Cas	e 2:24-cv-04702-MCS-PD	Document 207-1 #:4236	Filed 07/28/25	Page 1 of 7	Page ID						
1 2 3 4	MATTHEW R. COWAN (S.B. #281114) mcowan@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 Telephone: (213) 430-6000 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407										
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ANTON METLITSKY* ametlitsky@omm.com JENNIFER SOKOLER* jsokoler@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYER 1301 Avenue of the Ame New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 326-20 Facsimile: (211) 326-20 MEAGHAN VERGOW* mvergow@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYER 1625 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 383-53 Facsimile: (202) 383-54 Attorneys for Defendants *Admitted pro hac vice [Counsel continued on net	ricas, Suite 1700 000 061 S LLP 300 414									
18 19 20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA										
$21\\22$	YITZCHOK FRANKI Plaintiffs,	EL et al.,	Case No.: 2	2:24-cv-047()2						
23 24 25	v. REGENTS OF THE U OF CALIFORNIA <i>et</i> Defendants.		[PROPOSEI JUDGMENT PERMANEN	AND							
26 27 28			Judge: Hon. I Courtroom: 7		rsi						
-0	[PROPOSED] CON	SENT JUDGMEN i	T AND PERMAN	IENT INJUN	CTION						

1[Counsel continued from previous page]2JENNIFER BEARD (S.B. #324916)3jbeard@omm.comO'MELVENY & MYERS LLP4Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor5San Francisco, CA 941117Telephone: (415) 984-87966Facsimile: (415) 984-87017Charles Robinson (S.B. #113197)8Rhonda Goldstein (S.B. #250387)9Norman Hamill (S.B. #154272)7The Regents of the University of California1111 Franklin Street, Floor 810Oakland, California 94607-52017Telephone: (510) 987-98007Facsimile: (510) 987-975713Attorneys for Defendants15Eric C. Rassbach (CA SBN 288041)16Mark L. Rienzi (DC Bar No. 494336)*17Daniel L. Chen (CA SBN 312576)18Jordan T. Varberg (DC Bar No. 90022889)*19Reed M. Bartley (TX Bar No. 24125115)* ‡20191 Pennsvlvania Ave, NW, Suite 400	NIFER BEARD (S.B. ard@omm.com MELVENY & MYERS o Embarcadero Center, Francisco, CA 94111 ephone: (415) 984-87 esimile: (415) 984-87 arles Robinson (S.B. arles Robinson (S.B. onda Goldstein (S.B.								
 Attorneys for Defendants Eric C. Rassbach (CA SBN 288041) Mark L. Rienzi (DC Bar No. 494336)* Daniel L. Chen (CA SBN 312576) Laura W. Slavis (DC Bar No. 1643193)* Jordan T. Varberg (DC Bar No. 90022889)* Amanda G. Dixon (DC Bar No. 90021498)* Reed M. Bartley (TX Bar No. 24125115)* ‡ The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 	JENNIFER BEARD (S.B. #324916) jbeard@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 984-8796 Facsimile: (415) 984-8796 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701 Charles Robinson (S.B. #113197) Rhonda Goldstein (S.B. #250387) Norman Hamill (S.B. #154272) The Regents of the University of California 1111 Franklin Street, Floor 8 Oakland, California 94607-5201 Telephone: (510) 987-9800								
 21 Washington, DC 20006 202-955-0095 tel. / 202-955-0090 fax erassbach@becketlaw.org 23 24 Paul D. Clement (DC Bar No. 433215)* Erin E. Murphy (DC Bar No. 995953)* 25 Matthew D. Rowen (CA SBN 292292) 26 Clement & Murphy, PLLC 706 Duke Street 27 Alexandria, VA 22314 	 Eric C. Rassbach (CA SBN 288041) Mark L. Rienzi (DC Bar No. 494336)* Daniel L. Chen (CA SBN 312576) Laura W. Slavis (DC Bar No. 1643193)* Jordan T. Varberg (DC Bar No. 90022889)* Amanda G. Dixon (DC Bar No. 90021498)* Reed M. Bartley (TX Bar No. 24125115)* ‡ The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006 202-955-0095 tel. / 202-955-0090 fax erassbach@becketlaw.org Paul D. Clement (DC Bar No. 433215)* Erin E. Murphy (DC Bar No. 995953)* Matthew D. Rowen (CA SBN 292292) Clement & Murphy, PLLC 706 Duke Street 								

Cas	e 2:24-cv-04702-MCS-PD D	ocument 207-1 #:4238	Filed 07/28/25	Page 3 of 7	Page ID				
1 2 3 4	Elliot Moskowitz (NY Bar Adam M. Greene (NY Bar Marc J. Tobak (NY Bar No Davis Polk & Wardwell LL 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017	No. 5812169)* . 4717336)*							
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs								
6	*Admitted pro hac vice								
7	Not admitted to the D.C. Bar; admitted only in Texas. Supervised by licensed D.C. Bar members								
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17 18									
19									
$\begin{vmatrix} 10\\20 \end{vmatrix}$									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28					0.000				
	[PROPOSED] CONSE	NT JUDGMEN 2	I' AND PERMAN	ENT INJUN	CTION				

Plaintiffs Yitzchok Frankel; Joshua Ghayoum; Eden Shemuelian; and 1 $\mathbf{2}$ Dr. Kamran Shamsa ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants The Regents of the University of California; Michael V. Drake; Julio Frenk; Darnell Hunt; 3 Michael Beck; Monroe Gorden, Jr.; and Steve Lurie ("Defendants") 4 (collectively, the "Parties") have agreed to enter into this Consent 5 6 Judgment and Permanent Injunction ("Consent Judgment") in 7 conjunction with their Settlement Agreement, as follows, in order to resolve all claims in this case, including Plaintiffs' claims under the Free 8 9 Exercise Clause.

Subject to the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, the Parties, desiring that this action be settled by the appropriate consent judgment and without the burden of protracted litigation, agree to the jurisdiction of this Court over the Parties and the subject matter of this action, including for purposes of enforcement of the Consent Judgment. Subject to the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, the Parties waive a hearing and findings of fact and conclusions of law on all issues.

17 The Parties further agree that, in conjunction with their Settlement 18 Agreement, this Consent Judgment will resolve all issues raised in the 19 First Amended Complaint, and is final and binding on the Parties and 20 their respective officials, agents, employees, and successors, and all 21 persons acting on their behalf or in active concert and in participation 22 with them. The Parties have also entered into a separate Settlement 23 Agreement to fully and finally resolve the entire dispute between them.

The Parties agree that they shall not appeal from any ruling that adopts this Consent Judgment. The Parties further agree that they will defend the terms of this Consent Judgment if it is challenged in court. However, they reserve the right to seek reconsideration or appeal should

 $\overline{28}$

27

24

25

26

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

the Court not enter the entirety of the relief agreed to herein. The Parties
 request that this Court enter the Consent Judgment without
 modification.

Additionally, if this Consent Judgment is adopted by the Court and Defendants make the payments described in their separate Settlement Agreement, entry of this Consent Judgment shall constitute final and complete resolution of this action.

INJUNCTION AND ORDER

Accordingly, in light of the foregoing and upon the Parties' consent, the Court **ORDERS** as follows:

1. The Court has determined that it has jurisdiction over the matters alleged in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and that venue is proper in this Court.

2. The Court has determined that this Consent Judgment is fair, reasonable, equitable, lawful, and in the public interest.

3. The Court further **ORDERS** the following:

17a. The Regents of the University of California, President of the University of California, the Chancellor of UCLA, the Executive Vice 18Chancellor and Provost of UCLA, the Administrative Vice Chancellor of 19UCLA, the Vice Chancellor of Student Affairs of UCLA, and the Associate 2021Vice Chancellor for Campus and Community Safety of UCLA—in their 22official capacities (collectively, the "Enjoined Parties")-are enjoined from offering any of UCLA's ordinarily available programs, activities, or 23campus areas to students, faculty, and/or staff if the Enjoined Parties 24know the ordinarily available programs, activities, or campus areas are 2526not fully and equally accessible to Jewish students, faculty, and/or staff.

27

28

4

 $\mathbf{5}$

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

b. The Enjoined Parties are prohibited from knowingly allowing or $\mathbf{2}$ facilitating the exclusion of Jewish students, faculty, and/or staff from ordinarily available portions of UCLA's programs, activities, and/or 3 campus areas, whether as a result of a de-escalation strategy or 4 5 otherwise.

c. For purposes of this order, all references to the exclusion of Jewish students, faculty, and/or staff shall include exclusion of Jewish students, faculty, and/or staff based on religious beliefs concerning the Jewish state of Israel.

d. Nothing in this order prevents the Enjoined Parties from excluding any student, faculty member, or staff member, including Jewish students, faculty, and/or staff, from ordinarily available programs, activities, and campus areas pursuant to UCLA code of conduct standards applicable to all UCLA students, faculty, and/or staff.

e. Nothing in this order requires the Enjoined Parties to immediately cease providing medical treatment at hospital and medical facilities, fire department services, and/or police department services. However, the Enjoined Parties remain obligated to take all necessary steps to ensure that such services and facilities remain fully and equally open and available to Jewish students, faculty, and/or staff.

f. This injunction shall take effect as of the date of its entry by the 22Court, and remain in effect for a term of fifteen (15) years from that date (the final date being the "Termination Date"). The Termination Date may 23be extended to a later date set by the Court if, upon request by the Court, the Enjoined Parties are unable to demonstrate that violations are 2526unlikely to recur in the absence of a decree extending the Termination Date.

27

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

4. The Court FURTHER ORDERS that it shall retain jurisdiction
 over this action for purposes of implementing and enforcing this Consent
 Judgment and any additional orders necessary, including over any
 disputes arising from the Enjoined Parties' compliance with the
 injunction described above or the Parties' compliance with the separate
 Settlement Agreement.

 $\overline{7}$

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: The Honorable Mark C. Scarsi United States District Judge [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION