any of the impacts that has
- 25 on sense of self of a child?

- A Yeah. Mainly through parents' reports, but parents do
- 2 report that when -- when their children attend schools that are
- 3 explicitly validating and inclusive, that their children feel
- 4 more positively about their school environment.
- 5 Q And does feeling more positively about a school environment
- 6 have any consequences for the child?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q What are they?
- 9 A Well, when a child feels, you know, accepted and included
- 10 at a school, they in turn have better self-regard for
- 11 themselves. If a child alternatively is being implicitly or
- 12 explicitly told that there is something wrong with them or their
- 13 | family, this can create negative self-views.
- 14 Q And what about if a child has a same-sex attraction? How
- 15 does the internal environment of a school or a school -- school
- 16 community affect them?
- 17 A Similarly if a child is getting messages that their
- 18 identity or presentation is non-normative, not accepted, equated
- 19 with negative qualities or attributes, they will internalize
- 20 those qualities as applying to themselves.
- 21 Q And have you done research into that topic?
- 22 A Some research. My predominant focus and expertise is LGBT
- 23 parents, but some of my work has focused on LGBT youth, and we
- 24 find very similar things. I will say that I know that
- 25 literature, and it's very consistent in terms of the effects of

- 1 school climate, for example.
- 2 Q Okay. And I believe that is Exhibit 66. And that one is
- 3 entitled When Parents Separate and One Parent Comes Out as
- 4 Lesbian, Gay, or Bisexual, Sons and Daughters Engage with the
- 5 Tension That Occurs When Their Family Unit Changes. And was
- 6 that article cited in your expert report?
- 7 A It was. That specific paper, I think has to do with
- 8 children of LGBT parents. I think it's the Wilkinson that's
- 9 regarding the youth that are LGBT.
- 10 Q Thank you. That one is School Culture and the well-being
- 11 of Same-Sex Attracted Youth; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. So, that's Exhibit 66. And I beg Your Honor's
- 14 pardon for the confusion of my exhibits. Okay. I believe you
- 15 mentioned that you have done studies about messaging regarding
- 16 lesbian, gay, or bisexual parents, how they can affect children.
- 17 Can you explain your 2007 study, how does that make a
- 18 difference?
- 19 A Sure. Yeah. Most of my work focusing on children of LGBT
- 20 parents, I've interviewed young adults about their experiences.
- 21 So, how specific do you want me to get with this particular
- 22 study?
- 23 Q Great question. Were there any significant findings of
- 24 | that study?
- 25 A Yes. For the most part, this study, which, you know, I've

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A

Yes.

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Direct 01-03-2024 essentially replicated with other studies, documents, the fact that for youth who are raised by LGBT parents, the struggles that they face in life don't stem from their parents' sexual orientation or gender identity, but rather from the environment that may or may not stigmatize their parents. So, the pain that they might experience, the teasing they might experience is due to other people's perceptions of their family. So, they love their parents, but they struggle with other people's perceptions or reactions to their families. And was that study of yours published with those findings? A Yes. Q And then would you explain just a general overview of your 2023 study Cherished Families that was in the Journal of Marriage and Family? A Yeah. This paper kind of built on a couple decades of work, and the purpose of it was actually to explore the experiences of LGBT families who have encountered a lot of difficulty. So, you know, this is not a representative sample of specifically a group of folks whose parents had, for example, struggled with mental health issues, or they had struggled with mental health issues. And, again, the findings really were that the challenges that they faced in life stemmed from societal homophobia, not from their parents' sexual orientation. Okay. And was that study of yours published?

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024 1 MS. RUST: Your Honor, I'm just going to take a break 2 and look at my outline of Dr. Goldberg and make sure I'm not 3 missing anything. One second. 4 (Pause in the proceedings.) 5 MS. RUST: All right. Dr. Goldberg, I am done for the 6 moment. Thank you. 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. CROSS EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MR. REAVES 10 Good afternoon, Dr. Goldberg. Can you hear me okay? 11 Yes. Thank you. 12 Just to introduce myself, my name is Nick Reaves. I am one 13 of the counsel for the Catholic Schools in this case. During 14 your direct testimony with Nicky a few minutes ago, I didn't 15 hear you talk specifically about the effective enrollment 16 policies on LGBTQ families; is that correct? 17 Correct. 18 Also during your testimony, I didn't hear you talk about anything specific to preschool environments; is that correct? 19 I believe that indirectly we did speak about some of my 20 research on parents' experiences in preschools as well as 21 22 choosing preschools, indirectly when we spoke about poverty and 23 rurality and the effects on choosing preschools. 24 Thank you. You mentioned in your expert report that you

had a very short time to consider this case; is that correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Have you read or reviewed the religious curriculum used at
- 3 St. Mary's Preschool?
- 4 A I have.
- 5 Q Have you read or reviewed the religious curriculum used at
- 6 Wellspring Preschool?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Have you reviewed how the online portal works, where
- 9 families can sign up for UPK Colorado?
- 10 A I believe so.
- 11 Q Have you reviewed how the UPK program makes decisions
- 12 regarding matching families to particular UPK providers?
- MS. RUST: Your Honor, I would just make an objection
- 14 that this is outside of this witness' expertise. We're now
- 15 | veering into how UPK works, and never once did I offer her as an
- 16 expert in UPK.
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Reaves) Are you familiar with the programmatic
- 19 preferences permitted by the UPK program?
- 20 A Can you specify what you mean by "programmatic
- 21 preferences"?
- 22 Q Yes. There's essentially a list of exception criteria to a
- 23 matching process for the UPK program. Are you familiar with
- 24 those criteria?
- 25 A You'd have to refresh my memory. There's a lot of

Page: 128₃₀₂ Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024 1 material. Yeah. If you could just tell me --2 Q No. That's fine. I will move on. Have you ever published 3 research regarding the effects of including non-affirming 4 preschools in a government funding program? 5 A No. Have you ever published research regarding LGBTQ enrollment 6 7 for Universal Preschool Programs? 8 A No. 9 Have you ever published research regarding the impacts of 10 including Catholic preschools in a pool of Universal Preschool 11 providers? 12 A No. 13 Have you ever published research regarding the 14 psychological impacts of denying a family enrollment at a 15 Catholic school? 16 I have had families in my research who have been denied 17 enrollment at Catholic Schools.

- 18 Q And was your research study specifically looking at that
 19 question and the impact of the enrollment decision?
- 20 A No. It was looking at parents' experiences seeking out and then becoming enrolled in preschools.
- Q Would you say that as a researcher, you approach the topics
 you research with neutrality?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q So, as a researcher, your goal isn't to try to support a

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024

Page: 129_{30.3} 23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024 particular narrative or point of view; is that right? A My goal is to understand the experiences of largely marginalized populations. So, people who have typically been overlooked in research. That's probably -- whether that's a neutral stance is, I think, a question, but when I am actually interviewing people and writing out findings, I do try to to the best of my ability encompass the full spectrum of their experiences. I'd like to talk a bit about your expert report. And do you recall putting that together? Yes. In your expert report, you start by focusing on LGBTQ+ parents who are, quote, living in more rural and/or politically conservative regions. Do you recall that?

15 A Yes.

A

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 16 Are you aware that both St. Mary's and Wellspring
- 17 Preschools are in the Denver metropolitan area?
- 18 Yes.
- 19 Would you describe Denver as either, quote, more rural, or
- as a, quote, politically conservative region? 20
- 21 A Probably not.
- 22 So, in your report, when you talk about the importance of
- 23 preschools serving as affirming environments, quote,
- 24 particularly in rural or politically conservative communities,
- 25 that part of your report and your testimony isn't really about

- 1 this case; is that right?
- 2 A Well, to back up, affirming environments are important
- 3 everywhere to children and families. They are more easily found
- 4 in urban areas. The point I was trying to make is that folks
- 5 who are in rural or impoverished areas or have less income are
- 6 disproportionately more likely to face a paucity of supportive
- 7 environments.
- 8 Q Another thing you talk about in this portion of your expert
- 9 report is the benefits to LGBTQ families of being able to find,
- 10 quote, accessible and cost-effective childhood education; is
- 11 | that right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And as you explained earlier, you're generally familiar
- 14 with how the UPK system works?
- 15 A Generally familiar.
- 16 Q So, you're aware that the UPK program offers 15 hours of
- 17 | free preschool to all Colorado families; is that right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And are you aware that over 2,000 preschools participate in
- 20 the preschool program and have agreed to Colorado's sexual
- 21 orientation and gender identity nondiscrimination requirements?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Are you aware of any research regarding how accessible
- 24 Colorado as a UPK program is specifically for LGBTQ families?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Are you aware of any evidence showing that LGBTQ families
- 2 in Denver are unable to access cost-effective early childhood
- 3 education through the UPK program?
- 4 A No. I don't think there's any research on that.
- 5 Q So, you don't know whether UPK Colorado is already making
- 6 preschool services more accessible for LGBTQ families or not?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Have you ever conducted research that looked at how often
- 9 lesbian and gay parents encounter challenges related to their
- 10 sexual orientation in accessing early childhood education?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And from that research, do you recall how often lesbian and
- 13 gay parents in metro areas reported experiencing challenges
- 14 related to their sexual orientation in the early childhood
- 15 education context?
- 16 A Well, it is hard to say how often when that is not a very
- 17 | specific question that I've asked, but I would say that those
- 18 experiences do exist. So, again, it's hard to put a percentage
- 19 on that in this moment, but challenges certainly do exist.
- 20 Q I'd like to pull up a document, if we can. Just give us
- 21 one second, Doctor. Great. Dr. Goldberg, do you recognize this
- 22 document?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And if you look about halfway through the abstract, one of
- 25 your top-level findings on this first page states that, quote,

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024 1 the majority of parents were open about their lesbian and gay 2 and adoptive family status, and had not encountered challenges 3 related to family diversity. Did I read that correctly? 4 A Yes. 5 Let's go to page 12 of this document. Great. Do you see the second full paragraph there entitled challenges related to 6 7 LG parent status? I do. It's very small. So, I might have to pull up my own 8 9 copy. 10 That's okay. I will try to read out the relevant portions 11 for you as well. And just for the transcript, this document is 12 titled Lesbian, Gay, and Heterosexual Adoptive Parents' 13 Experiences in Preschool Environments. This is one of defendants' exhibits. 14 15 So, I'd like to read out a sentence from that 16 paragraph, and it states, most lesbian and gay, or LG as it says 17 here, participants, namely 75 percent of lesbians and 89 percent 18 of gay men, stated that they had not encountered any challenges related to their sexual orientation. Did I read that correctly? 19 20 A Yes, you did. And on the next page, about halfway through the first full 21 22 paragraph, your research states that notably, all of the seven 23 participants who described teachers' apparent discomfort, and I 24 will add that that's talking about discomfort with their sexual

orientation, but you can correct me if I'm wrong, Dr. Goldberg,

- 1 resided in nonmetro areas. Is that your understanding of what
- 2 this research found?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And this study was published in 2014; is that right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And that was actually before same-sex marriage was
- 7 legalized; is that right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q So, in your opinion, since this study has been completed,
- 10 has the general population become more or less accepting of
- 11 same-sex couples?
- 12 A That is a very complicated question to answer, and it
- 13 depends on what question folks are asked in surveys. So,
- 14 large-scale acceptance for marriage has increased, but depending
- 15 on where you're actually surveying folks, their acceptance of
- 16 families is not necessarily greater.
- 17 Q And just to summarize before I move on, this research that
- 18 you did, you spoke to parents about their experiences enrolling
- 19 children in preschool environments; is that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And one of the findings of this research was that none of
- 22 the families in -- none of the LG or lesbian and gay families in
- 23 metro areas had encountered challenges or difficulties in the
- 24 school environment; is that right?
- 25 A Explicit challenges. So, you know, the most sort of

- 1 extreme form of challenge.
- 2 Q Have you ever researched whether lesbian and gay couples
- 3 seek out schools that will be supportive and affirming of their
- 4 | family structure?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And did your research find that lesbian and gay families do
- 7 seek out and prioritize finding schools that are supportive and
- 8 affirming of their families?
- 9 A I have found that they do when they can. So, the things
- 10 that might limit that are, for example, income or a child's
- 11 special needs, for example. Which might require them to sort of
- 12 decrease the value that they are able to place on the
- 13 affirmingness of the school.
- 14 Q But on the whole, generally speaking, your research has
- 15 | found that a large percentage of lesbian and gay families do
- 16 seek out and try to find preschools that are affirming of their
- 17 sexual orientation?
- 18 A To the extent that they can, given, you know, all the other
- 19 considerations that they're also making.
- 20 Q Makes sense. Thank you. You also talked a little bit
- 21 | earlier about the importance of messages related to family
- 22 diversity. That's something you testified about, and that's in
- 23 your report; is that right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And you were talking earlier, and you cite in your report a

Page: 135,09 Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024 study from Wilkinson and Pearson from 2009. Do you recall that study?

Yes.

1

2

3

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 And just for the record, I will note that this is 5 Exhibit 66 of defendants' exhibits. Actually, let's go ahead and pull that one up, if you don't mind. Exhibit 66. Oh. 6 7
 - Are you aware that this study looked at health effects for same-sex attracted adolescents?
- 10 Yes.
- 11 Did this study purport to or include any research or 12 findings on preschool students?

You've already got it. The screen changed.

- 13 A No.
 - Thank you. I'd like to turn back to your expert report, if that's okay. And that's Exhibit 45, for the record. You state in your expert report -- and this is on page eight, but I will just read it out for you, since I know it's small on the screen. You state on page eight that some messages, quote, negatively impact children; is that correct?
- Yes. 20 A
 - And I guess a little bit before that, actually, near the end of the first paragraph on page seven, you say, specifically, preschool-aged children, specifically, are impacted by the messages they receive about their families at home and at school, as well as potential disconnects between those messages.

- 1 Did I read that correctly?
- 2 A You did.
- 3 Q You don't cite any studies or research to support this
- 4 point in your report; is that right?
- 5 A So, some of the citations are -- let me -- what page is
- 6 this?
- 7 Q This is on page seven. End of the first paragraph. I just
- 8 read out the sentence, and at the end of the sentence, there's
- 9 no kind of citation for that assertion.
- 10 A I'm just searching for it. There are papers cited
- 11 elsewhere to support that point. I'm just having a hard time
- 12 finding that.
- 13 Q That's okay. That's okay.
- 14 A Maybe it's the copy, but --
- 15 Q Yeah. I have a couple more questions about kind of the
- 16 messages -- the messages that you talked about there. So, is it
- 17 your expert opinion that children in a preschool environment can
- 18 actually pick up on the types of messages that are being sent
- 19 by, for example, school policies or teacher conduct or something
- 20 like that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Do you think asking a preschool student to dress according
- 23 to their biological sex is sending a message to that student?
- 24 A I do.
- 25 Q And do you think the message that these schools are sending

- 1 by having policies like those at issue in this case -- do you
- 2 think those messages are important?
- 3 A They are consequential. Yeah.
- 4 Q So, in your opinion, would you say that the Archdiocese's
- 5 policies and the policies of the two plaintiff schools in this
- 6 case, that they're sending the wrong message to students and
- 7 | their families?
- 8 A I am not saying -- they are sending a message. They are
- 9 sending a message that -- about what is valued. They're sending
- 10 a message about who is accepted and who is not accepted.
- 11 They're sending a message about gender and sex. You're asking
- 12 whether I personally think it's bad or --
- 13 Q Yeah. Just to reframe --
- 14 A I think it has consequences, yeah.
- 15 Q I'm sorry, Dr. Goldberg. I didn't mean to speak over you.
- 16 Just to reframe, I guess I would say it's your opinion that
- 17 those messages we are discussing are harmful or can be harmful
- 18 to LGBTQ students and families; is that right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q What about messages that other parents could be sending at
- 21 the school? Have you ever researched that, and can that be
- 22 harmful as well?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q What kinds of messages could other parents be sending in
- 25 the school environment?

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024

- A Other parents communicate messages both to parents, but
 also to children through their own children, around, for
 example, the legitimacy of two women being married. So, a

 parent could suggest to a child or to another parent that two
 women being married, you know, that's not a real marriage. It's
- 7 Q Thank you. You also state in the conclusion of your
 8 report, and this is on page ten, that LGBTQ+ parents
 9 appreciate -- I will say, quote, appreciate inclusive language
 10 in the classroom and on school forms, e.g., parent one and

not a real family. That's just one example.

parent two; is that correct?

12 A Yes.

6

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Speaking about parent one and parent two, you also mentioned earlier in your testimony parent three. Could you just describe kind of what -- how this language would be more inclusive, and what you mean by that.
 - A Sure. So, parent one, parent two, that would assume, for example, a two-parent family, but there are families where there is a stepparent, for example. Or in some cases in the case of a two-mom family, it might be an active sperm donor father. Or, again, in the case of a divorced family, it could be a new partner. So, the idea is that this more expansive language actually allows for a lot of different kinds of families to more accurately represent themselves.
 - Q Your report also talks specifically about harms caused by

```
23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024
1
    messages like, quote, equating homosexuality with mental illness
2
    and other messages, quote, perpetuating stigmatizing ideas
 3
     against LGBTQ+ people; is that right?
 4
    A
         Yes.
5
        And it talks about the importance of LGBTQ-friendly
     curriculum too; is that right?
 6
7
    A
         Yes.
        And you also talk about the importance of anti-bullying
8
9
    policies that include sexual orientation and gender identity
10
     language; is that right?
11
    A
         Yes.
12
         Have you reviewed the anti-bullying policy at St. Mary's
13
     and St. Bernadette's to see if it forbids bullying on sexual
14
     orientation and gender identity?
15
        I recall reviewing it, and I didn't -- I don't recall
16
     seeing anything about sexual orientation or gender identity
17
    within the spectrum of what kind of bullying is discouraged.
18
        Do you know if St. Mary and St. Bernadette or Wellspring
     teach that homosexuality is a mental illness in their
19
    preschools?
20
21
         I did not see the mental illness language, but I did see
22
    messages around homosexuality.
23
        Okay. So, that language about mental illness from your
24
    expert report isn't necessarily specific to the plaintiffs in
25
    this case?
```

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q Are you aware that Ms. Odean and the new UPK regulations
- 3 specifically said that they would not interfere with the
- 4 religious preschool curriculums that are used by faith-based
- 5 providers?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Do you know how often St. Mary and Wellspring have had to
- 8 | tell a student or family that they are not a good fit for their
- 9 preschool?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Do you know how often they've had to deny enrollment more
- 12 generally?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Also on page eight of your expert report, you talk about
- 15 research that has, quote, established links between school
- 16 culture and family outcomes in LGBTQ+ parent families; is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you talk specifically about harms to LGBTQ+ families
- 20 from, quote, less positive school climates, e.g. less LGBTQ+
- 21 friendly; is that right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q So, these harms that you talk about in your report come
- 24 from attending a school that has a less positive school climate;
- 25 | is that right?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q If a child of LGBTQ parents was in a school with an
- 3 unfriendly climate, would you advise them to switch to a more
- 4 LGBTQ-friendly school?
- 5 A Some families do not have the ability to switch schools,
- 6 and it's my professional opinion that it should not be on the
- 7 parents to have to switch their school if the school environment
- 8 is unaffirming.
- 9 Q But presumably, you would encourage LGBTQ families looking
- 10 for preschools to try to find ones that would be
- 11 LGBTQ-affirming?
- 12 A Depending on the number and type of constraints on their
- 13 decision-making.
- 14 Q I'd like to pull up a document entitled For LGBTQ Parents,
- 15 and we will get that up on the screen momentarily. Are you
- 16 familiar with this document?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And I guess, did you create this document?
- 19 A I did.
- 20 Q And do you see the heading there that says finding an
- 21 LGBTQ-friendly daycare or preschool? Correct?
- 22 A Yup.
- 23 Q Okay. So, right below that, I'm just going to read out a
- 24 couple sentences, and then I will ask you if I read that
- 25 | correctly. It says, quote, if you are an LGBTQ+ parent seeking

Page: 142,16 Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024 1 a daycare or early childhood educational environment for your 2 children, you should evaluate programs with the following 3 questions in mind. Of note is that some of this information can be gleaned from online materials, whereas in some cases, it can 4 5 be obtained through speaking with the school or program administrator or visiting the site itself. 6 7 Did I read that correctly? 8 A Yes. 9 And could you just describe the various things you suggest 10 families should look for in this document. 11 Sure. Images of families that look like theirs, whether, 12 you know, curriculum and activities are explicitly inclusive of 13 their families, what kind of physical environment are they 14 walking into, is the paperwork inclusive of them. 15 Q Okay. I'd like to talk about the last section of your 16 report, which is really talking about the interaction between parents and schools. Your report states that, quote, children 17 18 do better when their teachers and parents are partners in their educational experience; is that right? 19

20 A Yes.

21

22

- So, in your expert opinion, what happens if a school teaches something that's different from what the parent teaches at home?
- 24 That can create friction in the relationship, or at least a 25 sense of disconnect for the family.

- 1 Q So, presumably, in your opinion, it's important for LGBTQ
- 2 parents to be able to send their children to a school that is on
- 3 the same page when it comes to teaching about LGBTQ issues; is
- 4 | that right?
- 5 A If they are teaching about them, yes.
- 6 Q Could you just explain that last point? Are you saying in
- 7 some cases, a school just wouldn't have -- wouldn't say anything
- 8 about the issues?
- 9 A It depends on what you mean, because there is, again, kind
- 10 of environmental, climate-related considerations, and then
- 11 there's also curricular considerations. So, at a preschool
- 12 level, for example, there's not going to be a lot of curriculum
- 13 devoted to sexual orientation and gender identity, but there are
- 14 kind of more implicit messages that children and families
- 15 receive.
- 16 Q And is the importance of being on the same page and finding
- 17 | a school that I guess passes on the implicit messages that are
- 18 consistent with what the parent is sharing in the home, is that
- 19 only important for LGBTQ parents, or is that important for all
- 20 parents when they're picking a preschool?
- 21 A I would say it's important to most parents that, you know,
- 22 whether you're a member of an LGBTQ family or a multiracial
- 23 family or an adoptive family, you want to feel like the school
- 24 respects you and your family and the people in it.
- 25 Q So, yesterday, we heard testimony from one of the

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024 1 plaintiffs in this case, Ms. Lisa Sheley, and she talked about 2 how she and her husband, Dan, specifically chose St. Mary's 3 Catholic Preschool because they share the Catholic faith and wanted an affirming school environment for their child. Do you 4 5 think that's a good thing for them? I don't know if I understand the question. You're asking 6 7 what, exactly? 8 Yeah. Let me rephrase that, maybe in a more general way. 9 You were talking about how it's important for LGBTQ families, adoptive families, and other families to be able to find a 10 11 school environment that is supportive and affirming. Is it also 12 important for religious parents to be able to choose a school 13 that is a good fit for them and for their family? 14 Yeah. 15 If a family believes that their young child, maybe a 16 preschooler, is struggling with gender dysphoria but sincerely 17 believes it would be in the child's best interest not to affirm 18 the child's beliefs about their gender, should they be allowed to make that choice as parents? 19 "Allowed" is an interesting word. Parents have a lot of 20 21 power to make the choices that they want to make, but the clinical and research evidence suggests that parents not 22 23 affirming their children's gender creative or gender atypical 24 preferences or behavior has negative consequences for that 25 child.

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024

- 1 Q Did you know that some Catholic Schools in Denver currently
- 2 receive funding from other government programs?
- 3 A I would believe it. Yeah. It makes sense. I did not know
- 4 | that, but I would believe it.
- 5 Q Obviously this case is about whether Catholic Schools can
- 6 participate in the UPK government funding program; is that
- 7 right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And the State of Colorado has taken the position that they
- 10 should not be able to participate; is that right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So, do you think Catholic Schools that participate in these
- 13 other government funding programs shouldn't be allowed to
- 14 receive government funds from those programs?
- MS. RUST: Your Honor, I'm going to object again. I
- 16 don't know how this goes to her expertise and relevance of her
- 17 expertise at this point.
- 18 THE COURT: The objection goes to weight, not
- 19 relevance. The objection is overruled. Go ahead.
- MR. REAVES: Thank you, Your Honor. And I am almost
- 21 done. I just have a few more questions.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay.
- 23 Q. (By Mr. Reaves) Similarly -- well, I guess, sorry. We
- 24 | had an objection. Do you want me to restate that question, or
- 25 do you recall what I was asking?

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024

- 1 A I think you're asking do I think that they should also be
- 2 denied funding from these other entities?
- 3 Q That's right.
- 4 A Is that correct? I really would need to know more, I
- 5 think, to answer that question about those different entities
- 6 and be able to research more about them. It's a hard question
- 7 for me to answer. I'm sorry.
- 8 Q No. That's totally fair. It is complicated. Are you
- 9 aware that both St. Mary's and St. Bernadette's Preschools are
- 10 licensed preschools, and they're licensed by the State of
- 11 | Colorado?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Do you think that the State should revoke their licenses
- 14 based on the fact that they're not providing these affirming
- 15 environments that you think are critical?
- 16 A I think that the question that this case seems to concern
- 17 | is about receiving state funding for preschools. So, I don't
- 18 | think I can answer a question about licensure, as I'm not really
- 19 | familiar with Colorado's licensure of different kinds of
- 20 preschools. It's a hard question for me to answer.
- 21 Q So, your expert opinion and testimony today is focused on
- 22 specifically the receipt of government funding by these
- 23 preschools; is that right?
- 24 A I think so, yeah.
- 25 Q And --

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Cross 01-03-2024

A If I -- honestly, if there's any specific questions that
you want me to answer about licensing, I just would need to know
more to be able to answer that question.

Q Thank you. No. That was it I had on licensing. So, I guess my question, then, is if your testimony and your expert opinion is focused on specifically the receipt of government funding and, you know, why that is problematic, what is the -- what is the harm specifically that arises when St. Mary's or -- or Wellspring Catholic Academy receives funding, and that harm is not present when they're just a licensed preschool provider not receiving funding?

A To me there's an inconsistency between the expectations of nondiscrimination, which enables full access in order to obtain those funds, and then the religious schools who receive those funds but are engaging in practices that ultimately result in some people being denied acceptance.

Q So, the schools are operating the same way. That was the testimony yesterday, that the schools for religious reasons can't change their enrollment practices. So, assuming they operate the same way whether or not they receive this UPK funding, what is the additional harm that you think accrues to LGBTQ families or parents from receiving this government funding?

A Well, I think that a family who is looking for affordable schooling for their children are more likely to potentially

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Redirect 01-03-2024

- 1 choose or access or consider this schooling environment, which
- 2 ultimately may not be the most affirming.
- 3 Q Just a few more questions. I'm almost done. You mentioned
- 4 at the beginning of your testimony that your work was cited in
- 5 an amicus brief filed in the Fulton case at the Supreme Court.
- 6 Do you recall that?
- 7 A I was ultimately one of the co-signors of the Williams
- 8 Institute at UCLA's brief, which was, I believe, entered.
- 9 Q So, you signed on to the brief yourself?
- 10 A Yeah.
- 11 Q Do you recall whether your brief was filed on the side that
- 12 prevailed at the Supreme Court or the side that lost?
- 13 A I think it's pretty clear where my -- where I was actually
- 14 aligned, but I'm not sure of the question.
- MR. REAVES: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you. Redirect?

17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 18 BY MS. RUST
- 19 Q Hi, Dr. Goldberg. You ended that cross being asked a lot
- 20 of questions about government funding. Are you an expert in
- 21 government funding?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Were you requested to give your expert opinion regarding
- 24 government funding by the Colorado Attorney General's Office?
- 25 A No.

- Q Were you ever given documents to review regarding funding
- 2 of any of the Colorado programs at issue here today?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q You also were asked questions on direct regarding an online
- 5 guide you had authored for LG parents looking for a school. Do
- 6 you recall that?
- 7 A I do.
- 8 Q Is that guide meant for a parent with all school options
- 9 available to them?
- 10 A It is a nonspecific guide, really supposed to be the first
- 11 kind of -- first stop for a parent who is just starting to think
- 12 about preschool.
- 13 Q In your research, do LGBTQ parents always have all the
- 14 options available to them as their cisgender peers?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q What kind of constraints are usually on LGBTQ parents'
- 17 | search for schools?
- 18 A Well, as I noted, they're often more constrained by things
- 19 | like income. They are also constrained by the fact that some
- 20 schools will deny them. Whether or not they're clear that
- 21 | that's related to their sexual orientation or gender identity,
- 22 you know, they may say that they don't have room for them for
- 23 other reasons, but we know from other research that that's not
- 24 always the full story.
- 25 Q Okay. And in your research regarding LGBTQ parents who are

- living in poverty, does that mean they might have restricted
- 2 access to transportation?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Does it mean they might have -- that their transportation
- 5 might be unreliable?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Does that mean that they may have to utilize public
- 8 transportation?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Does it also mean that those parents might have a work
- 11 location that also must utilize public transportation?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q So, did you find in your research that LGBTQ parents who
- 14 are living in poverty and when they are looking for an early
- 15 childhood program often have to consider how to get their child
- 16 to that school?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q How did that weigh in in their factoring of a school
- 19 environment?
- 20 A Well, I had to consider, for example, where they worked
- 21 compared to where the school was located, the hours that the
- 22 school operated, whether it was even possible for them to get
- 23 their kid to their school and to get to their own place of work.
- 24 They had to consider cost, both gas or public transportation.
- 25 Q Okay. And did that in your research limit the amount of

- 1 early childhood options available to those parents?
- 2 A Yes. Significantly.
- 3 Q All right. How does living in a rural area limit the
- 4 options of early childhood education available to LGBTQ parents?
- 5 A Well, there are fewer early childhood education
- 6 environments in rural areas. They're much more spread out, and
- 7 | there's just fewer options, and folks have to drive a lot
- 8 | farther to actually access those options.
- 9 Q In your research of LGBTQ parents in rural environments,
- 10 did you find that sometimes the only option available to them
- 11 was a religious early childhood provider?
- 12 A Yes. There were instances of parents who had, you know,
- 13 one, maybe two options, and one or both of them were religiously
- 14 affiliated.
- 15 Q Okay. So, in that situation, if a parent had to choose a
- 16 less-affirming environment, would that be understandable?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And I know, Dr. Goldberg, you note the basics about
- 19 Colorado's UPK program. And you were asked by counsel various
- 20 questions about LGBTQ families' experiences in a metro area and
- 21 Denver, but is it your understanding that the Colorado's
- 22 Universal Preschool only applies to Denver?
- 23 A My understanding is it does not just apply to Denver.
- 24 Q Is it your understanding that it just applies to
- 25 metropolitan areas?

- 1 A My understanding is it does not just apply to metro areas.
- 2 Q And did your findings in your research regarding how often
- 3 lesbian and gay parents face challenges accessing preschool and
- 4 early childhood that Mr. Reaves talked to you about that said
- 5 they didn't have as many challenges accessing those services in
- 6 the metro area -- is that correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. Does that mean they don't have any challenges at
- 9 all, ever?
- 10 A Absolutely not.
- 11 Q Okay. Does that mean LG parents never face discomfort in
- 12 metro areas?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Okay. And how is acceptance of LG families different than
- 15 acceptance of LG people? I believe you mentioned that in one of
- 16 your answers.
- 17 A Yes. There are some folks that are relatively accepting
- 18 of, for example, the idea that a man could marry a man, but
- 19 would not be accepting of two men adopting a child.
- 20 Q I believe also in your answer to Mr. Reaves' line of
- 21 questioning regarding that article that you stated the findings
- 22 he talked to you about were not regarding explicit challenges.
- 23 So, were there findings in your study regarding other challenges
- 24 of LG parents?
- 25 A Yes. So, he pointed out that 75 percent of them did not

23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Redirect 01-03-2024 experience significant challenges. I will point out that 1 2 obviously that means a quarter of them did, which is not an 3 insignificant number. The kind of challenges may not have been, you know, people calling them names, but they were things like, 4 5 you know, lack of experience of teachers with LGBT parents, a lack of books, inclusion, pictures that were encompassing of 6 7 their families, other parents' negative attitudes towards them. Things like that. 8 9 Q And is that type of -- or those types of challenges any 10 less damaging than the explicit challenges? 11 They are challenging in different ways. They're harder to 12 confront, because they don't seem as explicit, and they don't seem as directly harmful. Which can actually make it harder to 13 resolve, because it's easier for schools, for example, to 14 15 dismiss those kinds of concerns. They're also more likely to just simply go unacknowledged, because they are sort of less 16 17 overt. 18 Q Okay. Mr. Reaves asked you a bunch of questions about whether, you know, an LGBTQ family should try and find an 19 affirming early childhood environment. Do you remember those 20 21 questions? 22 A Yes. 23 As a clinical psychologist, why should parents do their 24 best to find a supportive or affirming school environment for 25 their child?

- 1 A To enhance their child's self-esteem, positive self-regard.
- 2 Q So, is that -- is it a bad thing to try and find such an
- 3 environment for your child?
- 4 A No. It's a very good thing for both parents and children.
- 5 Q Okay. And I think in that same line of questioning,
- 6 Dr. Reaves [sic] spoke to you about what should an LGBTQ parent
- 7 do should they find themself in a situation where their child is
- 8 not in an affirming school environment. Do you remember that
- 9 question?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And I think you -- your answer was that is not on the
- 12 parent to fix that school environment. Can you explain that
- 13 answer more, please.
- 14 A Yes. Putting the onus on parents to choose a new school
- 15 when they may not even have that choice if their child is
- 16 confronting bullying, harassment, a generally inhospitable
- 17 environment, is inappropriate, because all things being equal,
- 18 many parents don't have that choice, and schools are supposed to
- 19 provide safe environments for children.
- 20 Q Okay. Mr. Reaves also asked you a lot about school
- 21 messaging. Do you remember that line of questioning,
- 22 Dr. Goldberg?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q If a school engages in discriminatory conduct against an
- 25 LGBTQ student, does that discriminatory conduct send any message

Page: 155,29 Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Redirect 01-03-2024 1 to that student? 2 Yes. 3 What kind of message does it send? 4 I think depending on the type of conduct, it certainly 5 sends the message that that child is not valued as a full human being, is not seen as equal -- deserving of equal treatment 6 7 compared to other children and other families. It also sends 8 the message to other children and staff and teachers that that 9 kind of behavior or attitude is permitted, even encouraged. 10 Does that discriminatory conduct inflict harm apart from 11 the message sent? 12 Yes. It helps to cultivate an environment of intolerance. 13 How about if a school engages in discriminatory conduct 14 against LGBTQ parents? Does that conduct send any message? 15 A Yes. 16 And does that conduct inflict harm apart from that message? 17 Yes. 18 MS. RUST: Thank you. Nothing further. 19

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much, Doctor.

May I see the --

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Pardon me?

MS. RUST: The doctor just said thank you.

Dr. Goldberg just said thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. May I see the counsel from

Kevin P. Carlin, RMR, CRR

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 156330 23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Redirect 01-03-2024 1 each side that's going to conduct the next examination at 2 sidebar for a moment. 3 (Proceedings held at the bench:) 4 THE COURT: I don't want to interrupt the testimony of this witness, and we're close to a break time, but I want to 5 know who you're calling. 6 7 MS. RUST: We're going to call Dr. Tishelman next. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And how long do you project her 9 testimony? 10 MS. RUST: She should be about the same as what 11 Dr. Goldberg just did. So, that was like, what? An hour? 12 THE COURT: We've got an hour? Okay. I think what we 13 should do, then, is take the afternoon break now, and then come 14 back at 3:15. And if she is finished by 4:15, 4:30, we will 15 just recess for the day. 16 MS. RUST: Okay. Sounds good. 17 THE COURT: Is that all right? 18 MS. RUST: That's fine. And, Your Honor, I will have to make a correction on the record. All my exhibit numbers were 19 wrong because of what happened yesterday. 20 21 THE COURT: You can do that.

MS. RUST: I just wanted to let you know.

THE COURT: I have a personal question to ask you.

Your middle name is Siobhan?

22

23

24

25

MS. RUST: It is.

```
Page: 157331
Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024
         23-cv-2079-JLK ABBIE GOLDBERG - Redirect 01-03-2024
              THE COURT: And you've got red hair. So, you've been
1
2
    kissed by a shamrock?
 3
              MS. RUST: I have indeed, and my last name is Rust,
 4
    like my hair color.
5
              THE COURT: The reason I mention this is because I had
 6
     a cousin named Siobhan in Ireland, and her husband was Irish,
7
    but he was born in the United States, and he's the only one I
8
     know who immigrated back to Ireland. Siobhan is an Irish name
9
     that you just don't hear a lot. It's a lovely name.
10
              MS. RUST: Thank you. I like it too. Thank you.
11
              THE COURT: Thanks.
12
          (Proceedings held in open court:)
13
              THE COURT: We'll take a recess now for approximately
    15 minutes.
14
15
          (Recess at 3:01 p.m., until 3:18 p.m.)
16
              THE COURT: And your next witness is also by video?
17
              MS. RUST: No, Your Honor. She is here. We have
18
    Dr. Tishelman.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Please call her.
20
          (The Witness is Sworn)
21
              THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated. State your
22
     full name for the record, and spell your last name.
23
              THE WITNESS: Amy Tishelman, T-I-S-H-E-L-M-A-N.
24
25
```

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 158, 3, 2 23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. RUST 3 Thank you, Dr. Tishelman. Could you please tell us what 4 degrees you currently hold. 5 I have a BA from Clark University, where Dr. Goldberg teaches, and a PhD in child clinical psychology from West 6 7 Virginia University. 8 Thank you. And do you have any professional licensures? 9 I'm licensed as a clinical psychologist in the state of 10 Massachusetts. 11 Okay. And, Dr. Goldberg [sic], have you participated in any fellowships? 12 13 A You mean Dr. Tishelman. 14 I'm sorry. Dr. Tishelman. Yes. Q 15 Yes, I have. A 16 Q And have you ever trained and supervised students? 17 A Yes, I have. 18 Have you taught any college courses? 0 19 A Many. 0 And where are you currently employed? 20 21 I'm employed at Boston College in Chestnut Hill,

- 22 Massachusetts.
- 23 Q Are you currently teaching any courses?
- 24 Yes. Well, I'm in between semesters, but yes. A
- 25 Q What will you have taught this year?

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- A Interpersonal violence, which I taught in the fall semester, and developmental psychopathology, and is starting in
- Q Okay. With your clinical license, have you ever treated any patients?
- 6 A Yes.

a couple weeks.

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 7 Q Have you ever worked in the -- in a hospital?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. Would you please describe your regional work related to your area of expertise.
 - A Yes. Well, would that include my work at Boston Children's Hospital, as well as in the region outside? So, I worked in the child protection program for 20 years at Boston Children's Hospital, where I was for a time director of child protection clinical services. I was also director of research and training in the child protection program there.

And I did -- I filled in in pediatric psychology in various areas in the hospital, including as director of psychology in the urology department. And I worked in developmental medicine doing diagnostic evaluations for autism, and the obesity program.

I was more recently director of clinical research in the gender multi-specialty service, and it was called the Differences of Sex Development program, but the name changed to the Being You program, which is a program that serves youth and

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

1 their families when there are variations in sex traits.

In the region -- would you like me to continue?

Q Yes, please.

2

3

- 4 A I served on many boards, and in some leadership capacities.
- 5 I was on the governor's commission of sex and domestic violence,
- 6 and I co-chaired a probate and family court subcommittee. I
- 7 co-chaired or chaired a guardians ad litem committee to do
- 8 training around the area. I worked with the board of education
- 9 on a safe and supportive education initiative to help
- 10 traumatized children learn, among other activities.
- I worked with the Suffolk County, which is Boston, area
- 12 child advocacy center for ten years also, observing forensic
- 13 interviews of children and as a mental health consultant.
- 14 Q Okay. And I think I forgot to ask you, would you please
- 15 describe your area of expertise.
- 16 A Yeah. I have a number of areas of expertise, in general
- 17 child and family mental health, pediatric psychology, which is
- 18 the intersection of illness and well-being in children, and
- 19 | interpersonal violence, child maltreatment, and trauma, as well
- 20 as gender diversity and development, and variations in sex
- 21 characteristics in children.
- 22 Q Thank you, Dr. Tishelman. Could you also briefly describe
- 23 your national work related to your areas of expertise.
- 24 A Yes. I've been on some national -- I presented nationally
- 25 In my areas of expertise many, many times at national

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 conferences, and given many workshops. I've also participated 1 2 on task force, and I currently co-lead a national task force for 3 the American Psychological Association on variations in sex 4 traits, were some examples. 5 Okay. And then how about internationally? Have you done 6 any work internationally? 7 Yes. Again, I presented quite often internationally, and I recently completed taking the lead in developing standards of 8 9 care for gender-diverse or transgender children for the World 10 Association of Transgender Health -- World Professional 11 Association of Transgender Health, WPATH. 12 Okay. What age children were those standards for? 13 Excuse me? 14 What age of children were those standards for? 15 They were for children up to the time of puberty. So, before puberty. 16 17 So, Dr. Tishelman, can you tell us a little bit about your 18 professional journey that led you to have an expertise in gender-diverse and transgender children. 19 Yes. Well, I was -- I was recruited, actually, at Boston 20 Children's Hospital to work with the programs that I work with, 21 22 with the transgender program, as well as the program for youth 23 with variations in sex traits. So, my journey started then, and 24 I have developed a significant expertise since that time. 25 Okay. You've mentioned a few times variations of sex

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 traits. Can you explain that a little bit to us laypeople, please.

A Yes. Variations of sex traits are known by various different terminologies. There's not one terminology people prefer. It's sometimes called differences of sex development, other times disorders of sex development, or variations in sex characteristics. Sometimes they're known as intersex or intersex variations. And many people prefer to just have their own diagnosis used, and none of those broader classifications.

Q Okay. What does it -- what does it mean to have those things?

A variation in sex characteristic or sex trait are congenital biological conditions whereby there's an atypicality in reproductive or sex anatomical or hormonal expressions, and --

Q Okay. And I would assume if there's a clinic at a hospital for this, do these things happen often?

A They -- the estimates of how often it happens varies quite a bit, from as low as one in 200 or 300 individuals, to about one in 4,400.

Q Okay. And how about, can you describe a little bit to us what "gender diverse" or "transgender" means.

A "Gender diverse" and "transgender" may be different terms, but "transgender" is an umbrella term to refer to somebody whose gender identity differs from what their gender identity was

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 assumed to be at birth. And "gender diversity" is a broader 1 2 term than that. That can refer to diverse gender expressions 3 that maybe aren't expected in a particular community, and not necessarily gender identity differences. 4 5 Do you also happen to know how often gender-diverse and transgendered children are in our population? 6 7 Well, the statistics are varying so greatly that it's really hard to say right now. It can be considered to be 8 9 relatively rare to as many as one in five or ten people, 10 depending on the study that you look at, currently. 11 And why are the statistics so rare? 12 Why are the statistics so rare, did you say? 13 0 Yes. 14 Well, the statistics just vary by the time point at which 15 they were collected, what questions were asked, where they're 16 being asked, et cetera. So, the statistics of numbers of youth 17 who are identifying as gender diverse or transgender have 18 increased more currently from what they were in the past in the United States and across the world, actually. 19 Okay. How come -- how come they're increasing? Are more 20 21 studies being done? 22 Actually, that's a complicated question that I probably 23 can't answer specifically, because people have different 24 hypotheses about that. And I don't think we know.

Okay. Thank you, Doctor. Dr. Tishelman, you mentioned in

25

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 your introduction that you are a child psychologist. As a child
- 2 psychologist, are you trained in child development?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. Can you tell me a little bit about -- well, strike
- 5 that. Let me go back a step. Are you trained in early
- 6 childhood development?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. What is important about early childhood development
- 9 versus just childhood development?
- 10 A Early childhood is a time of very rapid change for
- 11 children. It's a time when basic academic and relationship
- 12 skills and understandings occur. When children are born, they
- 13 don't have language. By the time they're two, they have a
- 14 | tremendous amount of language. So, you can think of young
- 15 children as almost sponges.
- 16 It's also a time when first relationships develop, and
- 17 a schema or understanding of the world develops as a safe place,
- 18 whether they can have strong attachments and trust the adults
- 19 around them, particularly primary caregivers, and that kind of
- 20 | trust and secure attachment often leads to safety and ability to
- 21 I function in a more regulated way in environments over time.
- 22 Q So, all these foundational skills, are they built in this
- 23 early childhood developmental stage?
- 24 A Yes. That doesn't mean that change is impossible over
- 25 time, but it is a time of great learning and a time when a sense

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 165, 39

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

of self really starts to develop. 1

and cause harm for them.

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. And why is sense of self important in child 2 3 development?
- A sense of self gives a child confidence to explore the 4 5 environment and to learn, and a sense of safety and security in their relationships and trust of others. 6
- 7 Okay. You've mentioned trust of others a few times regarding early childhood development. Why is that important? 8
- A Without trust in others, it's very hard for children to be safe, comfortable, and secure in environments outside of their families. Sometimes it's very hard for children to do that 12 within their families, depending on whether their families are 13 nurturing and accepting. That kind of stress and chronic stress can interfere with positive development over time for children
 - Okay. So, you just mentioned stress interrupting positive development and causing harm. What type of stress can happen in early childhood development?
 - A Well, there's quite a huge robust literature right now on early life adversities, also sometimes referred to as adverse childhood experiences, and the relationship between those adversities and subsequent development for youth. And so these kinds of early experiences have been demonstrated to be extremely important in terms of development.
- 25 Okay. Why have they been shown to be extremely important?

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- A So, would you mind if I take a step back and describe what an adversity is, or what an early adversity is?
- 3 Q Yes. Let's take a step back. And, Dr. Tishelman, can you
- 4 tell us what an early life adversity is.
 5 A Early life adversities can be child maltreatment in the
- forms of extreme neglect, which can take many forms; child

 physical abuse; emotional abuse and emotional neglect; or sexual

 abuse. It can also constitute other kinds of stressors, like

 death and loss of a parent, homelessness, et cetera. Maybe even

 chronic illness for a child. They basically are experiences
- that have the potential to cause trauma for a child, and they
 can be single incidents or chronic stress, chronic extreme
 stress.
- 14 Q Have any of these early life adversities been found in a school environment?
 - A Yes. A child can be abused in a school environment, neglected, or significantly bullied in a school environment, which can cause harm.
- 20 So, you've mentioned a few times causing harm. I'd like to talk a little bit about that now. So, what kind of physical
- 21 harm can an early childhood experience have on a child?
- 22 A Physical harm?
- 23 Q Mm-hmm.

16

17

18

24 A Physical harm can have many different impacts on a child 25 depending on the physical harm. The early -- actually, the

earliest time in life is when a child is most vulnerable to severe physical harm. For instance, most fatalities that occur to children who are being abused in the first year of life, and up to the age of three, where if they're in an abusive home environment and vulnerable without recourse, they're more likely to be severely harmed.

So, physical harm can cause injury that's irreversible,

So, physical harm can cause injury that's irreversible, as well as death, and can -- if it doesn't cause irreversible injury or death, can carry with it a sense of a lack of trust and safety in others, fears, and an idea of the world as an unsafe place where they're likely to be harmed. And we can talk a little bit more about how that might impact a child when they're older in the way they interact with others, if you would like.

Q Okay. Yeah. Let's -- how does physical harm during early childhood experiences then, as you say, affect a child when they're older?

A So, aside from physical harm, it can create emotional harm. And research shows that children who have been abused when they're younger may be more likely to see the world as hostile even when it's neutral, and expect others to hurt them even if they're not going to hurt them, and will sometimes react with defensive hostility. That means they will try to protect themselves even when they're not in danger, and will interpret neutral stimuli as negative sometimes.

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 Q And these adverse childhood experiences, can they also negatively affect an individual's mental health?
- a magazitary driede di indiritadar o menedi nedicin
- 3 A Yes. So, adverse childhood experiences in general, not
- 4 just physical abuse, but all of them, have been linked to poor
- 5 outcomes with relation to mental health, propensity for
- 6 addictions, propensity for employment disruption, and propensity
- 7 for injury, as well as early morbidity and mortality in terms of
- 8 poor physical health for adults.
- 9 Q Has there been a why discovered yet, Dr. Tishelman?
- 10 A Yes. Well, there's an understanding now that the question
- 11 isn't really is nature important or is nurture important, but
- 12 actually the interaction of a person's biology with their
- 13 environmental experiences, which is sometimes considered an
- 14 ecological, biological, developmental kind of framework for
- 15 understanding development. And so when a child experiences
- 16 adversity or extreme stress, and often chronic stress, which is
- 17 sometimes labeled toxic stress, it can affect their
- 18 neurodevelopment and ability to learn and interact with others
- 19 over time.
- 20 Q Okay. So, are you saying that this type of stress could
- 21 actually affect the way a child's brain develops?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And has that been shown in studies?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. What are some of the effects that this can have on a

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

1 | child's brain?

A Well, a lot of research has examined the HPA axis within the brain, the hypothalamic, pituitary, adrenal axis, and the way that stress is processed in the brain. And it's often very different in kids who have been exposed to chronic stress and adversity.

So, we can find hormonal differences as well as structural differences in the developing brain of a child who has been exposed to chronic stress. So, this is often referred to as a developmental cascade, or the fact that early adjustment informs later adjustment and adaptation.

- Q Okay. Yeah. That was going to be my next question. Not to be dense, but could, you know, changes in hormones and your brain structure have lifelong effects?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you have any examples of those?
 - A Yes. Youth who are exposed to early adversities have a higher likelihood of diseases as adults, for instance heart diseases and cancers. And actually exposure to early adversities has been redefined as not just a risk for mental health disorders in adulthood, but as a pediatric problem as well because of the links with physical health of exposure to adversities. So, there can be higher addiction, higher trauma, and PTSD in adulthood, higher suicidality, but also higher disease ramifications because of the effects of stress on the

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

1 body.

Q Okay. You've mentioned a few times the phrases "chronic stress" and "toxic stress." Are those related to these adverse

4 childhood experiences?

A Yes. And in the early years of studying trauma, often research examined Vietnam -- veterans who came back from war or adults who were exposed to a rape -- a rape and a one-time experience. But research has now focused on people whose children develop in a situation where they're in a chronic stress condition as opposed to a one-time trauma experience, and have been able to understand the effects of chronic stress, sometimes called toxic stress, when it's extreme stress on child development.

Q Okay. How does a child -- what is a situation in which a child experiences this toxic stress? Can you give an example?

A When a -- I will give you an extreme example, because I worked in child abuse for so many years. Children who are living in homes where they're physically abused or observing their parent being exposed to domestic violence on a routine basis is a child who is living with chronic stress. Other children who are attending a school where they're being bullied and they're not safe are also children who experience chronic stress. I can give you a clinical example, if you would like.

Q Sure, Dr. Tishelman. If you would give us a clinical example from your experience, of course not revealing any

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

private information.

A For instance, I had a patient that comes to mind who I saw in the urology department, because if you remember I was the director of psychology and urology. And he was attending school but encountering difficulties because he was afraid to use the bathrooms at school. And so he was developing medical sequelae from not using the bathrooms. And when I talked to him, it turned out that when he was growing up, he didn't express himself as a typical male was assumed to express themselves, and in fact his family thought he was transgender.

And he was bullied so badly in bathrooms that he no longer even, 15 years or so later, felt comfortable using bathrooms in public places. Which was inhibiting his ability to be educated, because he was trying to go to college, and he needed to be able to use public bathrooms in order to function. So, that's one example. And he had posttraumatic -- he had trauma from this experience of going. I can give you other clinical examples.

Q I think that one is good. I want to move on to you brought up this example of how chronic stress can affect a person. Are transgendered individuals -- or, excuse me. Strike that. Are transgendered children affected by chronic stress?

A Often.

Q Okay. How does that -- how have you observed that in your work?

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

A I've seen many children who have been very adversely
affected by their experiences in school when they've been gender
diverse or transgender. By gender diverse, I mean expressing
their gender differently than was accepted in the school

5 environment.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

Q Okay. Dr. Tishelman, we also spoke about how ACEs might -or an example of ACEs or adverse childhood experiences might be
significant bullying. Does that also -- have you observed that
happening with the gender-diverse and transgendered youth you
work with?

A I missed a word that -- in the first sentence. So, I'm sorry. I'm a little hard of hearing myself.

Q No problem. I will speak up. You spoke about how an example of early childhood experiences -- or, excuse me -- adverse childhood experiences or ACEs can be bullying in school. Have you observed that happening with gender-diverse or

transgendered youth in your work?

A Yes.

Q Okay. What have you observed as a result of that bullying?

A Many times children I work with have had to be homeschooled

21 or removed from a school environment because they didn't feel

safe. Other children, I've seen really sort of fall apart

23 because of bullying, including younger children. So, that

it's -- if a child is in an unaccepting environment, it can be

very profoundly difficult for them.

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 Q How about suicidality in gender-diverse and transgendered 2 youth?
- A Yes. I have had patients who have been suicidal because of nonacceptance, whether at school or because of their families.
- 5 I had one child I could give you an example of that comes to
- 6 mind who was very young, maybe in kindergarten, where he was
- 7 being bullied. I actually don't remember the correct pronouns.
- 8 And went to the principal's office, and just completely fell
- 9 apart, and threw things all over the principal's office, and
- 10 threw everything off the desk, and was taken to Boston
- 11 Children's Hospital in an ambulance. That's one example.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A It's very much like anybody who is in an unsafe
- 14 environment. It's very hard to function.
- 15 Q Yeah. That example of that young child having those
- 16 behaviors in the principal's office, can these adverse childhood
- 17 experiences affect a child's behaviors in that way?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Is it common for that to happen?
- 20 A Yes. Children have different ways of expressing stress,
- 21 and they can express stress through behavioral outbursts and
- 22 emotional dysregulation, or through what we call internalizing
- 23 problems, such as deep depression, apathy, and anxieties,
- 24 withdrawal, and not necessarily acting out. Children express
- 25 stress in different ways.

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- Q Okay. Going back to adverse childhood experiences, you gave some examples earlier on. Is discrimination a form of an adverse childhood experience?
 - A Yes.

- 5 Q Have you observed that yourself?
 - A Yes. And frankly, I've observed discrimination in different forms over my career. So, it's not just gender discrimination that's hard for children. If a child is autistic, for example, and bullied or not accepted, it's hard for those children. There's a lot of different kinds of ways for children to be different, and if they're treated poorly because of that difference, it can create a lot of anxiety and low self-esteem.

And the way that it works for other children is the way that it works for gender-diverse and transgender children in the sense that if they're rejected for something they can't change about themselves, that can be very hard, because they didn't have a way to be different.

- Q Okay. And thank you earlier for giving us examples of gender diverse. I think I neglected to ask you while we were talking about variation in sex traits. If you could give an example of -- for us laypeople of what that might physically manifest as, or medically manifest as.
- A So, they're very, very variable conditions. For instance, a child with CAH, congenital adrenal hyperplasia, might have XX

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 chromosomes but be born with attributes that are often 1 2 associated with males, such as -- such as an enlarged phallus, 3 for example. So, sometimes visual anatomy doesn't match with 4 chromosomes. 5 Other people, for example, with AIS may develop and look externally like a typical girl, and not be diagnosed until 6 7 later on as when puberty doesn't go as expected, as having XY chromosomes, for example. Those are some -- those are a couple 8 9 of examples. But actually variations in sex traits really 10 encompass a broad array of diagnoses up to about 40 or more 11 diagnoses. So, there's a lot of different ways there can be differences. 12 13 Okay. And this sex trait variance and diagnoses, they're 14 not new, are they? 15 A No. 16 How old has this been around? How long? 17 I am not an expert on that, but I imagine, because they're 18 biological conditions, that they've been around as long as people have been around. 19 Okay. Dr. Tishelman, I'm going to turn us back to we were 20 21 talking about school environments. Have you done any 22 professional work with children, clinical psychology in school 23 environments?

24 A Yes.

25

Q Okay. Would you tell us what that work is.

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

A Well, very long ago, at the beginning of my career, I was actually a professor at Binghamton University in Binghamton, New York, and there I participated in a home-school partnership study, where I was a liaison between home and school to try to get home and school to collaborate more effectively. We published a paper on that in 1993.

And I did do research in school on children who are rejected, and that was part of my dissertation to try to understand what caused children to be disliked by other children or liked by other children. But while I was in the last 30 years, I did work with a safe and supportive school environment initiative to try to create schools that were safer and more supportive for children, and I did a lot of training around the state of Massachusetts on helping traumatized children learn, for instance, and how children behave in a school setting when they're experiencing extreme stress or trauma.

- Q Okay. I want to go back to your discussion of the 1993 paper you wrote. What were the reasons you found that those children were rejected in school?
- A Actually, that study didn't -- that study wasn't about kids who were rejected. That was a different study.
 - Q Okay. My misunderstanding.
 - A That study was about kids who had emotional and behavioral dysregulation, and demonstrated that bringing homes and schools together in a partnership in coordination helped to decrease the

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 emotional-behavioral dysregulation of the children.
- Q Okay. And why does bringing a home and school together
- 3 decrease emotional dysregulation in a child?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Well, it's kind of like that idea that you probably heard
of that if children have two parents at home, and they use
different disciplinary strategies, the child can sort of get

away with more by working with one or working with the other.

Getting the parents and school on board together in a coordinating -- a coordinated approach to helping the children is helpful. It also helps school to understand cultural and other important aspects of a family so they can be respectful of that child and respectful of the family and develop a consistent understanding of that child's needs.

- Q What if a school does not want to follow how a family treats their child at home?
- A Well, that's when it's helpful to develop an intervention like -- sometimes published to help coordinate home and school. And that doesn't always happen. Sometimes there are differences between homes and schools, but generally we find that developing partnerships has positive effects for children. And that's why there is initiatives to try to do that.
- Q What about an example of a family using she/her pronouns for their child, and a school refusing to use those pronouns, and only use he/her [sic] pronouns for that child?
- A That can be very confusing for a child if they prefer -- if

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 they identify as one gender and they're being treated as a 1 2 different gender at school. It develops a discordance for the 3 child and also a sense of rejection for their identity that they 4 are asserting. 5 So, Dr. Tishelman, I want to go back to your safe and supported schools that you talked about. I believe you stated 6 7 you came up with a framework; is that correct? 8 We did. This was an interdisciplinary group of people who 9 work together to come up with this framework. The safe and 10 supportive school initiative was led by lawyers and had head psychologists and other educators on it, and the psychologists 11 12 published a paper together on our framework for school-based 13 evaluation from a gender lens. Do you want me to describe the 14 framework? 15 Yes. If you could give us an overview, and then maybe we 16 can dive into each one. 17 Okay. The domains that trauma affect -- the summary of 18 domains that are affected for children across all important -or across all important areas of function, including 19 self-regulation, sense of self, and relationships, academic and 20 21 nonacademic performance at school, and physical and somatic 22 well-being. 23 Okay. Let's start with physical and somatic well-being. What were your recommendations or findings? 24 25 Well, children who are traumatized sometimes can incur

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

injuries that have physical ramifications from being bullied or abused in a setting. But there are also others, sometimes other physical ramifications from stress. Very commonly with children, headaches and stomachaches or gastrointestinal issues can occur. And then I don't know how relevant it is, but for children who have been abused physically or sexually, they may have boundaries which makes it difficult if anybody comes near them or touches them, because of their fears of the recurrence of abuse.

It can happen in the other way as well. Sometimes children who have been sexually abused can have poor boundaries with others and actually get attention or not understand what are important sexual boundaries, and can touch others in ways that are inappropriate as well.

Q Okay. Have you in your work identified what, in this domain, might affect gender-diverse or transgender children?

A Yeah. Sometimes with transgender children who -- they're very uncomfortable about their bodies. Sometimes especially older children or sometimes younger children who feel like their anatomy doesn't match their gender, and so that can make it embarrassing for them to change clothes in a locker room or to -- for instance, I have had patients who had anatomy that's typical to a boy, but did really enjoy gymnastics, and would have to try to develop means to participate in gymnastics without, for instance, some parts of their body parts being

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- 1 visible for their own comfort, as an example.
- 2 Q I believe there was a domain relating to being emotionally
- 3 safe; is that correct?
- 4 A Well, I don't -- I said the self-regulation.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Relationships and self confidence.
- 7 Q Can we talk about that domain next?
- 8 A Self-regulation domain is ability to be able to -- be able
- 9 to understand your own emotions and express them appropriately.
- 10 So, sometimes children who are exposed to chronic trauma and
- 11 unsafe environments will have -- will develop extreme emotional
- 12 responses and fear responses to stress, and it can be harder for
- 13 them to calm down once the stress is -- has subsided, or once
- 14 | their exposure to a feared situation has gone away, they may
- 15 have a very hard time going back to baseline.

So, they may have a really hard time monitoring their

emotion and expressing it in appropriate ways. They may also

edd faw of stay town or as not or room a stay of the fact

18 have trouble sometimes being able to label their own emotions

19 and understand what they're feeling and why they're feeling the

20 way they are feeling. And many times kids who are traumatized

22 call it. So, they're scanning the environment all the time for

or have been exposed to unsafe situations are hypervigilant, we

23 things that might be dangerous for them in order to try to

prevent something from harming them if they've been exposed to

25 harm before.

17

21

24

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 181₃₅₅

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

For instance, a child who has been hurt at school, who has been humiliated or teased, may have trouble relaxing in certain situations in school, because they may be scanning the environment to make sure nobody is going to attack or hurt them at school.

- Okay. And have you observed that in your gender-diverse and transgendered clients?
- 8 A Yes.

because of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 And, Dr. Tishelman, what were the other two domains?
- 10 Relationships and sense of self. I believe --
- 11 Okay. Can you touch on those briefly, please.
- A A sense of self is understanding of one's self in relation 13 Children pick up when they are rejected or when they're not supported in who they are, and can develop --15 internalize a sense of low self-worth and low self-esteem

It sometimes happens in families, and it can happen from other children. And so their ability to form trusting relationships and adaptive peer relationships can be impaired because of that. And their own confidence in developing those relationships can be impaired.

I also talked before about how children may be taught not to trust the adults in the environment if they're treated harshly or disciplined harshly, and therefore they may not even feel comfortable going to adults, including teachers, to ask for

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

help or ask for intervention when they are being harmed if they
feel rejected or disliked by adults in an environment.

Q Okay.

A And the last domain was academic and nonacademic success.

Both because of a lack of safety and possibly because of neurological changes, it can be very hard for children to pay attention and learn in school when they're not safe in a school environment, or when they're anxious about not -- about being hurt in other environments.

It's as if I were talking here to you today, but you knew on the way home you were going to be attacked and hurt, you would probably have trouble paying attention to me now, because you would be distracted. And many of the children I have worked with who had trauma were first diagnosed with attention deficit disorder, because they weren't paying attention in school. It was likely because their trauma was being misinterpreted as primarily an attention deficit disorder, and not as trauma.

Trauma can also lead to dissociation, which is sort of a child's emotional removal from where they are in a setting to emotionally distancing themselves and not being able to learn as well.

And finally, anxiety and depression are associated with toxic stress, and depression emotionally affects children in the sense of not being invested in learning, not being -- not having the motivation or the energy to engage in school. And in that

- 1 way, that can affect school adjustment and ability to learn and
- 2 perform that potential.
- 3 Q Okay. And have you seen those last two domains affect your
- 4 gender-diverse and transgendered clients in the school setting?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. Dr. Tishelman, do -- in your observations and
- 7 experiences, do gender-diverse and transgendered children face
- 8 more or less adversity than their cisgender peers?
- 9 A More adversity.
- 10 Q Is there any adversity unique to a gender-diverse or
- 11 transgendered child?
- 12 A Gender-related stressors that are encountered are sometimes
- 13 called gender minority stressors that are more likely to be
- 14 encountered by gender-diverse children than other children.
- 15 Q Can you give us an example of those stressors.
- 16 A If people in -- if people intentionally use pronouns that a
- 17 child doesn't identify with or a name that a child doesn't
- 18 | identify with, for example, or tease a child because of their
- 19 atypical gender expressions, for example, that would be gender
- 20 minority stress.
- 21 Q Okay. Would a child be impacted from being disenrolled in
- 22 a school due to their gender diversity or transgender identity?
- 23 A They can be.
- 24 Q Have you observed that?
- 25 A I've observed children honestly who left the school setting

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- because it was an adverse setting for them more than children who were -- who were expelled from a school based on gender.
- Q Okay. Would a child who is denied enrollment to a school feel rejected?
- 5 A If they knew about it. That's something that parents don't necessarily tell a child.
- 7 Q Okay. When a child does know about the rejection, how can 8 that affect them?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A And this is speculatively, because I encounter more children who had negative experiences at school than children who were actually not admitted to school. But speculatively, any child, including a transgender child, who's rejected from a school setting based upon an unmodifiable aspect of who they are would feel — would feel badly.

For instance, I am short, and if I weren't allowed in school settings because I was short, even though I can't change it, that would be a negative adversity that would make me feel badly about being short.

- Q And speaking about these stressors, do chronic stressors affect children in the early childhood range of ages differently than other children?
- A Well, children's brains are in a rapid developmental phase in early childhood. And so early developmental stressors may shape the brain in more profound ways than for older children. And there is more brain neural flexibility during those times,

Appellate Case: 24-1267 Document: 30-4 Date Filed: 08/15/2024 Page: 185

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 and there's critical and sensitive periods for learning and for 1 2 neurological development. 3 Okay. And have you observed that in your own professional work? 4 5 Well, I really would have to say I don't observe the child's brains. 6 7 0 Sure. 8 So, I have observed more the phenotype, the expression and 9 differences than I have directly observed the neurodevelopmental 10 differences. 11 And how does that manifest itself that you've observed? 12 So, in the ways that I was discussing previously in terms of significant emotional dysregulation and mental health 13 14 consequences, social consequences, relationship consequences, 15 and ability to learn and acquire foundational academic skills. 16 Q Okay. Dr. Tishelman, can discrimination cause trauma to a 17 child? 18 Yes. 19 Okay. Dr. Tishelman, can you explain to us your definition of gender dysphoria? 20 Well, gender dysphoria is an emotional response to a 21 22 difference between a child's identified gender and their 23 biological anatomy. Q Okay. And have you worked with clients who were 24

25

experiencing that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. Have you worked with children in preschool who were
- 3 experiencing that?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q When you're working with children in preschool experiencing
- 6 gender dysphoria, do you work with their parents also?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q What happens -- or have you had an experience where a
- 9 parent did not want to recognize that their child is
- 10 experiencing gender dysphoria and may be transgendered?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Can you tell us a little bit about how you handled that
- 13 situation.
- 14 A Well, it varies by situation. In the clinical settings in
- 15 which I was working, parents brought their children in
- 16 voluntarily to be seen. So, usually when parents came in, it
- 17 was to try to understand their child's gender diversity, and
- 18 sometimes if there was a conflict between two parents related to
- 19 their child's gender diversity or they wanted some advocacy.
- 20 So, I have seen children particularly when there's a conflict
- 21 between parents about their child's gender diversity and how to
- 22 best parent that child given that diversity.
- 23 Q Okay. And how did you navigate that family's choice?
- 24 A Well, it so varies by the family and by the situation. I
- 25 think gender diversity in early childhood is serious and

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 important, and it's good to do a thorough evaluation if possible 1 2 and if the family is requesting it to try to understand what's 3 best in that particular family, and in their situation. So, it's really hard to give a -- an answer that would be applicable 4 5 to everybody. Is that what one of your international standards of care 6 7 for transgendered prepubescent children states? 8 So, we should have an individualized approach to gender 9 care that every child who is gender diverse in childhood before 10 puberty doesn't necessarily need to see a mental health practitioner, but that it can often be very helpful if they have 11 12 their requisite skills. 13 Okay. How come they don't always have to see a mental 14 health professional at that age? 15 Sometimes children are well adjusted and doing well, and 16 they don't necessarily need any intervention, or they have 17 enough support, and they don't need more supports. 18 Is it also typical at the early childhood developmental age for a child to still be figuring out their identity? Can you repeat that question? 20 A Sure. Is it also typical at the early childhood 21 22 developmental stage for a child to still be figuring out their 23 identity? 24 Yeah. And developmental literature suggests that children 25 start to understand their gender very early on at ages three,

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 four, and five, but with gender-diverse children, they may 2 assert a gender that's different from what their parents thought 3 their child's gender was going to be at an early age, and I saw quite a few preschool children. But a child's gender can also 4 5 evolve over time, and their understanding of their gender identity can evolve. 6 7 Okay. Dr. Tishelman, going back to the international standards of care that you helped write, for prepubescent 8 9 children, is it ever recommended to do hormone therapy? 10 No. 11 How about any of the -- I don't know the correct term, so 12 please correct me if I'm wrong, the gender reassignment 13 surgeries? 14 A No. 15 Q Okay. 16 Not at this point in time. 17 Yeah. What is the appropriate work that you're doing with 18 a prepubescent child? 19 You know, when I would see patients, basically I would tell them that my priority is for this child to be well adjusted, to 20 21 feel confident in themselves, to have good family relationships and good peer relationships, and to be able to function in all 22 23 the settings in which they need to function. 24 Sometimes that would involve taking certain steps to 25 help that child to be more comfortable in their home or their

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 school or sports or other environments. So, every situation is 2 different. Every family's goals is different. But there 3 aren't -- the interventions are often family interventions, school interventions, to make sure every setting is safe for 4 5 that child, and sometimes there can be a consideration or an actual social transition for a child. 6 7 Okay. Dr. Tishelman, how does discrimination negatively impact a child? 8 9 A Well, again, children express their own happiness and their 10 stress in all sorts of ways, so that a child who is being 11 discriminated against can express their discomfort and distress differently. It's called in psychology with the word 12 13 "multifinality," meaning that you can have a child who's 14 experiencing bullying, physical and psychological bullying in 15 childhood, and one child will act out and express themself by 16 being disruptive at school, and another might be withdrawn and 17 anxious and depressed and not socialize and stop doing their 18 schoolwork. 19 So, we really -- just by knowing a child is being exposed to adversities, we really can't say how their distress 20 21 will be expressed. Okay. Dr. Tishelman, how would you foster a safe 22 23 environment at school? 24 There are different ways to do that. In fact, in 25 Massachusetts, we had a person who was hired by the Board of

Education who would go to schools to try to develop a safe environment for schools. And when I was working in the safe and supportive school environment project, we had -- the legislature gave some money for that, and we had different schools develop grants for how they were going to change their atmosphere to be more trauma-informed.

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

Other schools would try to be more inclusive. There are schools that try to be more inclusive so that all students can be educated in the same classroom, and that would mean children with developmental disabilities in the same class environment as children without developmental disabilities, or children with physical disabilities such as cerebral palsy being able to learn in the same setting as others so that there can be adaptations in an educational setting to make it more supportive for children, and people can learn to live with all the different diversities that children can present.

Q Okay. Dr. Tishelman, in your opinion, how would a school

environment be safe for a gender-diverse or a transgender child?

A It would be a school in which bullying was not accepted,
and a school with a no-bullying policy. A school in which
people can accept that there are differences between children
and respect that, but not reject others because they're
different from them. Children are different from one another in
all different ways. Being transgender is one of them, but not
all of them.

- 1 Q Should a school's bullying policy specifically identify
- 2 being gender diverse or transgendered for it to be effective in
- 3 this situation?
- 4 A Yeah. It can do that, and also some of the areas of most
- 5 controversy with transgender children have to do with which
- 6 bathrooms they go to, which locker rooms they might use, and to
- 7 develop policies that don't stigmatize children or make them
- 8 feel uncomfortable because of their gender.
- 9 Q Dr. Tishelman, what is a social transition?
- 10 A A social transition is when a child chooses to express
- 11 themselves in one or more ways that's consistent with their
- 12 gender identity as it's known to them.
- 13 Q Can that be clothing?
- 14 A That can have to do with clothing, did you say?
- 15 Q Can that be clothing?
- 16 A Yes. That can be clothing.
- 17 Q Can that be a haircut?
- 18 A That can be a haircut.
- 19 Q How about how they want to be addressed by others?
- 20 A It can have to do with how they want to be addressed in
- 21 terms of pronouns as well as their names.
- 22 Q Okay. Why is social transitioning important for a
- 23 transgender child?
- 24 A So, all transgender children -- and we also call it gender
- 25 diversity, because children may evolve in their gender over

time. It's important for children to be free to express
themselves in the way that's comfortable for them, as long as
they're not destructive to others in every setting in which they
wish to do so. All children who are gender diverse don't wish
to do that.

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

And things like clothing and haircuts, what's typical in one setting and subculture may not be typical in another.

So, it may be typical for all girls and boys to wear jeans and T-shirts to school, and not be a lot of diversity in what's a typical clothing for kids, so that it's hard sometimes to know what's gender typical versus not gender typical clothing, or haircuts sometimes, depending on the time in history, where a child lives, and their culture.

Q Okay. Are there ever times that a social transition is not helpful to the child?

A There can be times when a child doesn't feel ready to do that. I've had children who said to me, my gender is still a secret at school. I'm scared to tell people at school what my gender is. And so sometimes children will choose to socially transition in one environment and not another, or tell some people and not others. There are kids who are uncomfortable telling their parents and will want to tell schools, which is sometimes an area of controversy. But not usually in young children of the ages we're discussing.

Q Okay. Do those situations sometimes cause stress in the

- 1 | child?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Does that stress ever rise to the level in your observation
- 4 of chronic or toxic stress, as we talked about?
- 5 A Can you specify which situation you're talking about?
- 6 Q Sure. Situation where maybe their gender is still a
- 7 secret.
- 8 A It can. But it can be an outgrowth of a child not feeling
- 9 comfortable about being open in certain settings, and that
- 10 discomfort or expectation of rejection can be -- can have a
- 11 negative effect on a child.
- 12 Q Dr. Tishelman, should a parent be allowed to decide what is
- 13 best for their child in this realm we're talking about of gender
- 14 diversity and transgendered children?
- 15 A Yes. Usually parents make important decisions on behalf of
- 16 their children under the law, except in extreme circumstances
- 17 when a child may be subject to unacceptable behaviors on the
- 18 part of a parent, such as sexual abuse or other extreme and
- 19 illegal behaviors.
- 20 Q Okay. You don't understand this case to have anything to
- 21 do with sexual abuse, though; correct?
- 22 A Yes. I was just giving an example.
- 23 Q Yes. Thank you. Have you in your professional capacity
- 24 observed what happens when an environment, whether it be a
- 25 social environment, a school environment, when that environment

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 undermines the family's acceptance of a transgendered or 2 gender-diverse child? 3 Yes. It's well documented in a robust collection of literature that transgender youth who have been exposed to 4 5 stressors have a higher likelihood of anxiety, depression, and suicidality. And on the other hand, acceptance and support is 6 7 associated with well-being in transgender youth. Q Okay. Can conflict over a child's identity between family 8 9 and school be harmful? 10 Yes. Conflict in general between families and school can 11 be harmful to children. That's why there are initiatives to try 12 to draw schools and families together in support of children in 13 general and for transgender children as well. And there is 14 research to show that bullying for transgender children is 15 related to higher rates of suicidality. For instance, The 16 Trevor Report has published the data, and in general, bullying 17 for all children, not just transgender children, is demonstrated 18 to be correlated with higher rates of suicidality. Those data are usually with older children, but can be presumed to be 19 relevant to younger children as well. 20 21 Is there -- can conduct in the school environment 22 negatively impact a transgendered child or harm them? 23 Yes. I think bullying refers to that. Okay. Any other examples you've observed of conduct in a 24 25 school environment?

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024

- A Aside from bullying, being treated discordantly from other children, not being able to join activities that a child would find gratifying or actually being ejected from activities that a child wants to belong to, being excluded at school, even if it's not active bullying, but being isolated and excluded can be very
- Q Okay. One second, Dr. Tishelman. Okay. Dr. Tishelman, you've referred a lot to bullying in the school context. Can bullying just be done by peers?
- 10 A No. Bullying can also emanate from the behavior of adults.
- 11 Q Okay. And in the school context, has that ever happened
- 12 with teachers?
- 13 A Yes.

6

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14 0 Administrators?

hard for children as well.

- 15 A It can.
- 16 Q And any other ways that bullying can manifest in a school situation outside of peers interaction?
 - A Adults can have a lot of power in school settings. I'm thinking back to even when I worked on the home-school partnership projects, where teachers sometimes had implicit biases, so they expected and sometimes inaccurately understood certain children to have less academic or social ability than others.
 - There can be ways to have unconscious biases that can affect children badly in terms of school placement, in terms of

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 1 academic expectations, and just social interactions are very 2 subtle. So, there can be even things that are hard to put your 3 finger on, like tone of voice, how often a person is called on, 4 whether they're treated with condescension or not, et cetera. 5 Those kinds of subtle behaviors are often referred to as microaggressions, but they may single out a child. 6 7 Thank you, Dr. Tishelman. Dr. Tishelman, I neglected to ask you at the very beginning of your testimony, but were you 8 9 hired to write an expert report for the Colorado Attorney 10 General's Office? 11 Yes, I was. 12 Okay. And were you paid for your time to write that 13 report? 14 Yes. Not yet. 15 Q We are the State. It takes a little bit. 16 THE COURT: There's a delay because their building is 17 shut down. 18 MS. RUST: Your Honor, I'm going to give you a couple exhibit numbers that I would like to tender to the Court now 19 that I am done with Dr. Tishelman's direct. Exhibit Number 46 20 21 is her resumé, Your Honor. Exhibit 47 is the expert report she 22 wrote for us. 23 And then these following exhibit numbers are journal articles that Dr. Tishelman referred to their points in her 24 25 testimony today, and they're also cited in her report. That's

23-cv-2079-JLK AMY TISHELMAN - Direct 01-03-2024 number 59, High-Conflict Divorce, a Form of Child Neglect; 60, 1 2 Developmental Cascades; 61, The Lifelong Effects of Early 3 Childhood Adversity and Toxic Stress; 63, Disparities in Childhood Abuse Between Transgender and Cisgender Adolescents; 4 5 64, Suicidality Disparities Between Transgender and Cisgender Adolescents; and 65, Assessment of Gender-Diverse Children 6 7 Incorporating the Standards of Care, Eighth Edition. And those 8 are the world standards of care that Dr. Tishelman referred to 9 her help in authoring this past year, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Are you going to require more 11 than a half an hour? 12 MR. REAVES: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I thought you might. We have to stop for 14 safety reasons by 5 o'clock, but I don't like to interrupt the 15 examination by one attorney. So, we're going to recess now 16 until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 (Proceedings concluded at 4:31 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, KEVIN P. CARLIN, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, a Registered Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported by machine shorthand the proceedings contained herein at the time and place aforementioned and that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true, and correct transcript.

Dated this 9th day of January, 2024.

Kevin P. Carlin, RMR, CRR

Kevin P. Carlin, RMR, CRR

Official Court Reporter