WSJ | Buy Side WSJ Barron's MarketWatch IBD

> DJIA 46121.29 0.37% ↑ S&P 500 6644.66 0.24% ↑ Nasdaq 22602.39 0.18% ↑ Russell 2000 2450.97 -0.65% ↓ U.S. 10 Yr -25/32 4.008% ↓ VIX 23.25 -8.14% ↓ Gold

English Edition ▼ | Print Edition | Video | Audio | Latest Headlines | More ▼ Latest World Business U.S. Politics Economy Tech Markets & Finance Opinion Arts Lifestyle Real Estate Personal Finance Health Style Sports

Becket Fund ▼ **MARKETS NEWSLETTER**

Advertisement 13



Advertisement

Trade

Learn more

galaxy Trading

crypto with confidence.

Why Employment Division v. Smith Must

OPINION LETTERS Follow

Go We have more evidence of judicial protection of religious practice than

Sept. 19, 2025 at 1:48 pm ET



Division v. Smith (Letters, Sept. 16).

That 1990 decision was universally unpopular when Justice Antonin Scalia wrote it, and it remains so today. It's why the federal government and more than half the states have passed legislation to ensure that when a law burdens religious practice,

My firm, the Becket Fund for Religious Liberty, has worked on such cases for years. These laws have protected our clients, ranging from the Little Sisters of the Poor to Sikh Marines to Orthodox Jews, all penalized when their faith conflicted with an agency fiat, regulation or Covid shutdown order. The laws that protected them should protect all Americans. But today your rights may depend on which state you live in. If the court were to overrule *Smith*, we would all

have shown anything, it's that political processes often fail to protect religious beliefs that are unpopular with those in power. That's why courts have long safeguarded free exercise. We have more evidence of judicial protection of religious practice than we do for many other constitutional rights in the Founding era. In *People v. Phillips* (1813), the first religious-exercise case

in this country, the Supreme Court recognized that the confidentiality of the Catholic

confessional had to be honored. It did so with an evidentiary review that resembles

modern strict scrutiny, the same process *Smith* later rejected. These cases aren't

We don't have to choose between legislative and judicial protections for religious

ancient history: Becket is fighting Washington state to preserve the seal of the

safeguard our First Amendment rights—a future possible once the justices overrule a 35-year-old mistake. Lori Windam Becket Fund for Religious Liberty

Advertisement

confessional today.

Their Own Power Plants Venezuela Mobilizes Troops

Looms Offshore They Signed Up for Citi's

New Premium Card. It

Turned Into a Nightmare.

Billionaire Gov. JB Pritzker

Recounts \$1.4 Million Las Vegas Blackjack Win

Vance's Bad Excuses for

Young Republicans

Can Science Reckon With

The John Bolton Indictment

Russia's Weakness Is Trump's Opportunity

OPINION Who Thinks

Most Popular News

New York's Richest Ask

Closes In on City Hall

'How Dare He?' as Mamdani

Al Data Centers, Desperate

for Electricity, Are Building

and Militias as U.S. Military

Most Popular

OPINION

The Hamas Rule of Terror in Gaza

the Human Soul?

OPINION The Roberts Court and the Imperial President

OPINION If Trump Didn't

Break DOJ Norms, Who Did?

OPINION Campus Reactions

to the Charlie Kirk

Assassination

Further Reading

Violence Is OK? **OPINION** The U.S. Alliance

With Seoul Could Go South

we do for perhaps any other constitutional right in the Founding era.

Gift unlocked article

Listen (3 min)

believers get their day in court. Instead of the "anarchy" that Justice Scalia and Prof. Garnett envisioned, this has yielded carefully considered judicial decisions in which religious claimants sometimes win and sometimes lose.

enjoy religious freedom guaranteed by the Constitution, rather than relying on the whims of legislators. Such a decision would disrupt the status quo, which incentivizes gamesmanship, as regulators change the rules when a precedent doesn't go their way. If recent disputes

freedom. Our Constitution functions best when legislatures and courts alike seek to

Washington

Go'.

SHOW CONVERSATION (6) ✓

Appeared in the September 20, 2025, print edition as 'Why Employment Division v. Smith Must

Videos

ADVERTISEMENT

when interest rates are changing U.S. Bank Wealth Management

Uncover ETF trends and build

stronger portfolios with active

J.P. Morgan Asset Management

Toggling Tabs to Trade?

Customize Workspace With

New Power E*TRADE Pro

E*TRADE from Morgan Stanley

insights



7 Ways to Generate Income With a \$1,000,000 Portfolio

Real Estate Investing: 5

Mistakes to Avoid

Cash and portfolio strategies

Steps you can take today to help safeguard your finances. U.S. Bank Wealth Management

Fisher Investments

Dianomi